



Wes Moore, Governor · Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor · Meena Seshamani, M.D., Ph.D., Secretary

## **REGULATORY REVIEW AND EVALUATION ACT**

### **EVALUATION REPORTS – OCTOBER 1, 2026**

**SUBTITLE 38 — BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY EXAMINERS**

**SUBTITLE 41 — BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR AUDIOLOGISTS, HEARING AID DISPENSERS, AND SPEECH LANGUAGE PATHOLOGISTS**

**SUBTITLE 42 — BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS**

**SUBTITLE 43 — BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS**

**SUBTITLE 44 — BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS**

**Submitted By:**

Maryland Department of Health  
Jordan Fisher Blotter, Director  
Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination  
201 W. Preston Street, Room 534  
Baltimore, Maryland 21201  
Phone: 410-767-0938  
Email: [mdh.regs@maryland.gov](mailto:mdh.regs@maryland.gov)

| <b>COMAR 10.38 – BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY EXAMINERS</b>             |                       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                                             | <b>Actions Needed</b> |
| 10.38.01 General Regulations                                         | No Action             |
| 10.38.02 Code of Ethics                                              | Amendment             |
| 10.38.03 Standards of Practice                                       | No Action             |
| 10.38.04 Physical Therapy Aide                                       | No Action             |
| 10.38.05 Rules of Procedure for Board Hearings                       | No Action             |
| 10.38.06 Foreign-Educated Licensure Requirements                     | No Action             |
| 10.38.07 Fee Schedule                                                | No Action             |
| 10.38.08 Continuing Education Requirements                           | EXEMPT                |
| 10.38.09 Speech-Impaired Applicants                                  | Repeal                |
| 10.38.10 Disciplinary Sanctions, Monetary Penalties, and Civil Fines | No Action             |
| 10.38.11 Compelling Purpose Disclosure                               | No Action             |
| 10.38.12 Dry Needling                                                | Amendment             |
| 10.38.13 Telehealth                                                  | EXEMPT                |

| <b>COMAR 10.41 – BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR AUDIOLOGISTS, HEARING AID DISPENSERS, AND SPEECH LANGUAGE PATHOLOGISTS</b> |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                                                                                           | <b>Actions Needed</b> |
| 10.41.01 Collection of Fees                                                                                        | EXEMPT                |
| 10.41.02 Code of Ethics                                                                                            | EXEMPT                |
| 10.41.03 Licensure and Continuing Education                                                                        | EXEMPT                |
| 10.41.04 Rules of Procedure for Board Hearings                                                                     | No Action             |
| 10.41.05 Music Therapy                                                                                             | EXEMPT                |
| 10.41.06 Telehealth                                                                                                | EXEMPT                |
| 10.41.07 Cerumen Management                                                                                        | Amendment             |

|                                                                        |           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 10.41.08 Hearing Aid Dispensing                                        | EXEMPT    |
| 10.41.09 Civil Penalties                                               | No Action |
| 10.41.10 Compelling Purpose Disclosure                                 | No Action |
| 10.41.11 Speech-Language Pathology Assistants and Audiology Assistants | EXEMPT    |
| 10.41.12 Supervision of Students                                       | Amendment |
| 10.41.13 Sanctioning Guidelines                                        | No Action |

| <b>COMAR 10.42 – BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS</b>    |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                               | <b>Actions Needed</b> |
| 10.42.01 Regulations Governing Licensure               | EXEMPT                |
| 10.42.02 Social Work Practice                          | EXEMPT                |
| 10.42.03 Code of Ethics                                | EXEMPT                |
| 10.42.04 Rules of Procedure for Board Hearings         | No Action             |
| 10.42.05 Fee Schedule                                  | No Action             |
| 10.42.06 Continuing Education Requirements             | EXEMPT                |
| 10.42.07 Compelling Purpose Disclosure                 | No Action             |
| 10.42.08 Supervision                                   | EXEMPT                |
| 10.42.09 Disciplinary Sanctions and Monetary Penalties | No Action             |
| 10.42.10 Teletherapy                                   | EXEMPT                |

| <b>COMAR 10.43 – BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS</b> |                            |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                             | <b>Actions Needed</b>      |
| 10.43.01 General Regulations                         | Amendment & Reorganization |
| 10.43.02 Rules of Procedure for Board Hearings       | Amendment                  |
| 10.43.03 Advertising                                 | No Action                  |

| <b>COMAR 10.43 – BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS</b>                       |                                                   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                                                   | <b>Actions Needed</b>                             |
| 10.43.04 Licensure by Credentials for Chiropractors                        | Repeal                                            |
| 10.43.05 Chiropractic Externship Program                                   | EXEMPT                                            |
| 10.43.06 Fees                                                              | Amendment                                         |
| 10.43.07 Chiropractic Assistants                                           | No Action                                         |
| 10.43.08 Licensure and Registration Examination – Special Needs Applicants | Amendment                                         |
| 10.43.09 Monetary Penalties                                                | Amendment                                         |
| 10.43.10 Continuing Education Requirements                                 | EXEMPT                                            |
| 10.43.11 Licensure Examination                                             | Repeal and Adopt New Regulations & Reorganization |
| 10.43.12 Procedures for Clinical Demonstrations in Public Places           | No Action                                         |
| 10.43.13 Code of Ethics                                                    | Amendment                                         |
| 10.43.14 Record Keeping                                                    | Amendment                                         |
| 10.43.15 Sanctioning Guidelines                                            | Amendment                                         |
| 10.43.16 Dry Needling                                                      | EXEMPT                                            |
| 10.43.17 Telehealth                                                        | EXEMPT                                            |

| <b>COMAR 10.44 – BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS</b>                                                                                                                                                                          |                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                | <b>Actions Needed</b> |
| 10.44.01 Dental Assistants                                                                                                                                                                                              | No Action             |
| 10.44.02 Licensure of Graduates of Foreign Dental Schools                                                                                                                                                               | Amendment             |
| 10.44.03 The Conduct of Experimental Programs in Dental Schools or Colleges in the State, Which Use Dental Assistants, Dental Hygienists, or Both, in the Training of Students Enrolled in the Dental School or College | Repeal                |

| <b>COMAR 10.44 – BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS</b>                                                         |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                                                                               | <b>Actions Needed</b> |
| 10.44.04 Practice of Dental Hygiene                                                                    | Amendment             |
| 10.44.05 Licensure of Dentists Licensed in Another State                                               | Amendment             |
| 10.44.06 Advertising                                                                                   | No Action             |
| 10.44.07 Rules of Procedure for the Disciplinary Process                                               | Amendment             |
| 10.44.08 Civil Penalties                                                                               | Amendment             |
| 10.44.09 Licensure for Dental Hygienists Licensed in Another State                                     | Amendment             |
| 10.44.10 Renewal and Reinstatement of Licenses                                                         | No Action             |
| 10.44.11 Inactive Licenses                                                                             | No Action             |
| 10.44.12 Anesthesia and Sedation                                                                       | No Action             |
| 10.44.13 Radiographic Protection                                                                       | No Action             |
| 10.44.14 Approved Specialty Fields and Qualifications for Identification as a Specialist               | No Action             |
| 10.44.15 Dental and Dental Hygienists - Licensure by Examination                                       | Amendment             |
| 10.44.16 Petition for Declaratory Ruling                                                               | Amendment             |
| 10.44.17 Temporary License to Practice Dental Hygiene                                                  | No Action             |
| 10.44.18 Parenteral Sedation                                                                           | VACANT                |
| 10.44.19 Dental Radiation Technologist                                                                 | No Action             |
| 10.44.20 Fees                                                                                          | Amendment             |
| 10.44.21 Practice of Dental Hygiene Under General Supervision in a Facility or Long-Term Care Facility | No Action             |
| 10.44.22 Continuing Education                                                                          | EXEMPT                |
| 10.44.23 Unprofessional Conduct                                                                        | No Action             |
| 10.44.24 Retired Volunteer Licenses                                                                    | No Action             |
| 10.44.25 Compelling Public Purpose                                                                     | No Action             |

| <b>COMAR 10.44 – BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS</b>                                                                      |                       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Chapters Affected</b>                                                                                            | <b>Actions Needed</b> |
| 10.44.26 Volunteer Licenses                                                                                         | Amendment             |
| 10.44.27 Dental Hygiene Practice — General Supervision — Private Office                                             | No Action             |
| 10.44.28 Teachers' Licenses for Graduates of United States or Canadian Dental Schools and Schools of Dental Hygiene | No Action             |
| 10.44.29 Nominations and Balloting for Appointments to Board of Dental Examiners                                    | No Action             |
| 10.44.30 Record Keeping                                                                                             | No Action             |
| 10.44.31 Sanctioning Guidelines                                                                                     | No Action             |
| 10.44.32 General Competency Requirements                                                                            | No Action             |
| 10.44.33 Temporary Licenses for Volunteer Dentists and Dental Hygienists and Temporary Dental Clinic Permits        | No Action             |
| 10.44.34 Inspections – Compliance with Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guidelines                        | EXEMPT                |
| 10.44.35 Limited Drug Dispensing Permits                                                                            | No Action             |

### **EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED**

In accordance with State Government Article, §10-132-1, Annotated Code of Maryland, the Secretary of Health has certified to the Governor and the AELR Committee that a review of the following chapters would not be effective or cost-effective and therefore are exempt from the review process based on the fact that they were either initially adopted (IA), comprehensively amended (CA) during the preceding 8 years, or Federally mandated (FM):

#### **Subtitle 38 BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY EXAMINERS**

|                                            |               |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10.38.08 Continuing Education Requirements | CA 11-13-2023 |
| 10.38.13 Telehealth                        | IA 9-18-2023  |

#### **Subtitle 41 BOARD OF EXAMINERS FOR AUDIOLOGISTS, HEARING AID DISPENSERS, SPEECH-LANGUAGE PATHOLOGISTS, AND MUSIC THERAPISTS**

|                             |             |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 10.41.01 Collection of Fees | CA 8-5-2024 |
| 10.41.02 Code of Ethics     | CA 8-5-2024 |

|          |                                                               |              |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 10.41.03 | Licensure and Continuing Education                            | CA 8-5-2024  |
| 10.41.05 | Music Therapy                                                 | IA 8-5-2024  |
| 10.41.06 | Telehealth                                                    | IA 9-18-2023 |
| 10.41.08 | Hearing Aid Dispensing                                        | CA 8-5-2024  |
| 10.41.11 | Speech-Language Pathology Assistants and Audiology Assistants | CA 8-5-2024  |

#### **Subtitle 42 BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS**

|          |                                   |               |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| 10.42.01 | Regulations Governing Licensure   | CA 6-9-2025   |
| 10.42.02 | Social Work Practice              | CA 6-17-2019  |
| 10.42.03 | Code of Ethics                    | CA 5-20-2019  |
| 10.42.06 | Continuing Education Requirements | CA 12-11-2023 |
| 10.42.08 | Supervision                       | CA 6-17-2019  |
| 10.42.10 | Teletherapy                       | CA 9-18-2023  |

#### **Subtitle 43 BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS**

|          |                                   |              |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| 10.43.05 | Chiropractic Externship Program   | CA 5-20-2019 |
| 10.43.10 | Continuing Education Requirements | CA 1-8-2024  |
| 10.43.16 | Dry Needling                      | IA 4-4-2022  |
| 10.43.17 | Telehealth                        | IA 9-18-2023 |

#### **Subtitle 44 BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS**

|          |                                                                                     |              |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 10.44.22 | Continuing Education                                                                | IA 3-4-2025  |
| 10.44.34 | Inspections – Compliance with Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guidelines | IA 8-18-2025 |

### **CHAPTERS THAT HAVE BEEN REPEALED OR THAT ARE CURRENTLY VACANT**

#### **Subtitle 44 BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS**

|          |                     |        |
|----------|---------------------|--------|
| 10.44.18 | Parenteral Sedation | Vacant |
|----------|---------------------|--------|

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

Person performing review: Laurie Kendall-Ellis  
Title: Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.38.02

Chapter Name: Code of Ethics

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§1-212, 13-206, and 13-316, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: April 4, 2022

Purpose: This chapter governs the professional conduct of physical therapists and physical therapist assistants.

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All license holders were notified electronically about the review, and the review details were posted on the Board website. Regulation review also occurred during open public meetings.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association independently reviewed the matter and informed its members. No comments were received.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board will amend COMAR 10.38.02.02 to update sexual misconduct.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.38.03

Chapter Name: Standards of Practice

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§13-206, 13-309, and 13-310, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: April 4, 2022

Purpose: This chapter specifies the standards of practice and requirements for documentation for physical therapists and physical therapist assistants.

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All license holders were notified electronically about the review, and the review details were posted on the Board website. Regulation review also occurred during open public meetings.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association independently reviewed the matter and informed its members. No comments were received.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.38.04

Chapter Name: Physical Therapy Aide

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §13-206, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: April 16, 2001

Purpose: This chapter governs the activities of physical therapy aides.

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All license holders were notified electronically about the review, and the review details were posted on the Board website. Regulation review also occurred during open public meetings.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association independently reviewed the matter and informed its members. No comments were received.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | ExecutiveDirector    |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.38.05

Chapter Name: Rules of Procedure for Board Hearings

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§13-206 and 13-317; State Government Article, §§10-205 and 10-206; Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: March 18, 2002

Purpose: This chapter governs procedures for disciplinary matters and hearings before the State Board of Physical Therapy Examiners.

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All license holders were notified electronically about the review, and the review details were posted on the Board website. Regulation review also occurred during open public meetings.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association independently reviewed the matter and informed its members. No comments were received.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.38.06

Chapter Name: Foreign-Educated Licensure Requirements

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§13-206, 13-207, 13-301—13-303, 13-305—13-307, and 13-311, Annotated Co

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: April 4, 2022

Purpose: This chapter outlines the requirements for licensure, reexamination, issuance of licenses, and restrictions for foreign-educated physical therapists.

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All license holders were notified electronically about the review, and the review details were posted on the Board website. Regulation review also occurred during open public meetings.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association independently reviewed the matter and informed its members. No comments were received.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.38.07

Chapter Name: Fee Schedule

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§13-206 and 13-207, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: August 18, 2025

Purpose: These regulations govern all persons licensed or applying for licensure by the Board.

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

All license holders were notified electronically about the review, and the review details were posted on the Board website. Regulation review also occurred during open public meetings.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association independently reviewed the matter and informed its members. No comments were received.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☒ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☒ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that this chapter is no longer necessary due to technological advances since inception.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review of this chapter, the Board determined that no changes are needed at this time.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

- C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review, the Board intends to amend COMAR 10.38.12. The purpose of this action will:

- (1) Update certain definitions;
- (2) Clarify minimum education and training requirements to practice dry needling;
- (3) Add the authority for physical therapist assistants to practice dry needling under supervision;
- (4) Establish a provisional registration for physical therapists and physical therapist assistants to practice dry needling; and
- (5) Add dry needling continuing education requirement language.

|                           |                      |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Person performing review: | Laurie Kendall-Ellis |
| Title:                    | Executive Director   |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notification of public meetings were posted on the Board's website at <https://health.maryland.gov/boardsahs/Pages/Index.aspx>  
The upcoming Regulatory Review was discussed during open Board meetings.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board rarely conducts hearings, but the regulations are clear and no changes are needed.

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Person performing review: | Keena Stephenson   |
| Title:                    | Executive Director |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notification of public meetings were posted on the Board's website at <https://health.maryland.gov/boardsahs/Pages/Index.aspx>  
The upcoming Regulatory Review was discussed during open Board meetings.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The amendments to this chapter are in the process of being promulgated as of August 2026.  
Tentative publication in the Maryland Register will be October 16, 2026.

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Person performing review: | Keena Stephenson   |
| Title:                    | Executive Director |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notification of public meetings were posted on the Board's website at:  
<https://health.maryland.gov/boardsahs/Pages/Index.aspx>  
The upcoming Regulatory Review was discussed during open Board meetings.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board determined that it rarely issues civil penalties and that the current regulations are not in need of any changes.

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Person performing review: | Keena Stephenson   |
| Title:                    | Executive Director |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notification of public meetings were posted on the Board's website at:  
<https://health.maryland.gov/boardsahs/Pages/Index.aspx>  
The upcoming Regulatory Review was discussed during Open Board meetings.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board determined that the current regulations governing compelling public disclosure are not in need of any changes.

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Person performing review: | Keena Stephenson   |
| Title:                    | Executive Director |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notification of public meetings were posted on the Board's website at:  
<https://health.maryland.gov/boardsahs/Pages/Index.aspx>  
The upcoming Regulatory Review was discussed during open Board meetings.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board believes that in light of an evolving working and educational environment, the regulations should allow for the acknowledgment of virtual/remote supervision through this chapter. Updating the regulations to reflect this change will provide the appropriate and necessary governance.

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Person performing review: | Keena Stephenson   |
| Title:                    | Executive Director |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notification of public meetings were posted on the Board's website at:  
<https://health.maryland.gov/boardsahs/Pages/Index.aspx>  
The upcoming Regulatory Review was discussed during Open Board meetings.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board determined that the current regulations are suitable and that there is not a need for change.

|                           |                    |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Person performing review: | Keena Stephenson   |
| Title:                    | Executive Director |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Comparison of regulation with Virginia and Delaware. No relevant information found that warrants any changes to Maryland regulation.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After Board review, no changes or action is needed at this time.

Person performing review:

Title:

Karen Richards, LCSW-C  
Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Comparison of regulation with Virginia and Delaware. No relevant information found that warrants any changes to Maryland regulation.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After Board review, no changes or action is needed at this time.

Person performing review:

Karen Richards, LCSW-C

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Comparison of regulation with Virginia and Delaware. No relevant information found that warrants any changes to Maryland regulation.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After Board review, no changes or action is needed at this time.

Person performing review:

Title:

Karen Richards, LCSW-C  
Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Comparison of regulation with Virginia and Delaware. No relevant information found that warrants any changes to Maryland regulation.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After Board review, no changes or action is needed at this time.

|                           |                        |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Person performing review: | Karen Richards, LCSW-C |
| Title:                    | Executive Director     |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.01                                                                                                                                 |
| Chapter Name:                            | General Regulations                                                                                                                      |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, Title 3, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                                          |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | January 2, 2017                                                                                                                          |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter outlines the requirements for Board members/staff, education and examination, licensure, and compelling purpose disclosure. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB), Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and submit informal feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes is published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback and comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

One non-substantive comment was received which required no response from the Board.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The proposed changes streamlines and consolidates regulatory provisions in sequential chapters, providing a user friendly flow of information for licensees, registrants and the public.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☒ reorganization

Summary:

The proposed changes to this chapter will streamline and consolidate regulatory provisions, providing a clearer flow of information for licensees, registrants and the public.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.02                                                                                                                                        |
| Chapter Name:                            | Rules of Procedure for Board Hearings                                                                                                           |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §3-205(a)(1); State Government Article, §10-206;<br>Annotated Code of Maryland                                      |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | August 6, 2001                                                                                                                                  |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter applies to all contested case hearings before the Board of Chiropractic Examiners or before the Office of Administrative Hearings. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB), Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and submit informal feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

Recommended consistency in language, but no substantive changes to the proposed regulations. The recommendation is under review by the Board.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The Board reviewed the disciplinary process of surrounding states (Virginia, Pennsylvania, Delaware, West Virginia, North Carolina), and the District of Columbia to ensure consistency in the investigative and disciplinary process. Standards vary by state. However, there is some uniformity amongst some MD Health Occupations boards.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Many states did not clearly outline disciplinary procedures and the types of informal disciplinary tools available to a Board.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? X Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? X Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Health Occupations Article, § 3-205. Additional powers and duties of Board grants the Board the authority to adopt rules and regulations to carry out the provisions of this title; and investigate allegations of violation of the Act. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The proposed amendments will add new language regarding current Board policies and guidelines for conducting investigations, and authorizes the Board to issue informal disciplinary letters. Additionally, the chapter title may be amended to “Disciplinary Procedures”. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Person performing review:

|               |
|---------------|
| Sharon Oliver |
|---------------|

Title:

|                    |
|--------------------|
| Executive Director |
|--------------------|

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.43.03

Chapter Name: Advertising

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §3-401, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: January 2, 2017

Purpose: A chiropractor may advertise chiropractic services subject to the provisions of this chapter.

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Not applicable. No changes required.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No **Not Applicable**

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After review, the Board determined that action is not required for this chapter.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.04                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Chapter Name:                            | Licensure by Credentials for Chiropractors                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §§3-302.1 and 3-305, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | January 2, 2017                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter applies to all chiropractors seeking licensure by credentials in Maryland. Since licensure by credentials is a privilege, the Board reserves the right to accept or deny this method of licensure based on Regulation .02 of this chapter. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☒ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB), Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and submit informal feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026 meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

Feedback was received from one licensee, recommending consistency in language, expanding acceptable Jurisprudence examination providers, accepting criminal history records check from an equivalent foreign entity. The Board is reviewing the recommendation.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Between 2023 and 2024, the Board completed a comprehensive study of barriers to entry into the chiropractic profession in Maryland. Licensure laws and regulations for various states and Maryland Boards were studied. In 2025, provisions of law governing licensure of chiropractors, and education standards were enacted by the Maryland General Assembly to reduce these barriers and align licensure requirements with other states and the mandate to assist military personnel with license portability. See SB52.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Only 16 of the 50 states required the completion of a Bachelors degree to qualify for licensure. Many chiropractic school now offer hybrid education models. Surrounding, states now accepted hybrid education.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? Yes X No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? X Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

Regulations are required to implement Temporary Licensure, clearly define pathways for foreign born and education chiropractors to obtain licensure, and license renewal and reactivation requirements. See SB052 (2025)

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☒ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

This chapter will be repealed.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                            |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.06                                                                                                                   |
| Chapter Name:                            | Fees                                                                                                                       |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §§3-205 and 3-206, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                  |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | January 2, 2017                                                                                                            |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter governs those who are licensed and registered or who are applying for licensure or registration by the Board. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB), Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and submit informal feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

Two PIA requests were received from a licensee and a public member.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The Board has reviewed fees of several states, including Pennsylvania, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, Florida, Arizona, Alabama and the District of Columbia.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? X Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes X No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board has not increased any fees since 2017. To effectively and responsibly support staffing necessary carry out the mandate to protect the public health of the citizens of Maryland through timely licensure; timely investigation of complaints; secured, technologically advanced applications and management information systems; it is necessary to increase fees. Further delay will result in a budget deficit within the next 2 fiscal years.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.07                                                                                                       |
| Chapter Name:                            | Chiropractic Assistants                                                                                        |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §§3-205, 3-302.1, and 3-404, Annotated Code of Maryland                            |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | April 4, 2022                                                                                                  |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter outlines the requirements for licensure, examination, and supervision of chiropractic assistants. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☒ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB). The Board is actively evaluating alternative approaches to administering the Chiropractic Assistant Trainee Program, with the objective of reducing administrative burden on Board staff. Options include outsourcing the program to private career schools, Board-approved course providers, and colleges.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To be determined.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

To be determined.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

A committee of the Board reviewed and discussed the parameters of Certified Chiropractic Assistant program offered by the FCLB.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? X Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes X No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

The Board will promulgate regulations for this chapter at the conclusion of the study, and the best alternative to administer the training program in Maryland.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No action required until the Board determines how best to revamp and administer the Chiropractic Assistant Trainee Program.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                                                           |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.08                                                                                                                                                  |
| Chapter Name:                            | Licensure and Registration Examination – Special Needs Applicants                                                                                         |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §§3-205 and 3-304, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                                                 |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | August 6, 2001                                                                                                                                            |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter establishes the procedures to be followed by examination applicants who have special needs because of a disability or religious convictions. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? X Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB). Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and provide feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

None received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

No recent legislation.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Amendments will be made to change verbiage from handicap to disability, to clarify the Board's jurisprudence examination and repeal obsolete language.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.09                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Chapter Name:                            | Monetary Penalties                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §3-314, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | January 2, 2017                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter establishes standards for the imposition of penalties not exceeding \$5,000 against any chiropractor in the State if, after a hearing, the Board finds that there are grounds under Health Occupations Article, §3-313, Annotated Code of Maryland, to suspend or revoke a license. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB). Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and provide feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

Feedback was received from one licensee, recommending non-substantive edits to the regulations. For example, changing chiropractor and chiropractic assistant to licensee and registrant.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Other MD Health Occupations Board are authorized to assess monetary penalties against **all** licensees, registrants or certificate holders who violate their Practice Acts. Monetary assessments are not limited to one license category.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? X Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes X No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

No new legislation was enacted.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The chapter is being amended to ensure the Board is authorized to impose monetary fines against licensee and registrants for violation of disciplinary grounds under Health Occupations Article, §3-313, Annotated Code of Maryland.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.11                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Chapter Name:                            | Licensure Examination                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §§3-202, 3-205, and 3-301—3-304, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                                                                                      |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | January 2, 2017                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter establishes the requirements for licensure by examination for all applicants, except applicants who qualify for licensure under Health Occupations Article, §3-305, Annotated Code of Maryland. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

|     |
|-----|
| N/A |
|-----|

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

|     |
|-----|
| N/A |
|-----|

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☒ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☒ reorganization

Summary:

Provisions governing Licensure Examination will be reorganized and included in a revised regulation chapter 10.43.04

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                       |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.12                                                                                                              |
| Chapter Name:                            | Procedures for Clinical Demonstrations in Public Places                                                               |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §3-205, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                        |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | January 2, 2017                                                                                                       |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter governs all chiropractic clinical demonstrations in public places by licensed chiropractors in Maryland. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

|     |
|-----|
| N/A |
|-----|

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

|     |
|-----|
| N/A |
|-----|

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

|  |
|--|
|  |
|--|

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

|                                                           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| The Board has determined that revisions are not required. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|

Person performing review:

|               |
|---------------|
| Sharon Oliver |
|---------------|

Title:

|                    |
|--------------------|
| Executive Director |
|--------------------|

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                        |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.13                                                                               |
| Chapter Name:                            | Code of Ethics                                                                         |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §§1-212, 3-205, and 3-313, Annotated Code of Maryland      |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | December 30, 2019                                                                      |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter applies to licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB). Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and informal feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

(4) Provide summaries of:

- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
- (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments received from stakeholders.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Not applicable.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Not applicable.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Regulations of other MD Health Occupations boards (Dental, Physicians, Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Nursing) were reviewed to ensure consistency in the definition of sexual misconduct.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

No recent legislation enacted.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The proposed amendments will clearly define actions that constitute sexual misconduct by a licensee or registrant and if it warrants possible disciplinary sanctions.

EPerson performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                           |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.14                                                                                                  |
| Chapter Name:                            | Record Keeping                                                                                            |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §3-205, Annotated Code of Maryland                                            |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | December 30, 2019                                                                                         |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter establishes the minimum standards for the documentation of patient care by the chiropractor. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB). Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and provide feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

Non-substantive edits recommended. Recommendations under consideration by the Board.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Recordkeeping regulations of the District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, Delaware and the FCLB's Model Recordkeeping Regulations were completed.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Recordkeeping regulations of several MD Health Occupations boards were reviewed.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no recent legislation enacted related to record keeping.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Amendments will be made in proposed regulations to establish the minimum standards for documentation required in a patient's medical records.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

|                                          |                                                                                                                                    |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chapter Codification:                    | 10.43.15                                                                                                                           |
| Chapter Name:                            | Sanctioning Guidelines                                                                                                             |
| Authority:                               | Health Occupations Article, §§1-606, 3-313, and 3-315, Annotated Code of Maryland                                                  |
| Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: | January 2, 2017                                                                                                                    |
| Purpose:                                 | This chapter shall be used by the Board and the Maryland Office of Administrative Hearings as a framework for imposing discipline. |

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Maryland Chiropractic Association (MCA), Federation of Chiropractic Licensing Boards (FCLB). Maryland active and inactive licensed chiropractors and registered chiropractic assistants were invited to review the proposed regulations and provide informal feedback.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

1. Stakeholders were emailed a copy of proposed regulations with a request for informal comments.
2. Notice given in Board Open Sessions
3. Notice of the proposed changes published on the Board's website.
4. An August 11, 2026, meeting is scheduled with representations of the MCA for additional feedback.
5. Two public hearings are scheduled for August 13 (virtual) and August 20, 2026 (in-person) to solicit additional feedback.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? X Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes X No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

No new legislation.

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The proposed amendments will repeal duplicative language and provisions not applicable to the chiropractic profession.

Person performing review:

Sharon Oliver

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which set forth the educational requirements and permitted oral procedures for the various categories of dental assistants.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

COMAR 10.44.01 Dental Assistants was recently promulgated and remains in the public interest.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman

Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which delineate the educational requirements and duties of those who hold licenses from foreign dental schools.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

- C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

- D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The amendments will: 1) add foreign trained faculty at the University of Maryland School of Dentistry who, if they meet certain requirements, will qualify for a general dental license if they have completed at least 7 consecutive years as a full-time faculty member; 2) delete the limitation that the only foreign trained dentists eligible to obtain a general dental license are those who have completed a pediatric dental residency program at a dental school or hospital authorized by any state and recognized by the Board; and 3) the name "University of Maryland Dental School" will be renamed the "University of Maryland School of Dentistry."

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☒ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The Board is unaware of any states that permit dental assistants or dental hygienists to exceed their scope of practice in experimental programs in dental schools.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☒ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The regulations provide for the use of dental assistants or dental hygienists in experimental programs at the University of Maryland School of Dentistry or other college in the State, wherein the dental assistant or dental hygienist may be performing dental procedures in violation of the law or regulations of the Board. The Board has communicated with the University of Maryland School of Dentistry and has been advised that the school has never utilized such a program, and has no intention of utilizing a program wherein dental assistants or dental hygienists perform dental procedures outside their scope of practice.

|                           |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Person performing review: | Murray Sherman  |
| Title:                    | Legal Assistant |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which delineate the educational requirements and duties of those who hold licenses from foreign dental schools.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Revise outdated language to conform to present usage, and add additional permissible duties.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which set forth the process for dentists who are licensed in another state to obtain a license in their state.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Update the name of the American Dental Licensing Examination to be the ADEX Dental Examination.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which set forth the parameters for dental advertising.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which delineate their disciplinary process.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board will update outdated citations to the Annotated Code of Maryland.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman

Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which provide for civil penalties that may be imposed against licensees and certificate holders.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board will update the regulations to delete the definition "Universal precautions" that does not appear in the body of the chapter's text.

|                           |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Person performing review: | Murray Sherman  |
| Title:                    | Legal Assistant |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which set forth the process for dental hygienists who are licensed in another state to obtain a license in their state.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Update the name of the American Dental Hygiene Licensing Examination to be the ADEX Dental Hygiene Examination.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman

Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which set forth the process renewal and reinstatement of dental and dental hygiene licenses.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which allow a dentist or dental hygienist to place their license on inactive status and reactivate the license at a later time.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which allow a dentist to obtain an anesthesia or sedation permit.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations which govern radiographic protection of patients in a dental office.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations governing those dentists who advertise themselves to the public as specialists.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have regulations governing the licensure of dentists and dental hygienists who hold licenses in another state.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Update the names of the dental licensing examination and the dental hygiene licensing examination administered by the American Board of Dental Examiners to reflect their current names.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Most states have regulations providing for the filing of a petition for declaratory ruling.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Update internal references to the Annotated Code of Maryland.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A number of states have provisions for temporary licenses.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The majority of states have provisions for either the certification or licensing of dental radiation technologists.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

All states provide for required fees for dental licensure, dental hygiene licensure, dental radiation certificates, and ancillary services.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board seeks to increase its fees to remain solvent. The Board has not had a comprehensive fee increase for over 13 years. The regulations establishing expanded function dental assisting were finalized on August 31, 2026. Fees for expanded function dental assistants also went into effect on August 31, 2026. The amendments were limited to fees for expanded function dental assistants and will have no effect on the Board's solvency.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman

Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

The great majority of states provide the circumstances upon which a dental hygienist may perform intraoral procedures without a dentist on the premises.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

All states have regulations prohibiting unprofessional conduct of licensees and certificate holders.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

|                           |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Person performing review: | Murray Sherman  |
| Title:                    | Legal Assistant |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Although the license may go by a different name, there are states that allow dentists and dental hygienists to volunteer their services without the need to maintain a full general license.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

|                           |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Person performing review: | Murray Sherman  |
| Title:                    | Legal Assistant |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A number of states have similar provisions allowing the disclosure of information under their public information acts under certain enumerated circumstances, when the general public information act laws would not allow the disclosure.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Several states allow out of state dentists and dental hygienists to volunteer their services without compensation.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Update examination language to conform to present usage.

|                           |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Person performing review: | Murray Sherman  |
| Title:                    | Legal Assistant |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Many states allow dental hygienists to work in a private dental office under the general supervision of a dentist.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

|                           |                 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Person performing review: | Murray Sherman  |
| Title:                    | Legal Assistant |

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Several states have provisions for obtaining a teacher's license to practice dentistry or dental hygiene.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Other state dental boards do not appear to have a nomination and balloting process.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

All states have provisions dealing with the proper production and maintenance of patient records by dentists.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Most states have guidelines dealing with the imposition of sanctions against licensees and certificate holders,

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Most states have guidelines that establish competency requirements for dentists.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Several states have regulations that allow out of state licensees to participate in temporary clinics providing care to poor and indigent.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

Notice was posted on the Department of Health's Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination website titled Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: 2020-2028 soliciting comments for affected subtitles including 10.44 Board of Dental Examiners. No comments were received. Any associated regulations requiring promulgation following approval by the Secretary of Health, will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received from stakeholders, affected units or the public.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

There were no interunit conflicts.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

Scientific data was not gathered as it was not necessary.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Several states have regulations regarding dentists who dispense prescription drugs.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

None.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A.

**D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

N/A.

Person performing review: Murray Sherman  
Title: Legal Assistant