



Wes Moore, Governor · Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor · Meena Seshamani, M.D., Ph.D., Secretary

REGULATORY REVIEW AND EVALUATION ACT

EVALUATION REPORTS – OCTOBER 1, 2025

SUBTITLE 31 — HEALTH OCCUPATIONS BOARDS

SUBTITLE 33 — BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF NURSING HOME ADMINISTRATORS

SUBTITLE 34 — BOARD OF PHARMACY

SUBTITLE 35 — POSTMORTEM EXAMINERS COMMISSION

SUBTITLE 36 — BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF PSYCHOLOGISTS

Submitted By:

Maryland Department of Health
Jordan Fisher Blotter, Director
Office of Regulation and Policy Coordination
201 W. Preston Street, Room 534
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
Phone: 410-767-0938
Email: mdh.regs@maryland.gov

COMAR 10.31 – HEALTH OCCUPATION BOARDS	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.31.01 – Code of Conduct for Board Members and Investigators	No Action
10.31.02 – Tax Compliance Regulations	No Action

COMAR 10.33 – NURSING HOME ADMINISTRATORS	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.33.01 – Nursing Home Administrators	Repeal & Adopt New

COMAR 10.34 – BOARD OF PHARMACY	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.34.01 – Disciplinary Proceedings	No Action
10.34.02 – Examination and Licensure and Professional Experience Programs	No Action
10.34.03 – Institutional Pharmacy	No Action
10.34.04 – Transfer and Outsourcing of Prescriptions and Prescription Orders	Amendment
10.34.05 – Pharmacy Security	No Action
10.34.06 – Reporting Pharmacist's Pharmacy Intern's, and Pharmacy Technician's Mailing Address and Location of Employment	No Action
10.34.07 – Pharmacy Equipment	Amendment
10.34.08 – Information Required for Prescription or Patient Drug Profiles	No Action
10.34.09 – Fees	No Action
10.34.10 – Pharmacist, Pharmacy Intern, and Pharmacy Technician Code of Conduct	No Action
10.34.11 – Disciplinary Sanctions, Monetary Penalties, and Civil Fines	No Action
10.34.12 – Removal of Expired Medication	No Action

COMAR 10.34 – BOARD OF PHARMACY	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.34.13 – Reinstatement of Expired Licenses for Pharmacists	No Action
10.34.14 – Opening and Closing of Pharmacies	No Action
10.34.15 – Licensure by Reciprocity	No Action
10.34.16 – Portable Drug Kits for Licensed Home Health Agencies, Hospices, and Home Infusion Providers Licensed as Residential Service Agencies	No Action
10.34.17 – Waiver of Full Service Requirements for Recognized Pharmaceutical Specialties	No Action
10.34.18 – Continuing Education for Pharmacists	No Action
10.34.19 – Sterile Compounding	Amendment
10.34.20 – Format of Prescription Transmission	No Action
10.34.21 – Standard of Practice for Unlicensed Personnel	No Action
10.34.22 – Licensing of Wholesale Prescription Drug or Device Distributors	No Action
10.34.23 – Pharmaceutical Services to Patients in Comprehensive Care Facilities	No Action
10.34.24 – Record of Drug Inventory Acquisition	No Action
10.34.25 – Delivery of Prescriptions	No Action
10.34.26 – Patient Safety Improvements	No Action
10.34.27 – Compelling Purpose Disclosure	No Action
10.34.28 – Automated Medication Systems	No Action
10.34.29 – Drug Therapy Management	No Action
10.34.30 – Change to Permit–Pharmacy or Wholesale Distribution Permit Holder	EXEMPT
10.34.31 – Dispensing or Distributing at a Setting That Does Not Possess a Pharmacy Permit	No Action

COMAR 10.34 – BOARD OF PHARMACY	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.34.32 – Pharmacist Administration of Vaccines	Amendment
10.34.33 – Prescription Drug Repository Program	No Action
10.34.34 – Pharmacy Technicians	EXEMPT
10.34.35 – Infusion Pharmacy Services in an Alternative Site Care Environment	No Action
10.34.36 – Pharmaceutical Services to Residents in Assisted Living Programs and Group Homes	No Action
10.34.37 – Pharmacy Permit Holder – Wholesale Distribution and Nonresident Pharmacy Operations	No Action
10.34.38 – Pharmacy Interns	No Action
10.34.39 – Pharmacists Administration of Self Administered Drugs	No Action
10.34.40 – Pharmacists Prescribing Contraceptives	EXEMPT
10.34.41 – Administration of a Maintenance Injectable Medication	EXEMPT
10.34.42 – Pharmacist Prescribing of a Nicotine Replacement Therapy Medication	EXEMPT

COMAR 10.35 – POSTMORTEM EXAMINERS COMMISSION	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.35.01 – Medical Examiner Cases	Amendment
10.35.02 – Testing Blood and Breath for Alcohol	Repeal

COMAR 10.36 – BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF PSYCHOLOGISTS	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.36.01 – Procedures	Amendment
10.36.02 – Continuing Education	No Action
10.36.03 – Procedure for Board Hearings	No Action

COMAR 10.36 – BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF PSYCHOLOGISTS	
Chapters Affected	Actions Needed
10.36.05 – Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct	No Action
10.36.06 – Fee Schedule	Amendment
10.36.07 – Psychology Associate	Amendment
10.36.08 – Disciplinary Sanctions, Monetary Penalties, and Civil Fines	No Action
10.36.09 – Child Custody Evaluations in Family Law Proceedings	No Action
10.36.10 – Telepsychology	EXEMPT

EXEMPTIONS REQUESTED

In accordance with State Government Article, §10-132-1, Annotated Code of Maryland, the Secretary of Health has certified to the Governor and the AELR Committee that a review of the following chapters would not be effective or cost-effective and therefore are exempt from the review process based on the fact that they were either initially adopted (IA), comprehensively amended (CA) during the preceding 8 years, or Federally mandated (FM):

Subtitle 34 BOARD OF PHARMACY

10.34.30 Change to Permit — Pharmacy or Wholesale Distribution Permit Holder	CA 5-20-2019
10.34.34 Pharmacy Technicians	CA 1-28-2024
10.34.40 Pharmacists Prescribing Contraceptives	IA 7-2-2018
10.34.41 Administration of a Maintenance Injectable Medication	IA 6-13-2022

Subtitle 36 BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF PSYCHOLOGISTS

10.36.10 Telepsychology	IA 5-20-20
-------------------------	------------

CHAPTERS THAT HAVE BEEN REPEALED OR THAT ARE CURRENTLY VACANT

Subtitle 36 Board of Examiners of Psychologists

10.36.04 Expungement of Board Records	Vacant
---------------------------------------	--------

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.31.01

Chapter Name: Code of Conduct for Board Members and Investigators

Authority: Authority: Health-General Article, §2-104; Health Occupations Article, §§1-203, 1A-205, 2-205, 3-205, 4-205,

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: July 24, 2000

Purpose: This chapter establishes a code of conduct for members of health occupation boards within the Maryland Department of Health and for investigators employed by the health occupation boards with the exception of the Board of Nursing.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Health Occupations boards and Administrator for Appointments and Executive Nominations

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Comments were solicited and published in the Maryland Register.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

None

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

No additional information found.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

COMAR 10.31.01 continues to be necessary for the public interest and is supported by statutory authority.

Person performing review:	Zakiyyah Holmes
Title:	HO Boards Administrator

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.31.02

Chapter Name: Tax Compliance Regulations

Authority: Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§1-213, 1A-205, 2-205, 3-205, 4-205, 5-205, 7-205, 8-205, 9-205, 10-

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: March 12, 2018

Purpose: This chapter ensures that a certificate holder, licensee, registration holder, or permit holder pays all undisputed taxes and unemployment insurance contributions, as determined by and payable to the Office of the Comptroller or the Secretary of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation, in a manner satisfactory to the unit of the State responsible for collection of the payment.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Health Occupations boards and Administrator for Appointments and Executive Nominations

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Comments were solicited and published in the Maryland Register.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

None

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

No additional information found.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

COMAR 10.31.02 continues to be necessary for the public interest and is supported by statutory authority.

Person performing review:	Zakiyyah Holmes
Title:	HO Boards Administrator

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.33.01

Chapter Name: Nursing Home Administrators

Authority: General Provisions Article, §4-333; Health Occupations Article, §§1-212, 1-606, and 9-101—9-502; State Government Article, §10-226; Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: chapter revised March 19, 2012

Purpose: The purpose of this chapter is to provide information in the following areas: definitions of nursing home administrator related terms, board meetings/duties/powers, the licensing process, fees, examinations, continuing education, administrator-in-training, preceptors, suspension, complaints/hearing procedures, board hearings, sanctions/fines, license reinstatement, and other information applicable to nursing home administrators.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ), MD Department of Aging, Leading Age Maryland, and Lifespan Network

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;

-
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Notification of public meetings were posted on the Board's website, and the review of this chapter was also discussed in open Board meetings. Regulations drafts were also shared with numerous stakeholders including the Office of Health Care Quality (OHCQ), MD Department of Aging, Leading Age Maryland, and Lifespan Network. Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the *Maryland Register* for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

The majority of the stakeholders' comments were related to specific exemptions to licensing requirements; qualifications and supporting documentation for individuals who are currently working as assisted living managers; 80-hour assisted living manager training requirement; and the process for obtaining criminal history background checks. The Board and the Office of Health Care Quality referred to their statutes and regulations to provide further clarification to support the regulation amendments.

- (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict. N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered. N/A

-
- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the

N/A

federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered. N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

The Board is in the process of repealing this chapter in its entirety and adopting a new subtitle and new chapters in accordance with the passage of SB 720/HB 1034 (chs. 689 and 690), Acts of 2022. The Board is now the State Board of Long-Term Care Administrators.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☒ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board is in the process of repealing this chapter in its entirety and adopting a new subtitle and new chapters in accordance with the passage of SB 720/HB 1034 (chs. 689 and 690), Acts of 2022. The Board is now the State Board of Long-Term Care Administrators. Additionally, regulations are currently being developed for the State's assisted living managers.

Person performing review:

Linda Burrell-Warr

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

None

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

None.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. There no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

None.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. There no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on January 21, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

None.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board voted to amend the regulation to to clarify and update the procedure for the transfer of non-controlled and dangerous substances between pharmacies; added a new regulation which allows for the transfer of controlled dangerous substances in accordance with federal law.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was collected.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.34.06

Chapter Name: Reporting Pharmacists, Pharmacy Interns & Pharmacy Techs Mailing Address & Location of Employment

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §12-205, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: October 21, 2022

Purpose: This chapter provides requirements for Pharmacists, Pharmacy Interns, and Pharmacy Technicians to provide the Board with their current mailing address and current employer and business address.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Board of Pharmacy posted this regulation on the Board's website and in the Board's agenda for public review and comment on January 17, 2025.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board of Pharmacy posted this regulation on the Board's website and in the Board's agenda for public review and comment on January 17, 2025. The regulation was posted on the Board's website until February 12, 2025.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

No conflict involved in the review of this regulation.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

COMAR 10.34.06 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licenses to provide a current personal and business mailing address.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

COMAR 10.34.06 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licenses to provide a current personal and business mailing address.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was collected.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

All licensed pharmacists, registered pharmacy interns, and pharmacy technicians are required to maintain accurate and up-to-date contact information with the Board of Pharmacy. This critical requirement ensures effective and timely communication between the Board of Pharmacy and its licensees, facilitating the dissemination of vital information, updates, and compliance requirements.

Person performing review:	Julie Gaskins
Title:	Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.34.07

Chapter Name: Pharmacy Equipment

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §12-205 and 12-403, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: October 22, 2022

Purpose: This chapter provides requirements for the use of equipment within licensed pharmacies.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Board of Pharmacy posted these regulations on the Board's website and in the Board's agenda for public review and comment on January 17, 2025.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board of Pharmacy posted these regulations on the Board's website and in the Board's agenda for public review and comment on January 17, 2025. The regulation was posted on the Board's website until February 12, 2025.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

No conflict involved in the review of this regulation.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

COMAR 10.34.07 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licensees to maintain temperature control standards.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

COMAR 10.34.07 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licensees to maintain temperature control standards.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

COMAR 10.34.07 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licensees to maintain temperature control standards.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board voted to amend this chapter to clarify the requirement for a pharmacy to have a refrigerator and freezer, if applicable for the storage of drugs requiring refrigeration.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board of Pharmacy posted these regulations on the Board's website and in the Board's agenda for public review and comment on March 19, 2025.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

No conflict involved in the review of this regulation.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

COMAR 10.34.08 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Boards of Pharmacy regulations requiring specific information on original and refill prescriptions or patient drug profiles or computerized patient drug records.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

COMAR 10.34.08 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Boards of Pharmacy regulations requiring specific information on original and refill prescriptions or patient drug profiles or computerized patient drug records.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

COMAR 10.34.08 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Boards of Pharmacy regulations requiring specific information on original and refill prescriptions or patient drug profiles or computerized patient drug records.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title:

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.34.09

Chapter Name: Fees

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§12-205, 12-206, 12-302, 12-303, 12-305, 12-308, 12-310, 12-404, 12-407, 12-

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: December 21, 2015

Purpose: This chapter governs all licensees, permit holders, registrants, or applicants for licenses or permits issued by the Board.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board of Pharmacy posted these regulations on the Board's website and on the Board's agenda for public review and comment on February 19, 2025. The regulation was posted on the Board's website from February 21, 2025 - March 7, 2025.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

COMAR 10.34.12 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licensees to provide adequate provisions to return expired medications.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

COMAR 10.34.12 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licensees to provide adequate provisions to return expired medications.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

COMAR 10.34.12 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licensees to provide adequate provisions to return expired medications.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

COMAR 10.34.12 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Board of Pharmacy regulations requiring licensees to provide adequate provisions to return expired medications.

Person performing review: Julie A. Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.34.15

Chapter Name: Licensure by Reciprocity

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§12-205 and 12-305, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: July 1, 2010

Purpose: The chapter governs the practice of reciprocity for licensed pharmacist in the State of Maryland.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for a twenty day public comment period inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on February 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board voted to amend this regulation to bring it into compliance with USP 797 standards.

Person performing review:	Julie Gaskins
Title:	Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.34.20

Chapter Name: Format of Prescription Transmission

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §12-205(a)(3)(ii), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: October 4, 2010

Purpose: This chapter applies to the conveyance or transmission of prescription orders from authorized prescribers to pharmacies in the State.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 3, 2025 for public comments.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 3, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

The Board received comments regarding 10.34.20.03.08 and the requirements of prescriptions for Schedule II medications. This was addressed in 10.34.04 which was modified and is currently scheduled to be published on July 11, 2025.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.34.22

Chapter Name: Licensing of Wholesale Prescription Drug or Device Distributors

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§12-205, 12-601, and 12-6C-01—12-6C-13, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: July 1, 2014

Purpose: This chapter applies to any person engaged in the wholesale distribution of prescription drugs or devices in Maryland.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board of Pharmacy posted these regulations on the Board's website and in the Board's agenda for public review and comment on June 18, 2025. The regulation was posted on the Board's website for informal public comment on

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

No conflict involved in the review of this regulation.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

COMAR 10.34.24 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Boards of Pharmacy regulations regarding the requirements for drug acquisition records maintained by pharmacy permit holders.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

COMAR 10.34.24 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Boards of Pharmacy regulations regarding the requirements for drug acquisition records maintained by pharmacy permit holders.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

COMAR 10.34.24 is consistent with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy and other State Boards of Pharmacy regarding the requirements for drug acquisition records maintained by pharmacy permit holders.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review:	Julie Gaskins
Title:	Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The regulations were posted on the Board's website on February 19, 2025 and in the Board's Public Agenda for public review and comment on February 19, 2025 inviting all stakeholders to submit comments for consideration.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

Comments received from the public wanted to extend the time to review high-alert medications from six (6) months to twelve (12) months. The stakeholders believed that six months was too short.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

The National Institutes of Health and the Department of Veterans Affairs currently use the six month review of high alert medications.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie A. Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on February 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board amended this chapter to reflect current American Pediatric Association recommendations for vaccinations.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.34.39

Chapter Name: Pharmacists Administration of Self Administered Drugs

Authority: Health-Occupations Article, §§12-101, 12-102(b), and 12-509, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: May 9, 2016

Purpose: This chapter governs the practice of pharmacists administration of self-administered drugs.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

The Board posted this regulation on the Board's website on June 20, 2025 for public comments.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were received.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

None.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

None found.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

None.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

No other information was gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

There are no policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied, nor is there any recent legislation that requires the promulgation of regulations.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board found that this chapter continues to be supported by statutory authority and is effective in accomplishing its intended purpose. Therefore, no action is required.

Person performing review: Julie Gaskins

Title: Legislative Liaison

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

The Postmortem Examiners Commission (PMEC) used to oversee important parts of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (OCME) in Maryland, including hiring medical examiners and setting rules.

Recently, the State of Maryland changed the law through Chapter 268 of the 2023 General Assembly Acts, outlined in House Bill 977. This law shifted the responsibility for OCME operations, including hiring, to the Maryland Department of Health (MDH).

Now, the Secretary of Health has the main authority over the OCME.

The Commission still exists but mainly serves in an advisory role — it no longer has final decision-making power on key actions like hiring the Chief Medical Examiner or approving policies.

- C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

- D. Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The proposed changes update the definitions in COMAR .01 to align with recent statutory revisions. References to the "Postmortem Examiners Commission" are replaced with the "Maryland Department of Health" where appropriate, reflecting the shift in oversight authority. The statutory citation for "Medical Examiner" is corrected from §5-308 to §5-301 of the Health-General Article. Additionally, the definition of a "Medical Examiner Case" is revised to adopt the language outlined in Health-General Article, §5-308(a), ensuring consistency with updated state law. No substantive changes are made to the definitions of forensic investigator duties, qualifications of medical examiners, or exclusions listed under medical examiner cases.

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The revisions clarify that deaths potentially falling under OCME jurisdiction must be reported according to Health-General Article §5-308(a). Language is added to authorize forensic investigators, alongside medical examiners, to investigate death-related locations with law enforcement or court approval. Additionally, the term "investigation" is added to reinforce that healthcare facilities cannot deny medical examiner access to bodies under review.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The changes update the language to directly reference Health-General Article §5-308(a) for clarity and legal alignment. They replace the phrase "an individual dying" with "any death per Health-General Article, §5-308(a)," ensuring consistency with statutory definitions and emphasizing that all qualifying deaths must be examined by the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner or an approved location.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No changes

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean

Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No changes

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The revisions clarify language to align directly with medical examiner jurisdiction standards under Health-General Article §5-308(a). Wording was updated to specify that deaths not under medical examiner jurisdiction will not be accepted simply due to lack of hospital autopsy permission, and that legitimate medical examiner cases cannot be withheld even if hospital autopsy consent is obtained.

Person performing review: **Stephanie A. Dean, MD**
Title: **Chief Medical Examiner**

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No action

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The updates require hospital agents to provide additional information in preliminary reports for medical examiner cases, specifically next-of-kin details (if known after reasonable search) and any evidence recovered during therapeutic intervention. All other sections remain unchanged.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No action

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No action

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD

Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The updates broaden the language to allow any authorized organ and tissue procurement agency—not just eye banks—to recover corneal tissue. Terminology was also updated, replacing "removal" with "recovery" and requiring "proper authorization" instead of a "Certification of Need."

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The updates clarify that proper authorization must be obtained for organ donation, rather than relying solely on a Certificate of Need. They also require a reasonable search for next of kin under Estates and Trusts §4–512(f), and specify that in cases of violent death, organ removal must occur under the direct observation of the medical examiner or their agent.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

This section updates statutory references, changing citations from the General Provisions Article to the correct State Government Article sections. The definition of "person in interest" is streamlined by removing its full wording, instead referring directly to the cited law. No substantive procedural changes were made; the edits primarily clarify legal sourcing and eliminate redundancy.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

In recognition of the emotional burden placed on families during times of loss, and in the interest of supporting the public good, the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner proposes eliminating the fee for first-degree relatives requesting autopsy reports. Charging grieving relatives for access to essential information about their loved one's death imposes an additional hardship during an already difficult time. This fee has also led to increased frustration and anger directed at the Records Department, creating unnecessary conflict and stress for both staff and families. Removing the fee would demonstrate compassion, improve the experience for grieving families, and align with the Office's broader commitment to transparency, service, and public trust.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

References to legal authority were updated to cite the State Government Article. The \$25 fee for first-degree relatives requesting an autopsy report was removed, and the fee for all other requestors was increased to \$100. Language was updated to replace "CD" terminology with "digital record" to reflect current electronic practices. Minor clarifications were made to standardize the fee schedule language. No changes were made to the confidentiality protections or the restrictions on unauthorized copying.

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.35.01.15

Chapter Name: Communicable Disease

Authority: COMAR 10.06.01.04

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose: If a communicable disease is identified during a death investigation, the medical examiner must report it in writing within 24 hours to the local health officer and deputy State health official.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No action

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD

Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The term "subdivision" was replaced with "jurisdiction" to clarify that reports of child abuse or neglect-related deaths must be made to the appropriate local authorities based on jurisdictional boundaries, aligning with Family Law Article §5-704.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No Action

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Statutory references were updated throughout the regulation for consistency with current Maryland law. References to Health-General Article §5-309, §10-713, and State Government (General Provisions) §4-101 et seq. were replaced with updated references to §5-308, §10-714, and §10-611 et seq., respectively. No changes were made to the substantive duties or procedures.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

The proposed fee increases are necessary to align with the cost-of-living adjustments that have occurred since 2018. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index data, the cumulative inflation rate between December 2018 and early 2025 is

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

The Postmortem Examiners Commission (PMEC) previously oversaw key functions of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (OCME), including hiring. However, under House Bill 977 (Chapter 268 of the 2023 General Assembly), that authority has shifted to the Maryland Department of Health (MDH). The Secretary of Health now holds primary oversight of OCME operations, including hiring decisions. While the PMEC still exists, its role is now advisory and no longer includes final authority over decisions such as appointing the Chief Medical Examiner or approving policies.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Language was updated to reflect that the Chief Medical Examiner (not the Postmortem Examiners Commission) is now responsible for appointing deputy medical examiners and forensic investigators, as the Commission has been dissolved. Fee structures were increased, raising the investigation fee from \$120 to \$150 and the decline fee from \$10 to \$125. References were also updated to correctly cite Health-General Article §5-308 instead of §5-309 to align with the current statute.

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD

Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

This policy was recently updated. The reference to "Control of Communicable Diseases in Man" could not be located after an exhaustive search of state and regulatory sources. As such, it has been removed to ensure clarity, accuracy, and alignment with accessible and current standards."

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Section E has been revised to remove the reference to "Control of Communicable Diseases in Man", which is incorporated by reference in COMAR 10.06.01.01. The revised language now simply states that remains shall be handled in accordance with standard precautions and OCME guidelines, without citing the external document. All other sections remain unchanged.

Person performing review:	Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title:	Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

No action

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD

Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☐ Yes ☒ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are completed and signed off by the Secretary of Health, they will be published in the Maryland Register for a 30-day comment period.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

This chapter should be repealed. Historically, when the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (OCME) was first established, the OCME Chief Toxicologist was the only toxicologist in the State of Maryland, which is why toxicology testing by default fell under OCME's jurisdiction. Between 2020 and 2022, the Maryland State Police (MSP) approached the OCME Chief Toxicologist with the intent of creating their own toxicology capability within the MSP Forensic Sciences Division. Their legal counsel subsequently made the necessary statutory and regulatory changes to transfer responsibility for this testing. Senate Bill 216 formally moved toxicology testing for law enforcement purposes to the Department of State Police Forensic Sciences Division. It was the Chief Toxicologist's understanding that the only remaining action required from OCME was to repeal the obsolete regulatory language—a step that was not previously completed. Therefore, to align regulations with current law and operational practice, this chapter must now be repealed.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☒ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

This chapter should be repealed. Toxicology testing originally fell under OCME because the Chief Toxicologist was the only toxicologist in Maryland. Between 2020 – 2022, responsibility shifted to the Maryland State Police Forensic Sciences Division, formalized by Senate Bill 216.

Person performing review: Stephanie A. Dean, MD
Title: Chief Medical Examiner

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.01

Chapter Name: Procedures

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§18-206, 18-301(b)(3) and (d), 18-302—18-305, 18-309, and 18-317, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: February 12, 2018

Purpose:

The purpose of COMAR 10.36.01 PROCEDURES is to provide information pertaining to the requirements for licensure, and requirements of the Board. Subheadings in this chapter include Definitions; Application Required for Licensure; Education Required for Licensure; Professional Supervised Experience Required for Licensure; Applications for Licensure from nonresidents; Examinations Required for Licensure; Exceptions to Licensure; License Renewal; Expiration and Inactive Status; Petitioning for Reinstatement Following Revocation; Board Meetings; Annual Report of the Board; and Petitions.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

During the April 4, 2025, Open Board meeting, the Board presented proposed regulatory changes to 10.36.01. A vote was called and approved. The public was present and did not provide any comments. Minutes of the meeting were posted on the Board's website. During the May 2, 2025, Open meeting, the proposed regulatory changes were again discussed in the presence of the public. A representative of the Maryland Psychological Association was also present and provided no comment. Once the regulatory language is drafted, the public will be given the opportunity to review the proposed regulations.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of

their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

(3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are written, the Board will publish a notice in the Maryland Register and posted on the website for formal public comment.

(4) Provide summaries of:

- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
- (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were made at the April 4 and May 2, 2025, open meetings.

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

According to the American Psychological Association, requiring a postdoc experience before licensure provides structured training and supervised experience that equip psychologists for safe independent practice.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

Many states require postdoc experience for licensure.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

See responses above.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

No regulations are required from recent legislation.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review, including a review of the law in other states, the Board will be amending this chapter to require postdoctoral experience from applicants for licensure deleting the pre-doctoral option. 10.36.01.04-1, .04-2, .04-3, and .04-4.

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.02

Chapter Name: Continuing Education

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §18-309(f), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: May 25, 2018

Purpose: The purpose of 10.36.02 is to define the requirements for continuing education (CE) activities, CE sponsors, and Board actions taken when CE requirements are not met.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? ☒ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (5) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (6) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (7) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (8) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (9) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (10) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (11) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (12) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

B. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

C. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.03

Chapter Name: Procedure for Board Hearings

Authority:

Health Occupations Article, §§18-206 and 18-315; State Government Article, §10-206- Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: May 25, 2015

Purpose:

This chapter applies to the procedures used in all contested case hearings regarding the licensure or discipline of psychologists.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes X ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (5) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (6) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (7) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (8) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (9) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (10) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (11) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (12) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

B. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

C. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.05

Chapter Name: Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§1-212. 18-311, and 18-313(7), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: May 25, 2015

Purpose: This chapter governs the professional conduct of licensed psychologists, registered psychology associates, and applicants for both.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes X ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (5) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (6) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (7) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (8) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (9) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (10) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (11) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (12) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

B. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

C. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.06

Chapter Name: Fee Schedule

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§18-206, 18-207, 18-301 and 18-310, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: May 25, 2015

Purpose:

These regulations list the fees that are associated with licensure and registering as a psychology associate.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

During the June 27, 2025, Open Board meeting, the Board approved the deletion of the fee for a wallet license. The public was present and provided no comment.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are written, the Board will publish a notice in the Maryland Register and posted on the website.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were made at the June 27, 2025, open meeting.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

No regulations are required from recent legislation.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

The Board will be amending this chapter to remove the fee for a duplicate license wallet card, as the Board no longer issues wallet cards - 10.36.06.02L(2).

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.07

Chapter Name: Psychology Associate

Authority: Health Occupations Article, §§18-206, 18-301 (b)(3), and (d), 18-302-18-305, 18-309, and 18-317, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: February 12, 2018

Purpose: These regulations outline the requirements of a licensed psychologist and their psychology associate.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

During the June 27, 2025, Open Board meeting, the Board discussed and approved making changes to the PA regulations.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.

Once the regulations are written, the Board will publish a notice in the Maryland Register and posted on the website for comment.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

No comments were made at the June 27, 2025, open meeting.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

No regulations are required from recent legislation.

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☐ no action
- ☒ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

After a comprehensive review and in light of the increase in the use of virtual technologies, the Board will be amending this chapter to remove the requirement that supervisors perform quarterly in-person site visits with supervisees – 10.36.07.03A(9).

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.08

Chapter Name: Disciplinary Sanctions, Monetary Penalties, and Civil Fines

Authority:

Health Occupations Article, §§1-606. 18-313.1, and 18-404, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

May 25, 2015

Purpose:

This chapter establishes standards for the imposition of sanctions and monetary penalties for violations of the Psychologists Act.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes X ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (5) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (6) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (7) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (8) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (9) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (10) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (11) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (12) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

B. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

C. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act
Evaluation Report Form
2020 – 2028**

Chapter Codification: 10.36.09

Chapter Name: Child Custody Evaluations in Family Law Proceedings

Authority:

Health Occupations Article, §18-206, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

August 22, 2011

Purpose:

This chapter governs the conduct of licensed psychologists who perform child custody evaluations or render an opinion on legal or physical custody.

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? X ☐ Yes ☐ No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? ☐ Yes X ☐ No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? X ☐ Yes ☐ No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (5) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (6) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

N/A

- (7) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.

N/A

- (8) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
 - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

- (9) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

- (10) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (11) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (12) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

B. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

C. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)
(check all that apply)

- ☒ no action
- ☐ amendment
- ☐ repeal
- ☐ repeal and adopt new regulations
- ☐ reorganization

Summary:

Person performing review:

Lorraine Smith

Title:

Executive Director