Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.02

Chapter Name: User Fee Assessment on Health Care Practitioners

Authority: Health-General Article, §19-111, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: July 22, 2013

Purpose: The User Fee Assessment on Health Care Practitioners regulations set forth the general method for assessing user fees on Health Care Practitioners to fund the activities of the Commission.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)_____

| \checkmark | no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| 2 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.03

Chapter Name: User Fee Assessment on Payers, Hospitals, and Nursing Homes

Authority: Health-General Article, §19-111, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: July 22, 2013

- Purpose: The User Fee Assessment of Payers, Hospitals and Nursing Homes regulations set forth the general method for assessing user fees on payers, hospitals and nursing homes to fund the activities of the Commission.
- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? Yes ONo
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes ONo
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| \checkmark | no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.04

Chapter Name: Hospital Quality and Performance Evaluation System

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-109(a)(1) and (7) and 19-134(e), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: June 16, 2008

Purpose: The Hospital Quality Performance Evaluation System regulations establish a process for reporting by hospitals of information to be used by the Commission in the development of an annual comparative evaluation of hospitals.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes O No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and

(e) any public hearing held.

- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| |] no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| \checkmark | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| 1 | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 9. 17 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.05

Chapter Name: Small Group Market Data Collection

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-108, 19-109(a)(1) and (7) and (b)(5) and 19-137, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: October 22, 2007

Purpose: The Small Group Market Data Collection regulations governs the process under which the Maryland Health Care Commission collects data necessary to monitor the small group health insurance market and assess its regulation of the Comprehensive Standard Health Benefit Plan.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes O No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| | no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| \checkmark | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| | 8 |
|---|-------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 0 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 7. I. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| L | |
| | |
| | |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.06

Chapter Name: Maryland Medical Care Data Base and Data Collection

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-101, 19-103(c)(3), (4), (7), and (8), 19-109(a)(1), (6), and (7), 19-133, 19-134, and 19-138, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: March 17, 2014

Purpose: The Maryland Medical Care Data Base and Data Collection regulations contain requirements for the submission of health care claims data by payers to the Maryland Health Care Commission Medical Care Data Base..

A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

(1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? • Yes O No

(2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? • Yes ONo

(3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? • Yes ONo

(4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? • Yes O No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation:
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| 1 | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.07

Chapter Name: Certification of Electronic Health Networks and Medical Care Electronic Claims Clearinghouses

Authority: Health-General Article, §§4-302.1, 19-103(c)(2), (9) and (10), 19-109(a)(1), 19-134, and 19-135(a) and (b), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: December 15, 2008

Purpose: The Certification of Electronic Health Net-works and Medical Care Electronic Claims Clearing houses regulations govern the certification of electronic health networks and medical care electronic claims clearinghouses that operate in Maryland.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? Yes O No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes ONo
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1 | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| 9 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.08

Chapter Name: Evaluation of Quality and Performance of Health Benefit Plans

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-109(a)(1) and (7), 19-132, and 19-134(c), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: October 17, 2011

Purpose: The evaluation system described in this chapter is designed to address the information needs of consumers and purchasers by providing an assessment of the quality and performance of health benefit plans based on measures endorsed or implemented by nationally recognized organizations involved in quality of care and performance measurement.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes ONo
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| 1 | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.09

Chapter Name: Requirements for Payers to Designate Electronic Health Networks

Authority: Health-General Article, §§4-302.1, 19-101, 19-103(c)(9) and (10), 19-109(a)(1), and 19-134(a) and (b), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: July 5, 2004

Purpose: The Requirements for Payers to Designate Electronic Health Networks regulations set forth a process by which payers must designate at least one Maryland Health Care Commission certified electronic health network that may exchange electronic health care transaction information with payers.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review:
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
 Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| Ĺ | no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| \checkmark | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| 8 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.10

Chapter Name: Maryland Trauma Physician Services Fund

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-103(c), 19-130, and 19-207, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: June 15, 2009

Purpose: The Maryland Trauma Physician Services, regulations applies to trauma physicians and Maryland Institute of Emergency Medical Services Systems-designated trauma centers that provide trauma care. Trauma physicians seeking reimbursement for uncompensated care provided to trauma patients shall file an application pursuant to this chapter to receive reimbursement from the Maryland Trauma Physician Services Fund. Trauma physicians seeking reimbursement for trauma care provided to trauma patients enrolled in the Maryland Medical Assistance Program at the elevated rate shall comply with special billing requirements pursuant to this chapter. Trauma centers seeking reimbursement for cost maintaining trauma physicians on-call shall file an application to receive reimbursement from this fund.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? Yes O No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

| N/A | | 7 |
|-----|------------|---|
| | | |
| | 1 1 | |
| | | |

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1 | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.11

Chapter Name: Institutional Review Board

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-109(a)(1) and (7) and (b)(5), 19-103(c)(3), and 19-133(d), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: August 22, 2011

- Purpose: The Institutional Review Board regulations establish an Institutional Review Board to assist the Commission in making decisions regarding release of sensitive data from the Maryland Health Care Commission Medical Care Data Base. The regulations set forth processes and standards governing release of the data.
- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes ONo
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

N/A

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)______

| |] no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1 | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:



Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.12

Chapter Name: Imposition of Fines

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-109(a)(1) and (7)and 19-116(b)(1) and (2), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: December 23, 2002

Purpose: The Imposition of Fines regulations, establishes a process for the assessment of fines for failure to file timely and accurate reports or other information.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes O No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and

(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)_____

| | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1 | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| 6 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 3 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 3 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.13

Chapter Name: Health Information Technology Funding Applications

Authority: Health-General Article, §19-103(c)(2), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: December 18, 2006

Purpose: The Health Information Technology Funding Applications regulations applies to the consideration by the Maryland Health Care Commission of an application seeking approval for funding that is responsive to an announcement posted by the Maryland Health Care Commission, that it will accept applications regarding one or more specified areas of health information technology.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and

(e) any public hearing held.

- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1 | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| | 0 |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.14

Chapter Name: Health Care Data Collection from Maryland Health Care Practitioners

Authority: Health-General Article, §19-133(b) and (d)(1), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: November 17, 2008

Purpose: The Health Care Data Collection from Maryland Health Care Practitioners regulations applies to the collection of health care data, by the Maryland Health Care Commission, from health care practitioners in the State.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ⊙ Yes ○ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| Ĺ | no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| \checkmark | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| Ϋ́. | |
|-----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.15

Chapter Name: Management Service Organization State Designation

Authority: Health-General Article, §§4-302, 19-103(c)(2)(i) and (ii), 19-109(a)(1), 19-135(a), (b), and (d), and 19-143(h), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: August 18, 2014

Purpose: The Management Service Organization State Designation regulations applies to the State Designation of Management Service Organizations. Only those Management Service Organizations that complete the State Designation process pursuant to this chapter may utilize the Management Service Organization State Designation status title.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? Yes O No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No

B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

(1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
 - (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
 - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
 - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
 - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
 - (e) any public hearing held.
 - 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
 - 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
 - 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
 - 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ⊙ Yes ⊖ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| 1 | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

| 3 | |
|------------|----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| <i>у</i> . | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 14 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.16

Chapter Name: Electronic Health Record Incentives

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-103(c)(2)(i) and (ii), 19-109(a)(1), and 19-143(d)(1), (2), (3), and (4) and (i), Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: June 9, 2014

Purpose: The Electronic Health Record Incentive regulations applies to the state-regulated payors who provide incentive payments to providers that adopt and use electronic health records. Only providers who meet the requirements pursuant to this chapter will receive incentive payments for electronic health record adoption.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ⊙ Yes ⊖ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| 1 | no action |
|---|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

N/A

Person performing review: Amelia T. Rutledge

Title: Administrator

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.17

Chapter Name: Benchmarks for Preauthorization of Health Care Services

Authority: Health-General Article, §§19-101 and 19-108.2, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: February 18, 2013

Purpose: The Benchmarks for Preauthorization of Health Care Services is to clarify the entities that are required to comply with certain benchmarks for standardizing and automating the preauthorization of health care services, including reporting requirements and deadlines for reporting on the progress of attaining the benchmarks. The regulation also details the process by which payors may be waived from compliance and the imposed penalties for failure to meet the reporting requirements.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? Yes ONo
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ⊙ Yes ○ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)

| \checkmark | no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

Chapter Codification: COMAR 10.25.18

Chapter Name: Health Information Exchanges: Privacy and Security of Protected Health Information

Authority: Health-General Article, §§4-301, 4-302.2, 19-101, and 19-143, Annotated Code of Maryland

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended: March 17, 2014

Purpose: This chapter addresses the privacy and security of protected health information maintained by a health information exchange, or obtained or released by any person through a health information exchange by adopting specific requirements: To assure the privacy and security of protected health information accessed, used, or disclosed through a health information exchange, including protections for the secondary use of protected health information obtained, accessed, or released through a health information exchange.

- A. Review Criteria. (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)
 - (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal? \odot Yes \bigcirc No
 - (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose? Yes O No
- B. Outreach and Research. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)
 - (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

The MHCC has an ongoing HIE Policy Board that meets 10-12 times per year that has with approximately 35 active participants, including representatives from providers, consumers, the State-designated HIE, and insurance carriers. The HIE Policy Board advises MHCC staff on policies that will result in additions to 110.25,18

To ensure additional participation by stakeholders and members of the public in the review process, the MHCC presents draft regulations to the public for a 10-30 day informal public comment period before taking revised draft regulations to the Commission for proposed action.

(2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
- (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
- (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
- (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
- (e) any public hearing held.
- 1. Publication of notice in the Maryland Register;
- 2. Publication of notice in newspapers of general circulation in the State:
- 3. Posting of notice on the unit's website; and
- 4. Posting notice on Division of State Document's website

(4) Provide summaries of:

(a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and(b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

N/A

(5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

N/A

(6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other Maryland State Department regulations to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

A search will be conducted on the Internet of other States, particularly those states that surround Maryland, to find out whether they have similar regulations and how their regulations are structured.

(8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act? ⊙ Yes ○ No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation? • Yes O No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. Actions Needed. (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland) (check all that apply)____

| \checkmark | no action |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | amendment |
| | repeal |
| | repeal and adopt new regulations |
| | reorganization |

Summary:

Craig P. Tanio, M.D. CHAIR STATE OF MARYLAND



Ben Steffen EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

MARYLAND HEALTH CARE COMMISSION

4160 PATTERSON AVENUE – BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21215 TELEPHONE: 410-764-3460 FAX: 410-358-1236

April 1, 2014

The Honorable Paul G. Pinsky Presiding Chairman AELR Committee 90 State Circle Annapolis, Maryland 21401

RE: Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act: Work Plan for COMAR 10.24 and 10.25

Dear Senator Pinsky:

In accordance with State Government Article, §§10-130—10-139, Annotated Code of Maryland, and the implementing COMAR 01.01.2003.20, the work plans for the evaluation of chapters under COMAR 10.24. and 10.25 are due April 1, 2014 and the evaluation reports are due April 15, 2015.

At this time I am certifying that a review of Subtitle 24 & 25 Maryland Health Care Commission would not be efficient or cost effective and therefore are exempt from the review process based on the fact that they have been comprehensively amended in the last 8 years.

Should there be any questions, please contact Amelia T. Rutledge, Regulations Coordinator, at (410) 764-3322.

Sincerely,

Ben Steffen Executive Director

Attachments

cc: Department of Legislative Reference Gail Klakring, Division of State Documents Amelia T. Rutledge Bridget Zombro Bruce Kozlowski David Sharp Kevin McDonald Linda Bartnyska Paul Parker Siobhan Madison Suellen Wideman

TOLL FREE 1-877-245-1762