



# VALIDATION OF THE BD PROBETEC™ Q<sup>x</sup> AMPLIFIED DNA ASSAY FOR THE DETECTION OF CHLAMYDIA AND GONORRHEA IN A PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY SETTING

Susan Taylor\*, Alan Bricker\*, Lissette Martinez\*, Mandy Tran, Jing-Wen Tan and Maria Paz Carlos, DVM., PhD.  
Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Laboratories Administration, Division of Virology and Immunology

\* Equally contributed.

## BACKGROUND

- *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (GC) infections are the two most commonly reported sexually transmitted diseases.
- Rapid diagnoses of CT and GC infections have significant impacts on preventing complications and reducing transmission.

## INTRODUCTION

- We previously validated the BD Viper System (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, MD) for its performance of the BD ProbeTec™ ET CT and GC Amplified DNA Assays.
- The BD Viper System is a fully automated instrument for the detection of CT and GC in urogenital specimens using the real-time Strand Displacement Amplification technology.
- The system provides for walk-away processing of the extraction, amplification, and detection of target nucleic acids, with a capacity of 184 assay results per run [(92 patient samples extracted /run) x (2 assay results/run) = 184 total results per run].
- The BD Viper System in our laboratory was recently upgraded to perform the BD ProbeTec™ CT/GC Q<sup>x</sup> Amplified DNA Assay (extracted mode).
- Here, we evaluated the clinical agreement of Q<sup>x</sup> Assay when compared to the ET Assay (non-extracted mode) and their operator advantages.

## MATERIALS & METHODS

- Urine specimens previously tested for CT and GC DNA by the BD ProbeTec™ ET CT and GC Amplified DNA, as well as spiked swab samples, were tested by the BD ProbeTec™ CT/GC Q<sup>x</sup> Amplified DNA Assays using the BD Viper System.
- The Q<sup>x</sup> Assay requires the use of new Female Endocervical Specimen Collection Kit and new Male Urethral Specimen Collection Kit.
- Swab diluent spiked with AmpliTrol CT/GC (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

## RESULTS

Table 1. Percentage Agreement of 236 Total Samples Tested (47 CT positive, 39 GC positive)

Assay Type	Specimen Type	% Agreement
CT	Urine (N=186)	100%
	Swab (N=50)	100%
GC	Urine (N=186)	100%
	Swab (N=50)	100%

Sensitivity: 100%; Specificity: 100%

Table 2. Clinical Agreement of 50 Mock Swab Samples

Detection of CT		Intended results/ Spiked or not	
		Positive	Negative
Q <sup>x</sup> Assay (Extracted)	Positive	22	0
	Negative	0	28

Sensitivity = 100%; Specificity = 100%

Detection of GC		Intended results/ Spiked or not	
		Positive	Negative
Q <sup>x</sup> Assay (Extracted)	Positive	22	0
	Negative	0	28

Sensitivity = 100%; Specificity = 100%

Table 3. Clinical Agreement of 186 Urine Samples

Detection of CT		ET Assay (Non-Extracted)	
		Positive	Negative
Q <sup>x</sup> Assay (Extracted)	Positive	25	0
	Negative	0	161

Sensitivity = 100%; Specificity = 100%

Detection of GC		ET Assay (Non-Extracted)	
		Positive	Negative
Q <sup>x</sup> Assay (Extracted)	Positive	17	0
	Negative	0	169

Sensitivity = 100%; Specificity = 100%

Table 4. Work-flow Analysis (per 48 urine samples) of Q<sup>x</sup> Assay in Comparison to the ET Assay

Q <sup>x</sup> Assay (Extracted)	ET Assay (Non-Extracted)
Pre-warm: 15min	Pre-warm: 10min
Cool: 15min	Cool: 15min
Instrument set-up: 20min	Centrifuge: 30min
Instrument clean-up: 20min	Decant, Add diluent, and Vortex: 45min
	Lysing: 30min
	Cool: 15min
	Instrument set-up: 15min
	Instrument clean-up: 15min
Total: 70min	Total: 175min

## SUMMARY

- Least amount of hands-on time: saves 105min of technologist's time that can be re-assigned to other tasks.
- Increased sensitivity and specificity according to the package insert data.
- Less interference due to interfering substances (eg. leukocytes in swab and urine samples; deodorant sprays, Bilirubin, and seminal fluid in urine samples) according to the package insert.
- Higher tolerance for blood in swab samples.
- Additional specimen source (vaginal) is FDA approved.
- No repeat testing of positives: this may reduce the cost since we repeated about 8% of the total samples tested using the non-extracted method.

## CONCLUSIONS

We concluded that the performance of the BD ProbeTec™ Q<sup>x</sup> Assay was equivalent to the performance of the BD ProbeTec™ ET Assay. In addition, the Q<sup>x</sup> Assay offer a major advantage in terms of least amount of hands-on-time required to prepare and process specimens by the laboratory scientists. Further investigation is needed to evaluate the work-flow efficiencies and cost-effectiveness of combining the Q<sup>x</sup> Assay using the automated BD Viper System with lean management.

## REFERENCES

- BD ProbeTec™ *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (GC) Q<sup>x</sup> Amplified DNA Assay Package Insert (BD 8081408 and 8081409).
- BD ProbeTec™ ET *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* Amplified DNA Assays (BD 3300754).
- Towns, B., Pohl, B., Lizzi, M., and Deroian, D. 2008. The Measurement of Reliability of the BD Viper™ System. Am. Assoc. of Clin. Chem. Washington DC.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank Dr. Maria Carlos, Dr. Robert Myers, and Dr. Jack Deboy for their patience, understanding, and continual support of my research effort and personal development. Especially thanks to all the members in the Chlamydia Laboratory for their skilled expertise.

## DISCLAIMER

This research was supported in part by an appointment to the Emerging Infectious Disease (EID) Fellowship Program administered by the Association of Public Health Laboratories (APHL) and funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the researchers and do not necessarily represent the official views of the CDC.

