# Executive Summary Maryland Home Visiting Needs Assessment Fall 2010

### **Introduction**

Maryland is home to 5.6 million residents including 380,606 children ages 0-4, 370,292 children ages 5-9, and 1.2 million women of childbearing age. There were more than 77,000 births in 2008, the majority (54%) of them to racial/ethnic minority mothers. Although one of the wealthiest States in the nation, Maryland's ranking on a number of key health related indicators varies from best (e.g., income and education) to among the worse (e.g., infant mortality and related risk factors). There are significant pockets of poverty in the State, namely in Baltimore City, on the Eastern Shore and in Western Maryland. Health disparities continue as a widespread problem across Maryland (e.g., the African American infant mortality rate is two to three times the White rate). Last school year, one in four Maryland kindergarteners entered school unhealthy and/or unprepared to learn.

The passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) of 2010 affords Maryland an opportunity to improve access to home visiting programs for vulnerable children and families, and thereby improve social and health outcomes. As a first step to receiving ACA funds, the Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Center for Maternal and Child Health conducted a preliminary Home Visiting Needs Assessment in collaboration with the Governor's Office for Children, the Maryland State Department of Education, the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Administration, and the Maryland Family Network. The major purpose of the preliminary needs assessment was to define, identify and prioritize at risk communities in need of home visiting programs and to assess substance abuse treatment capacity.

### **Maryland Home Visiting Needs Assessment**

The Federal ACA Home Visiting guidance required Maryland to conduct a needs assessment to identify communities at risk and in need of home visiting programs. Steps in the needs assessment process included:

- Reviewing data and findings from related needs assessments as required by the guidance;
- Engaging stakeholders through key informant meetings, data sharing and an August 2010 Stakeholder Meeting;
- Collecting statewide and community level data to assist in determining and prioritizing "communities at risk" and
- Conducting surveys to assess current home visiting program capacity.

<u>Communities At Risk</u>: To identify at risk communities, Maryland looked at 15 indicators that put children and families at-risk: prematurity, low birth weight births, infant mortality, late or no prenatal care, teen births, poverty, unemployment, WIC participation, Medicaid participation, child abuse and neglect, domestic violence, school readiness, high school drop-out, crime and substance abuse. Maryland defined elevated risk as a unit (census tract, ZIP code, or jurisdiction) with a rate that was substantially greater than the State average for that

indicator. Rates that were greater than one standard deviation from the mean were considered elevated.

Maryland's analysis identified 368 potential communities at risk (having at least one elevated indicator). However, for the purposes of the needs assessment, Maryland focused on those communities in greatest need. Maryland defined communities at-risk as those with 10 or more elevated indicators. This resulted in a total of 46 communities at-risk, representing six jurisdictions (Baltimore City, Dorchester County, Washington County, Wicomico County, Prince George's County, and Somerset County). **Attachment A** presents the data for the 46 highest risk communities.

Home Visiting Program Capacity Assessment. Maryland has begun to identify the quality and capacity of existing programs/initiatives for early childhood home visiting in the State, including the number and types of programs and the numbers of individuals and families who are receiving services under such programs or initiatives; the gaps in early childhood home visiting in the State, including descriptions of underserved communities where possible; and the extent to which such programs or initiatives are meeting the needs of eligible families. Preliminary results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Federal and State Supported Home Visiting Programs in Maryland by Jurisdiction and Type

Table 1. Federal and State Supported Home Visiting Programs in Maryland by Jurisdiction and Type												
Jurisdiction	Healthy	Early Head	HIPPY	PAT*	NFP	Other						
	Families	Start										
Allegany County	X	X		X								
Anne Arundel Co.		X										
Baltimore City	X	X	X			Healthy Start						
Baltimore County	X	X	X									
Calvert County	X		X	X								
Caroline County		X		X								
Carroll County				X								
Cecil County		X										
Charles County	X			X								
Dorchester County	X	X										
Frederick County	X											
Garrett County	X	X		X	X							
Harford County		X										
Howard County	X											
Kent County				X								
Montgomery Co.	X	X										
Prince George's Co.	X											
Queen Anne's Co.	X			X								
Somerset County	X			X								
Talbot County	X	X										
Washington County	X	X		X								
Wicomico County	X											
Worcester County	X		X	X								

<sup>\*</sup> Note that there are only 2 stand alone PAT programs (Garrett and Somerset Counties as indicated by a red X). All remaining PAT is used as curriculum as part of another home visiting program.

### Next Steps - Comprehensive Statewide Home Visiting Plan

The ACA Home Visiting Guidance requires the State to complete a comprehensive Statewide Home Visiting Plan. Based on the analysis of data for each of the 15 indicators or metrics, Maryland grouped the State into four Tiers (**Attachment B**) with Tier 1 containing the communities most at-risk [10 or more indicators]. These communities will receive priority focus for planning and funding during years one and two of the five year grant periods. Communities located in Tiers 2 through 4 will potentially be the focus of planning and funding as additional federal or other sources of home visiting funds become available in future years.

In developing the Comprehensive State Plan, each jurisdiction/region will be asked to create a plan specific to their at-risk communities. This information will identify gaps in services and assist in meeting the needs specific to each local community. As the State moves to action, the goal is to identify gaps in capacity in EVERY jurisdiction so as funding becomes available, Maryland can continue the momentum of assisting families at-risk. This will give the State clear direction on the needs of all communities and drive the course of the Comprehensive State Plan. Development of the Maryland Home Visiting Plan will assist the State in continuing to strengthen coordination of the State's Early Childhood System across State, local, public and private sectors.

The Maryland Children's Cabinet and the Governor's Office for Children are overseeing the development and implementation of the ACA funded home visiting program. Governor Martin O'Malley has designated the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Center for Maternal and Child Health as the applicant/administering agency on behalf of the Children's Cabinet. The Children's Cabinet serves as an advisory body for selecting high risk communities in which evidence-based models will be funded.

### **Attachment A: Communities at Risk (Tier One)**

North Mickley	CSA/		Percent		Infant Mortality	Percent Families in	Crime	Rate of	HS Drop		Subst Abuse Trtmnt	Unem-	Abuse & Neglect Investigation	Percent Late or	Teen Birth	WIC Partici- pation	Medicaid Enrollment	and the second second
Jurisdiction	Zipcode	Area Name	Preterm <sup>a</sup>	LBWb	Rate	Poverty <sup>d</sup>	Rate	Orders <sup>f</sup>	outs	School <sup>h</sup>	Rate	ployed		No PNC	Rate <sup>m</sup>	Rate <sup>n</sup>	Rate <sup>0</sup>	Indicators
Maryland Avg	ļ ,		11.2	9.3	7.9		4316.5			407070							112.0	
Baltimore City		Irvington	17.7 20.7	18.9	30.6 37.7	51.1 59.7	2.5	107.7 107.7	7.1 7.1	64.0 64.0							483.8 396.3	
Baltimore City		Cherry Hill Mondawmin	18.9	20.7	23.0	59.7 44.1		107.7	7.1		10,73,76033065						436.6	
Baltimore City Baltimore City	75-520.0	Rosemont	18.9	h ng Atto-2	27.6			107.7			6.400947020			10.6	60,000,000		436.6	
Baltimore City		Greenmount	23.8	20.0	20.8			107.7										
Baltimore City	35305	Madison	18.5	16.8	28.7	57.2		107.7	7.1					8.0			490.3	
Baltimore City		Pimlico	21.5	18.8	18.2	44.0		107.7	7.1	64.0							362.1	
Baltimore City	3.35 65	Sandtown	21.9		27.6			107.7	7.1		0.707070		33,7334.	10/22/200			483.8	
Baltimore City	5.3993	Southwest	21.3	19.7	32.6			107.7			90,000,000,000			9.3			483.8	
Baltimore City		Clifton	23.8		32.0	57.2		107.7						11.5	1,500,000			
Baltimore City		Walbrook	18.6	18.3	29.7	39.9		107.7	7.1					11.5	124.4		374.6	
Baltimore City		Oldtown	23.3	25.6	23.1	68.0		107.7		64.0	0.7071307				200.0		487.8	
Baltimore City		Midtown	20.0		*	65.9		107.7	7.1		10.73.753.50A						436.6	10 CA104
Baltimore City	1000000	Midway	23.8	20.4	29.2	48.8	8	107.7			5,500247000	10.2		325993			496.5	
Baltimore City	1000	Patterson Park	18.6	16.8	28.7	48.8		107.7	7.1						144.1	67.2	490.3	
Baltimore City	2.8351	Hollins Market	25.0	19.7	20.7	61.4		107.7	7.1	64.0							483.8	
Baltimore City	2.02.63	Southeastern	18.6	120810405	13.2	71.8		107.7	7.1		6,7,77,100		1000000		129.0	31880.000	277.6	
Baltimore City	2.200.0	Park Heights	19.4	17.7	18.2			107.7		64.0					99.5			
Baltimore City		Upton	21.9	18.3	26.0	0.00000		107.7	7.1		4.4000000000000000000000000000000000000				200.0		483.8	
Dorchester		Cambridge	17.6	13.8	31.0	75.53.5		5,00,00,00	*	66.0							315.6	
Baltimore City		Edison	23.8	20.0	29.2	30.4	1124.1	107.7	7.1		USE SAN	10.7	207.00		131.1		496.5	
Baltimore City	100,00	Brooklyn	23.0	13.9	#	50.0		107.7	7.1								396.3	
Baltimore City		Claremont	18.4	10.0	*	56.9		107.7	5,042,000		40,000000000	CO.00.00.00	360735.15	20,000,000,000	\$50 KR \$100 CO.	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	496.5	
Baltimore City		Dorchester	18.6	17.7	*	43.8		107.7	7.1					14.1	99.5	2000000	374.6	
Baltimore City		Charles Village	20.0	20.4	*	48.8	8	107.7	2072000	64.0	667371707	1000,000			1754-5249/000	10,9 50 4005-000	391.7	
Baltimore City	200	Penn North	19.4	20.4	*	44.1		107.7	7.1		105,757.00	100.000.0	207.50	10,000,00	97.6		436.6	
Baltimore City	2.55.65	Perkins	23.3	25.6	*	68.0		107.7			6. NOSSA YOUNG	1502.57.02.15	30.55000	*	200.0	10.7255555-53	4.0000100000000	
Baltimore City	7.5000	Washington Village	25.0	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	*	47.9		107.7	3393333		402/02/02/03/	100000000	0.0000000	*	141.7	NAME OF STREET	483.8	
Baltimore City		Westport	+	20.7	37.7	59.7		107.7	7.1				107.000	*	141.7	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	396.3	
Washington	A 2001 A	Hagerstown	15.0	73,755	*	46.2	9	115.2	2/92/07	73.0	0.7000.00	9.7	11.5		7/13/10/10	33722233 0.7		
Wicomico	9.75575553.7559	Salisbury	16.6	15.5	16.1	42.3	10730.7	21 - 20 pt / 2 p	5.5		30.7	7.515313	5.0	325/60		10.00 (4.00 - 4.0	265.7	12
Baltimore City	DOY-0, 175300-3 14500-0	Cedonia	18.0	16.9	22.8	Cross-Circles	E TO SERVICE CONTRACTOR	107.7	7.1		10,73,912,1256	10.2	100000	*	89.9		496.5	
Baltimore City	9.69	Edmonson Village	20.9	18.3	#	* *		107.7				TO CONTROL		*	124.4	10.75	374.6	
Baltimore City		Highlandtown	18.6	±	*	39.1		107.7	7.1	2000000	100000000	VS 2 VS 627 P	0.0100.00	8.7	56365777577	44.4	277.6	7,6 0,
Baltimore City	TESTINA .	Lauraville	20.6	19.0	26.7	+		107.7	7.1		0000000000	10.2		7.3			496.5	100 50
Baltimore City	NEW YORK	Hampden	20.0	1708.4040503	*	43.8		107.7				1702.17.075.15		525000	99.5	10/252/2005	4.400000000	
Baltimore City		Highlandtown	+	*	*	71.8	3	107.7	7.1	545000000000	4.4V294 Y0.234	300,000,000,00	30.0839		55543.67A.55	67.2	496.5	50.00
Baltimore City	3,3900	Waverlies	19.1	18.3	*	48.8	8	107.7		64.0	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		*	86.2	3,000,000,000	303.6	
Prince Georges		Hyattsville	17.7	16.5	38.0		1	109.6	3783757	68.0	100000000	+	3.6	22.1	111.9		259.9	
Baltimore City		Beechfield	20.9	17.4	30.6	670,780,00		107.7				10.2		*	*	39.3	287.8	
Baltimore City	1000	Downtown	25.0	300.000	*	65.9		107.7			2020			*	200.0	100,000	391.7	
Baltimore City		Fells Point	20.0	*	24.0	250,000		107.7	7.1					15.4			287.7	
Baltimore City		Govans	*	15.8	*	*		107.7		64.0	50,T,TP.NO.	1000000			100000000000000000000000000000000000000		303.6	
Prince Georges		Lanham	16.3	1 J. J	18.4	28.6	6870.1			68.0	26/25/2006 1-1/2010	10.2	3.6		70.7		300.0	10
Prince Georges		Capitol Heights	17.7	17.4	38.0	00.000.000.000	A 100 A			68.0			3.2					10
Somerset		Crisfield	16.3	14.0	19.9	60 has 800 to 0	9000-0000000000000000000000000000000000	103.0	+	00.0	22.8	9.4	20,000,0	##296020.20	74.1		314.5	100000

<sup>\*</sup> indicates that the rate for this indicator did not exceed one standard deviation above the mean

### Attachment A1: Notes for Communities At-Risk

### General

Each rate displayed represents the rate of the census tract or zip code with the highest rate within that neighborhood or zip code (or the rate for the jurisdiction for those metrics measured at the jurisdiction level). CSA stands for community statistical area, and represents an aggregation of census tracts that Baltimore City uses to represent neighborhoods for programmatic and analysis purposes. Zip Codes are used in this assessment to represent communities for all other jurisdictions in Maryland.

### Indicators

- a. Percent Preterm: (# of births <37 weeks gestational age / total births) \* 100
  - Years represented: 2004-2008
  - Measured at census tract level
  - Source: MD DHMH, VSA
- b. Percent LBW: (# of births <2500 grams / total births) \* 100
  - o Years represented: 2004-2008
  - Measured at census tract level
  - Source: MD DHMH, VSA
- c. Infant Mortality Rate: (# of infant (<1 yr) deaths / total births) \* 1,000
  - Years represented: 2004-2008
  - Measured at census tract level
  - o Source: MD DHMH, VSA
- d. Percent Families in Poverty: (# of families with children (<18 yrs)) \* 100
  - Year represented: 2000
  - Measured at census tract level
  - Source: MDP, US Decennial Census
- e. Crime Rate: (total offenses / total population) \* 100,000
  - o Years represented: 2007-2008
    - Measured at municipality and jurisdiction level
    - Source: MD State Police, Uniform Crime Report
- f. Rate of Protective Orders (Domestic Violence): (# of protective and peace order filings / total population) \* 10,000
  - Years represented: 2008-2009
  - Measured at jurisdiction level
  - Source: MD Judiciary, MDP population estimates
- g. Percent HS Dropouts: (# of high school dropouts / total # of high school students) \* 100
  - Years Represented: 2008-2009
  - Measured at jurisdiction level
  - Source: MSDE
- $h. \qquad \text{Percent Ready to Enter School: (\# of children entering kindergarten ready to learn / total \# of children entering kindergarten)} * 100$ 
  - o Year Represented: 2009-2010 School Year
  - o Measured at jurisdiction level
  - Source: MSDE
- i. Substance Abuse Treatment Rate: (# of women (15-44 yrs) receiving ADAA-funded treatment for substance abuse / total # of women (15-44 yrs)) \* 1,000
  - O Years Represented: 2004-2008 (2000 for population)
  - o Measured at Zip Code level
  - o Sources: MD DHMH, ADAA, MDP US Decennial Census
- j. Percent Unemployed: (# of adults seeking employment / # of adults in labor force) \* 100
  - o Year Represented: 2009
  - o Measured at jurisdiction level
  - Source: MDLLR
- k. Abuse & Neglect Investigation Rate: (# of indicated and unsubstantiated child abuse and neglect investigations / total population) \* 1,000
  - O Years Represented: 2006-2009 (2000 for population)
  - Measured at census tract level
  - o Sources: MD DHR, US Census Bureau
- 1. Percent Late or No PNC: (# of births to women receiving late (3<sup>rd</sup> trimester) or no prenatal care / total births) \* 100
  - o Years represented: 2004-2008
  - Measured at census tract level
  - o Source: MD DHMH, VSA
- m. Teen Birth Rate: (# of births to adolescents (15-19 yrs) / female population (15-19 yrs)) \* 1,000
  - O Years represented: 2004-2008 (2000 for population)
  - Measured at census tract level
  - Source: MD DHMH, VSA, US Census Bureau
- n. WIC Participation Rate: (# of people enrolled in WIC / total population) \* 1,000
  - Years represented: 2005-2009 (2000 for population)
  - o Measured at Zip Code level
  - o Sources: MD DHMH, FHA, MDP US Decennial Census
- o. Medicaid Enrollment Rate: (# of people enrolled in Medicaid / total population) \* 1,000
  - O Years represented: 2005-2009 (2000 for population)
  - o Measured at Zip Code level
  - o Sources: MD DHMH, MA, MDP US Decennial Census
- p. Total Number of Elevated Indicators: # of indicators with at least one census tract/zip code or entire jurisdiction with a rate >1 standard deviation above the state mean (maximum=15)

## Attachment B: Maryland Statewide Home Visiting Needs Assessment At Risk Communities by <u>Tier</u>

### Tier 1: Hot Spots – Score: 10-14

### (6 jurisdictions had at least one hot spot)

- Baltimore City (by CSA)
  - o Irvington, Cherry Hill, Mondawmin, Rosemont, Greenmount, Madison, Pimlico, Sandtown, Southwest, Clifton, Walbrook, Oldtown, Midtown, Midway, Patterson Park, Hollins Market, Southeastern, Park Heights, Upton, Edison, Brooklyn, Claremont, Dorchcester, Charles Village, Penn North, Perkins, Washington Village, Westport, Cedonia, Edmondson Village, Highlandtown, Lauraville, Hampden, Highlandtown, Waverly, Beechfield, Downtown, Fells Point, Govans
- Dorchester County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Cambridge
- Washington County (by census tract overlayed with zip code)
  - o Hagerstown
- Wicomico County (by census tract overlayed with zip code)
  - o Salisbury
- Prince George's County (by census tract overlayed with zip code)
  - o Hyattsville, Lanham, Capitol Heights
- Somerset County (by census tract overlayed with zip code)
  - o Crisfield

### Tier 2 – Warm Spots – Score: 7-9

### (9 jurisdictions had at least one warm spot)

- Baltimore County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - Essex, Dundalk
- Allegany County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Cumberland
- Caroline County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Federalsburg
- Harford County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes
  - o Aberdeen
- <u>Baltimore City:</u> Canton, Dickeyville, Echodale, Howard Park, Violetville, Belvedere, Cheswolde, Falstaff, Hamilton, Federal Hill, Northwood, South, Loch Raven
- <u>Prince George's County:</u> Riverdale, Hyattsville, Bladensburg, Mount Rainier, Suitland, District Heights, Upper Marlboro
- Washington County: Hagerstown
- <u>Dorchester County</u>: Federalsburg, Hurlock
- Wicomico County: Salisbury

### Tier 3: Cool Spots – Score: 4-6

### (16 jurisdictions had at least one cool spot)

- Anne Arundel County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Brooklyn, Severn
- Charles County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Indian Head, La Plata
- Worcester County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Pocomoke City, Berlin, Snow Hill
- Frederick County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - Frederick
- Montgomery County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Takoma Park, Gaithersburg, Silver Spring
- Cecil County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Elkton
- St. Mary's County (by census tract overlayed with zip codes)
  - o Park Hall
- Dorchester County: Linkwood, Vienna, Rhodesdale, Church Creek
- Harford County: Edgewood
- <u>Baltimore County</u>: 21206, Gwynn Oak, Halethorpe, Randallstown, 21224, 21229, 21235, Rosedale, Windsor Mill
- <u>Baltimore City</u>: Mt. Washington, Roland Park
- Caroline: Greensboro, Marydel, Denton, Henderson
- <u>Prince George's County</u>: Brentwood, Fort Washington, Oxon Hill, temple Hills, Greenbelt, Upper Marlboro, Beltsville, Clinton, College Park, Brandywine, Cheltenham, laurel, Bowie
- <u>Somerset</u>: Eden, Princess Anne
- Washington: Smithsburg, Cascade, Sabillasville, Williamsport
- Wicomico: Eden, Delmar, Fruitland

### Tier 4: Rest of State – Score: 0-3

- Garrett County
- Talbot County
- Kent County
- Queen Anne's County
- Calvert County
- Howard County
- Carroll County