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[^1]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^2]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^3]${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |

[^4]${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^5]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^7]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^8]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Total <br> Physical Activity

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2016-2018 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN42: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)
$31.9 \quad 27.9 \quad 24.8 \quad 22.5$ Decreased, 2013-2018 Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN43: Percentage of students who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or more hours per day (counting time spent on things such as playing games, watching videos, texting, or using social media on their smartphone, computer, Xbox, PlayStation, iPad, or other tablet, for something that was not school work, on an average school day)

| 38.3 | 32.7 | 41.0 | 42.6 | Increased, 2013-2018 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^10]| 98.9 | 98.7 | 97.8 | 98.0 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^11]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Maryland Middle School Survey

## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |

[^14]

[^15]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report


[^16]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^18]
## Total

Site-Added
Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2016-2018 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN80: Percentage of students who responded that their parents would feel it would be wrong or very wrong for them to drink beer, wine, or hard liquor (such as vodka, whiskey, or gin) at least once or twice a month

| 87.7 | 88.1 | 89.3 | 88.2 | No linear change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who think people are at moderate or great risk of harming themselves (physically or in other ways) if they have one or two drinks of alcohol (beer, wine, or liquor) nearly every day

| 69.4 | 74.0 | 77.3 | 70.7 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN82: Percentage of students who think people are at moderate or great risk of harming themselves (physically and in other ways) if they have five or more drinks of alcohol (beer, wine, or liquor) once or twice a week

| 79.0 | 82.0 | 83.9 | 76.0 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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[^21]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1991 & 1993 & 1995 & 1997 & 1999 & 2001 & 2003 & 2005 & 2007 & 2009 & 2011 & 2013\end{array}$ | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |  |
| QN10: Percentage of students who ever carried a weapon (such as a gun, knife, or club) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55.2 | 49.4 | 55.6 | 47.8 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QN11: Percentage of students who were ever in a physical fight |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58.9 | 54.0 | 62.8 | 53.4 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
| QN14: Percentage of students who ever seriously thought about killing themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.8 | 7.8 | 13.2 | 15.1 | Increased, 2013-2018 | Not available | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who ever made a plan about how they would kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8.2 | 7.7 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN16: Percentage of students who ever tried to kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5.5 | 4.2 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report


[^22]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^23]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |

[^24]${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^25]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^27]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^28]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^30]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^32]${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^33]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
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[^37]

[^38]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^39]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^40]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}1991 & 1993 & 1995 & 1997 & 1999 & 2001 & 2003 & 2005 & 2007 & 2009 & 2011 & 2013\end{array}$ | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |  |
| QN10: Percentage of students who ever carried a weapon (such as a gun, knife, or club) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.3 | 20.7 | 27.4 | 18.9 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Decreased |
| QN11: Percentage of students who were ever in a physical fight |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29.3 | 24.4 | 29.4 | 28.1 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN14: Percentage of students who ever seriously thought about killing themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 16.8 | 21.9 | 23.7 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who ever made a plan about how they would kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14.0 | 14.8 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN16: Percentage of students who ever tried to kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7.2 | 7.2 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^41]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^42]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^43]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^44]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^46]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^47]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Female <br> Physical Activity

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2016-2018 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN42: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)
$32.3 \quad 29.7 \quad 23.5 \quad 22.4 \quad$ Decreased, 2013-2018 $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN43: Percentage of students who played video or computer games or used a computer 3 or more hours per day (counting time spent on things such as playing games, watching videos, texting, or using social media on their smartphone, computer, Xbox, PlayStation, iPad, or other tablet, for something that was not school work, on an average school day)

| 36.1 | 29.0 | 39.2 | 41.5 | Increased, 2013-2018 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN44: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on 1 or more days (in an average
week when they were in school)

| 99.0 | 99.3 | 97.7 | 98.3 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^49]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^51]${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^52]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Female <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |

[^53]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |

[^56]

[^57]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1991 & 1993 & 1995 & 1997 & 1999 & 2001 & 2003 & 2005 & 2007 & 2009 & 2011\end{array}$ | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |  |
| QN10: Percentage of students who ever carried a weapon (such as a gun, knife, or club) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40.3 | 35.8 | 45.3 | 34.8 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {III }}$ | Decreased |
| QN11: Percentage of students who were ever in a physical fight |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39.0 | 35.9 | 44.8 | 36.6 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
| QN14: Percentage of students who ever seriously thought about killing themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.3 | 11.5 | 17.2 | 17.6 | Increased, 2013-2018 | Not available | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who ever made a plan about how they would kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11.1 | 10.0 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN16: Percentage of students who ever tried to kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6.1 | 4.7 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^60]Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |
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## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
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*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland Middle School Survey
Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report


[^63]'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^64]Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report


[^65]2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report


[^66]2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report


[^67]2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report

*Non-Hispanic.
'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^68]'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^69]'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^70]'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report


[^72]

[^73]Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report


[^74]2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report

*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {§ Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland Middle School Survey
Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1991 & 1993 & 1995 & 1997 & 1999 & 2001 & 2003 & 2005 & 2007 & 2009 & 2011\end{array}$ | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 |  |  |  |
| QN14: Percentage of students who ever seriously thought about killing themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25.4 | 15.3 | 16.2 | 23.8 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {dr }}$ | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who ever made a plan about how they would kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10.2 | 14.3 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN16: Percentage of students who ever tried to kill themselves |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8.2 | 11.3 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

"Non-Hispanic.
'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report

*Non-Hispanic.
'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland Middle School Survey
Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report


[^75]2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland Middle School Survey

## Calvert County

Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |

[^76]2018 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland Middle School Survey
Calvert County
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.


[^0]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^1]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^2]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^3]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^4]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^5]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^6]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^7]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^8]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^9]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^10]:    QN44: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on 1 or more days (in an average week when they were in school)

[^11]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^12]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^13]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^14]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^15]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^16]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^17]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^18]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^19]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^20]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^21]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^22]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^23]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^24]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^25]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^26]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^27]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^28]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^29]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^30]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^31]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^32]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^33]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^34]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^35]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^36]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^37]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^38]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^39]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^40]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^41]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^42]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^43]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^44]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^45]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^46]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^47]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^48]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^49]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^50]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^51]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^52]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^53]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^54]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^55]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^56]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^57]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^58]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^59]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^60]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^61]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    §Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^62]:    ${ }^{*}$ Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^63]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^64]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^65]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^66]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^67]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^68]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^69]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^70]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^71]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^72]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^73]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^74]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^75]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^76]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

