Injury a	ind Viole	nce	Health	Risk Bel	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	ercentage one or mo						en drinkin	g alcohol	(in a car	or other			
	25.0	28.9	26.7	25.9	20.7	18.2	14.2	15.2	12.9	11.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Decreased
(one or i	Percentage nore time hicle durii	s during t	he 30 day	s before t	he survey		-						
					8.8	7.1	5.9	5.2	4.1	4.9	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Bel	navior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
l day dı	Percentage rring the 3 he 30 days	0 days be	fore the s	urvey, an									
					33.1	26.1	28.1	26.2	24.1	29.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Increased
	Percentago st 1 day d					school p	roperty (s	uch as a g	gun, knife	, or club,			
	6.9	5.9	4.6	5.3	4.8	4.3	7.4	5.7	4.1	2.7	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
	Percentagor from sch								school or	on their			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Injury a	ınd Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
								oon on sch ore the sur		erty			
	11.7	9.6	9.1	8.4	9.4	7.2	7.8	7.8	5.9	8.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2014 Increased, 2014-2022	Increased
			ents who ver the surv		physical f	ight on sc	hool prop	erty (one	or more t	times	-		
	14.9	12.4	11.2	11.0	14.3	12.2	12.2	12.0	7.2	9.9	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
purpose into som	by someonething, or	one they w r injured v	vere dating with an ob	g or going oject or w	g out with eapon] on	[counting e or more	g such this times du	being phy ngs as bei ring the 1: e 12 mont	ng hit, sla 2 months	ammed before			
					11.1	10.1	9.9	11.6	11.3	11.5	Increased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Total Injury a	and Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN24: the surve	Percentag ey)	e of stude	ents who v	were bulli	ed on sch	ool prope	rty (ever o	during the	e 12 mont	hs before			
			20.9	21.2	19.6	17.7	18.2	16.7	13.7	14.2	Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag Instagram										No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
	Percentag									row so			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who s	eriously o	considered	l attempti	ng suicide	e (during	the 12 mo	nths			
	17.4	13.2	14.5	16.2	16.0	15.9	17.3	18.0	20.6	17.9	Increased, 2005-2022		Decreased
	Percentag before the		ents who r	nade a pla	an about h	ow they	would atte	mpt suici	de (during	g the 12			
	12.2	10.2	11.6	12.6	12.5	12.7	14.4	16.2	15.4	14.3	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
-	Percentag before the		ents who a	ctually at	tempted s	uicide (oi	ne or more	e times du	ring the 1	2			
		• •							17.3	9.4	Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available§	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN32:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who s	smoked a	cigarette l	efore age	e 13 years	(even on	e or two p	ouffs)			
								7.9	6.4	4.4	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
			students v		ntly smok	ed cigare	ttes freque	ently (on 2	20 or mor	e days			
	7.4	7.4	4.4	4.4	3.6	2.4	1.8	1.1	0.7	0.7	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
		rcentage	of student				1.8				Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	CIG: Pe	rcentage	of student								Decreased, 2005-2022 Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change No quadratic change	No change
QN33:	/CIG: Pe ays before 5.4	rcentage of the surv	of student ey) 3.5	s who cur	rently sm	oked ciga	arettes dai	ly (on all 0.8	30 days d 0.5	uring 0.6			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	ape pens,				an electron pens, and								
						37.6	35.3	39.7	32.3	25.4	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available§	Decreased
vapes, v	ape pens,	e-cigars, e	e-hookahs	s, hookah	used an ele pens, and pefore the	mods [st							
						20.0	13.3	23.0	14.7	14.3	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
					ently used	electroni	c vapor p	roducts fr	equently	(on 20 or			
more da	ys during	me so day	s before	the surve	y)								

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ercentage 0 days bef			rrently us	ed electro	nic vapor	products	daily (on	all 30			
						1.7	1.5	3.7	2.9	3.1	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	No change
ON120.		C . 1											
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab	ole tobacco es], not co	o products	s [such as		gen, Griz	zly, Skoal	, Camel S	Snus, or V	'elo			
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab Lozenge	ole tobacco es], not co	o products	s [such as	Copenhag	gen, Griz	zly, Skoal	, Camel S	Snus, or V	'elo	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	Decreased
snus, or Nicotine before th	dissolvab Lozenge ne survey)	ole tobacco es], not co	o products unting an	s [such as y electron	Copenhagic vapor p	gen, Grizz products, de ked cigars	zly, Skoal on at least 6.2	, Camel S 1 day du 4.6	Snus, or Varing the 3	Velo 80 days 2.6	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					rrently sn e the surv		ars daily (cigars, ci	garillos, o	or little			
	0.4	0.5	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.7	No linear change		Increased
	Percenta			currently	y smoked	cigarettes	s or cigars	(on at lea	ast 1 day	during			
	20.0	20.2	17.0	16.2	15.8	12.6	12.3	8.1	5.0	5.5	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
-		_		currently before the	y smoked	cigarettes	s or cigars	or used s	mokeless	tobacco			
(on at ic	ast I day	during the	200 days	before the	c survey)		13.6	9.2	5.6	6.3	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Tobacco) Use		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022
-		_		currently		_	_		mokeless	tobacco			
							17.8	24.5	15.6	15.9	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available§	No change
		ogo of stu	dents who	currently	v smoked	cigarettes	or used ε	electronic	vapor pro	ducts			
-		_		before the									
-		_		•		21.3	15.5	23.5	14.9	14.8	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
QN39:	ast 1 day	during the	e 30 days	•	e survey)	gars, ciga	rillos, or l	little cigar	rs, such as		Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change *	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					e a kind o					with an			
						93.3	95.2	95.6	95.4	97.0	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
	Percentag used ther		ents who u	ised electi	ronic-vapo	or produc	ts mainly	because a	a friend or	family			
								13.0	10.0	9.6	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	No change
	Percentag hash oil, o			nave ever	used an el	lectronic	vapor pro	duct to sr	noke mari	juana,			
							8.3	13.4	13.7	13.9	Increased, 2016-2022		

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
cigars, f		nokeless			red tobaco r both, no								
·					13.3	11.6	7.4	4.5	2.1	2.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag ast 1 day				bacco in a e survey)	ı hookah,	narghile,	or other t	type of wa	aterpipe 1.5	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
(on at le	ast 1 day	during the	e 30 days	before the			5.6	3.5	1.9	1.5	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Tobacc			Health !	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag he survey,												
					41.3	40.2	41.6	11.9	25.4	14.9	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN100:	Percenta	ge of stud	ents who	live with	someone	who now	smokes o	eigarettes	or cigars				
					36.9	35.8	30.4	27.5	26.0	23.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Decreased
									-				
	Percenta lowed any									noking			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Tobacco	, ese		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
01100	D .		-										
			lents who lays befor			oom with	someone						
						oom with	someone 25.8	who was 24.6	smoking 23.3	(on at 24.5	No linear change	Not available [§]	Increased
QN118:	day durii	ge of stud	lays before	e the surv	rey)		25.8	24.6	23.3	24.5	No linear change	Not available [§]	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

who had their f	2013	2014	2016	2018					
who had their f	irst drink o			2010	2021	2022			
		of alcoho	l before a	ge 13 yea	rs (other	than a			
4.5 23.2	19.3	17.3	15.7	15.1	13.6	13.9	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
who currently one survey)	drank alcol	hol (at lea	ast one dr	ink of alc	ohol, on a	at least 1			
7.0 34.8	31.2	26.1	25.5	24.1	19.4	17.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
h 7	vho currently vive or more di	7.0 34.8 31.2 who currently were binge rive or more drinks of alc	re survey) 7.0 34.8 31.2 26.1 who currently were binge drinking rive or more drinks of alcohol in a	re survey) 7.0 34.8 31.2 26.1 25.5 who currently were binge drinking (had four	vho currently were binge drinking (had four or more rive or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were n	vho currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of ive or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, with	7.0 34.8 31.2 26.1 25.5 24.1 19.4 17.8 who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol rive or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a	re survey) 7.0 34.8 31.2 26.1 25.5 24.1 19.4 17.8 Decreased, 2005-2022 who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol rive or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a	re survey) 7.0 34.8 31.2 26.1 25.5 24.1 19.4 17.8 Decreased, 2005-2022 No quadratic change who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol rive or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

2009 2011 who tried marijua 8.1 8.5	2013 2014 ana for the first	2016	2018 e age 13 y	2021	2022			
-	ana for the first	time before	age 13 y		-			
8.1 8.5				ears				
	8.8 8.0	7.3	6.4	5.2	4.3	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
who currently us	sed marijuana (o	ne or more	times dur	ing the 30) days			
21.9 23.2	19.8 18.8	18.4	17.6	15.0	14.4	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
2	21.9 23.2 who ever took pr	21.9 23.2 19.8 18.8 who ever took prescription pain i	who ever took prescription pain medicine w	who ever took prescription pain medicine without a d	21.9 23.2 19.8 18.8 18.4 17.6 15.0 who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's		21.9 23.2 19.8 18.8 18.4 17.6 15.0 14.4 Decreased, 2005-2022 who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's	21.9 23.2 19.8 18.8 18.4 17.6 15.0 14.4 Decreased, 2005-2022 who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Alcohol	and Oth	er Drug I	Jse										
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			ents who e			ny form	of cocaine	e, includir	ng powde	r, crack,			
	6.9	5.5	6.3	5.9	6.5	5.4	5.4	4.8	2.9	1.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
-	Percentag nore times			ver used	heroin (al	so called	"smack,"	"junk," o	r "China \	White,"			
	2.6	2.4	4.1	4.2	4.9	4.2	4.3	3.7	2.5	1.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
			ents who e				s (also cal	led "speed	d," "crysta	al meth,"			
	4.0	3.0	4.3	4.5	5.0	4.2	4.6	3.7	2.3	1.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag aring their		ents who e	ever used	ecstasy (a	lso called	"MDMA	" or "Mo	lly," one o	or more			_
	5.0	6.3	6.4	6.9	8.3	6.4	5.5	4.9	3.0	2.0	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
	Percentag o their bo						(used a n	eedle to i	nject any	illegal			
	2.0	2.1	3.0	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.8	4.1	2.4	1.1	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
	-			nenally n	sed marii	iana by si	moking it	in a joint	, bong, pi	pe, or			
	Percenta uring the												

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Sexual	Behaviors	s											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN56:	Percentage	e of stude	ents who e	ver had s	exual inte	rcourse							
					39.1	32.4	31.8	31.3	24.7	25.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN57:	Percentage	e of stude	ents who h	ad sexua	l intercour	rse for the	e first time	e before a	ge 13 yea	rs			
					6.6	5.0	4.3	3.9	3.0	3.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
QN58:	Percentage	e of stude	ents who h	ad sexua	l intercour	se with fo	our or mo	ore person	s during t	heir life		-	
					12.3	8.6	7.7	7.2	5.1	4.7	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
	Percentage son, during					ally active	e (had sex	cual interc	course wit	h at least			
					27.2	22.6	22.1	22.0	16.9	17.5	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health 1	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-202 2
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentag who were				hol or use	d drugs b	efore last	sexual in	tercourse	(among			
					24.0	23.7	21.2	20.6	20.8	19.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 No change, 2016-2022	No chang
	Percentag re currentl			sed a con	dom durii	ng last se	xual inter	course (an	nong stud	lents			
					61.5	61.3	56.9	56.7	49.6	53.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Increase
	Percentag		nts who u										
opposite	e-sex partr rning after												

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Dietary	Behavio	rs	Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		entage of pecific ref							ass index	, based			
	12.6	12.9	12.0	12.0	11.0	11.5	13.1	12.8	15.9	15.7	Increased, 2005-2022		No change
		ntage of str based on											
	16.0	15.0	15.4	15.4	14.8	14.9	15.0	15.7	15.3	15.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		e of stude		did not dr	ink fruit ju	uice (1009	% fruit jui	ces one o	r more tin	nes			
	17.2	19.2	17.4	19.0	23.5	27.1	31.2	33.9	32.9	36.8	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

·	Behavio	rs	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN69: I	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who c	did not ear	t fruit (one	e or more	times dur	ring the 7	days befo	ore the			
	15.6	18.5	15.0	14.0	15.7	15.9	16.3	15.6	16.8	14.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
		age of studence, of the following for the follow					0% fruit j 8.6	uices (suc	ch as oran 8.4	ge juice,	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
apple jui	5.3 Percent	ape juice,	5.0 dents who	5.4 ate fruit	7.0 or drank	8.0 8.0 100% frui	8.6	8.4	8.4 e times po	8.3	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Total Dietary	Behavior	rs.											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
							it juices tw s before t			er day			
	30.7	29.3	36.0	34.7	31.9	28.8	26.7	26.9	24.0	25.0	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
	Percentag e survey)		ents who c	lid not eat	t green sa	lad (one o	or more tir	nes durin	g the 7 da	ys			
	36.4	37.7	38.2	37.9	38.1	42.0	44.8	45.7	48.0	45.4	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN71: I		e of stude	ents who d	lid not eat	t potatoes	(one or n	nore times	during th	ne 7 days	before			
	34.1	35.4	34.7	36.5	35.9	38.9	38.9	41.3	43.7	40.1	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
ON72: 1	Percentag	e of stude	ents who d	lid not eat	t carrots (one or mo	ore times o	luring the	7 days b	efore the			
survey)													

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Dietary	Behavio	rs	Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from
													2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentag he survey)		ents who c	lid not ea	t other veg	getables (one or mo	re times o	during the	7 days			
	14.5	16.3	17.6	18.5	17.0	18.4	20.1	18.9	19.4	19.5	Increased, 2005-2022	Increased, 2005-2016 No change, 2016-2022	No change
	-							-	-				
	GO: Perce led potator				t eat vege or other ve								
											Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
fries, fri	5.6 G1: Perce	5.8 ntage of s	5.9 tudents w	7.3	7.1 getables o	8.4 ne or mor	9.0	9.0 9.0 er day (gr	9.5 een salad	9.5	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QNVEO	ed potatoo	5.8 ntage of s	5.9 tudents w	7.3	7.1 getables o	8.4 ne or mor	9.0	9.0 9.0 er day (gr	9.5 een salad	9.5	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Total Dietary	Behavior	·s											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
potatoes	[excludin		fries, fried		getables to s, or potate								
	25.6	24.4	26.6	29.4	27.7	25.8	24.0	23.9	21.2	24.1	Decreased, 2005-2022		Increased
	[excludin	ng french	fries, fried		getables the, or potate								
	12.8	11.3	12.6	15.3	13.8	13.4	12.0	11.9	10.2	12.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
					nk a can, pop, one								
			21.6	22.8	28.4	29.0	31.8	34.1	33.3	33.5	Increased, 2009-2022	Increased, 2009-2018 No change, 2018-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Dictary	Behavior	S	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	1 1 . Dana			1 1 1	1.	1	1 6	1	-	-			
times pe	r day (suc ne survey)	h as Cok			,	, ,			one or mo				
times pe	r day (suc	h as Cok			,	, ,					Decreased, 2009-2022	Decreased, 2009-2018 No change, 2018-2022	No change
QNSOD times pe	r day (suc	h as Coke	e, Pepsi, o 21.3	24.9 who dran	18.0 k a can, be	ng diet so	14.0 lass of soo	t pop, dur 12.4 da or pop	11.5 two or m	days 12.3 ore	Decreased, 2009-2022	*	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Total Physica	l Activity	7											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind	ge of stude of physic g the 7 day	al activity	that incre	eased thei								
				41.2	40.1	36.9	35.2	36.5	38.5	39.5	No linear change	Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
		ge of stude en they w			hysical ed	lucation (PE) class	es on 1 or	more day	ys (in an			
	37.6	37.0	39.3	37.8	39.1	37.6	36.4	37.1	35.3	37.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
activity	on at leas	ercentage t 1 day (ir e of the tir	n any kind	of physic	cal activit	y that inci	reased the						
				15.6	18.0	19.8	21.6	21.8	18.8	19.6	Increased, 2011-2022	Increased, 2011-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

?hysica.	l Activity	,	Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022
days (in	any kind	of physic		that incr	ere physica eased thei								
				21.4	21.6	19.5	17.9	19.4	19.6	19.8	No linear change	Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
				nad a cond	cussion fro	om playin					No linear change	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No change
				nad a cond		om playin					No linear change Decreased, 2016-2022	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No change
One or i	nore time	es during t	the 12 mo	nad a condition this before	cussion fro	om playin vey)	g a sport	or being p	physically	active		Increased, 2016-2022	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-202 2
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
)N105·		_	lents who					,					
smart pl	none, or o		dia, not co					guines, ac					

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage tests don				tested for	human ir	mmunode	ficiency v	virus (HIV	V) (not			
						13.6	15.2	13.7	9.1	6.4	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
	Percentage ring the 1					check-up,	, exam, te	eth cleani	ing, or oth	ner dental			
						76.1	76.6	76.3	74.1	73.8	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
	NT: Perc		f students	who neve	er saw a d	entist (for	r a check-	up, exam	, teeth cle	eaning, or			
						2.0	2.1	2.3	1.7	2.7	No linear change	Not available	Increased
QN86: 1	Percentage	of stude	ents who e	experience	ed unstabl	e housing	g (during	the 30 day	ys before	the			
,								3.0	4.0	2.7	Increased, 2018-2022	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
game, pl	layed one	of their st	ate's lotte	ry games	, gambled	on the In	ambled waternet, or 12 months	bet on a g	game of p	ersonal			
								22.1	15.3	17.5	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available§	Increased
from doi		lay activi					m health p						
									10.1	6.5	Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased
							as often or ore (during						

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change *	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percenta and they d									ought did			
								17.3	12.2	14.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
	Percenta ore friends		lents who	say defin	itely yes o	or probab	ly yes tha	t young p	eople who	o smoke			
					39.8	37.6	38.1	40.7	42.7	43.6	Increased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
	Percenta ook cool o		lents who	say defin	itely yes o	or probab	ly yes tha	t smoking	g makes y	oung			
					24.4	21.2	22.1	25.5	20.5	18.7	Decreased, 2013-2022	Increased, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Demogr	raphic												
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN65:	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who	described	themselve	es as trans	gender.						_
							3.3	1.4	3.0	2.7	Increased, 2016-2022	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Mental	Health		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag									lways			
	d (includii	ng stress,	anxiety, a	nd depres	sion, duri	ng the 30	days befo	ore the sur	vey)				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percenta heir fami								le to talk	to an			
			lents who ther caring						le to talk	42.2	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
											Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
dult in t	heir fami	ly or anot		g adult abo	out their f	eelings (c	during the	ir life)	36.8	42.2	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [§]	Increase

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Total Birth Co	ontrol												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
as Impla	non or Ne	explanon)	before la		intercours	e with an		ParaGard -sex partn					
									4.2	4.5	No linear change	Not available§	No change
		:											
ParaGaro as Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	lant (such birth cont	as Implatoriol ring (non or Nes such as N	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	(such as Depo-Pro intercours rently sexu	vera), pat e with an	ch (such			
ParaGaro as Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	lant (such birth cont	as Implatoriol ring (non or Nes such as N	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such	No linear change	Not available	No change
ParaGardas Ortho opposite	d) or impl Evra), or -sex partr LBC: Pe ttrol pills;	lant (such birth cont ner (to pre ercentage an IUD,	as Implar trol ring (sevent preg	non or Ne such as No nancy, an	xplanon); uvaRing) nong stud ed both a ot, or pate	or a shot before las ents who	(such as st sexual swere currung las control r	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an ually activ 27.5 ntercourse e last sexu	ch (such ze) 26.2 and aal	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Birth C	ontrol		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
						any metho							
									17.2	16.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Not enough years of data to calculate.

5 2007	2009										2021-2022
	2007	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
								nost of			
vays slappe	d, hit, kicke	ed, punche	ed, or beat	each oth	er up (dur	ing their	lite)				
							2.1	2.1	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
	ways slapped	ways slapped, hit, kicke	ways slapped, hit, kicked, punche	ways slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat	ways slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each oth	ways slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (dur	ways slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their	ways slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) 2.1		ways slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) 2.1 2.1 No linear change	ways slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) 2.1 2.1 No linear change Not available§

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Injury a	ınd Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Bel	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					driver wh		en drinkir	ng alcohol	(in a car	or other			
	25.3	26.7	27.1	25.2	21.0	17.6	13.9	15.3	11.6	10.1	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
(one or i	nore time	s during t		s before	ar or other the survey								
					10.7	8.6	6.7	6.5	4.4	5.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Increased
1 day du	ring the 3	0 days be		survey, an	e-mailed v								
					35.2	28.6	28.3	27.3	24.7	29.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

jui y u	nd Viole	ence	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ge of stude during the				school p	roperty (s	uch as a g	gun, knife	, or club,			
	9.5	7.3	6.3	7.2	6.4	5.4	9.3	7.3	4.7	3.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
		ge of stude							school or	on their			
									school or 6	on their	No linear change	Not available [§]	No char
way to o	r from sc		ents who v	day during	g the 30 da	ays before	the surve	8.8 oon on sch	8.4	8.2	No linear change	Not available [§]	No chanş

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Injury a	nd Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		e of stude of stude			physical f	ight on sc	hool prop	erty (one	or more	times			
	19.4	14.5	14.3	13.0	17.6	15.1	14.7	15.0	9.1	11.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
	_												
purpose into som	by someonething, or	e of stude one they w r injured v g students	vere dating with an ob	g or going ject or we	eapon] on	[counting e or more	g such thin times du	ngs as being the 12	ng hit, sla 2 months	ammed before			
purpose into som the surve	by someonething, or	one they w r injured v	vere dating with an ob	g or going ject or we	g out with eapon] on	[counting e or more	g such thin times du	ngs as being the 12	ng hit, sla 2 months	ammed before	Increased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
purpose into som the surve survey)	by someonething, or nething, o	one they w r injured v g students	vere dating vith an ob who date	g or going ject or wo d or went	g out with eapon] on out with	[counting e or more someone 8.5	g such thin times during the during the 8.8	ngs as bei ring the 12 e 12 mont	ng hit, sla 2 months hs before 9.7	ammed before the	Increased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Injury a	and Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag Instagram												_
				10.4	10.7	10.2	11.1	10.8	11.0	9.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
	Percentagy stopped of									row so			
	21.5	15.5	20.2	19.2	19.7	18.7	21.0	23.5	27.3	24.3	Increased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2014 Increased, 2014-2022	Decreased
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who s	eriously o	considered	l attempti	ng suicid	e (during	the 12 mo	onths			
	12.9	10.3	11.3	12.9	11.6	10.9	12.4	13.0	14.0	11.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage before the		ents who r	nade a pla	ın about h	ow they	would atte	mpt suici	de (during	g the 12			_
	9.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	9.8	9.4	11.0	12.8	10.4	9.3	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN32:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who s	smoked a	cigarette l	pefore age	e 13 years	(even on	e or two j	puffs)			
								9.3	7.1	4.7	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
	IG: Percenter 30 days				ntly smok	ed cigare	ttes freque	ently (on 2	20 or moi	re days	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	,	0.0				2.0	2.0		0.5	0.5		170 quadratic change	
	CIG: Per ays before			s who cui	rently sm	oked ciga	rettes dai	y (on all	30 days d	luring			
	4.9	5.7	3.5	2.9	3.2	2.1	1.5	0.9	0.6	0.9	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who c	currently s	smoked ci	garettes (on at least	1 day du	uring the 3	30 days	_		

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Tobacc	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage ape pens,												
						38.0	35.5	39.4	28.8	21.0	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
vapes, v	Percentag ape pens, , on at lea	e-cigars,	e-hookah	s, hookah	pens, and	mods [su					Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No chang
	VP: Perce					electronic	c vapor p	roducts fr	equently	(on 20 or			
1		tne 50 da	vs before	The curve									

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percen	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ercentage O days bef			rrently us	ed electro	onic vapor	products	daily (on	all 30			
						2.4	2.0	4.4	2.5	2.7	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	No change
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab	ge of stude de tobacco s], not co	products	s [such as	Copenha	gen, Griz	zly, Skoal	, Camel S	Snus, or V	'elo			
before ti	ie survey,	,					8.3	6.3	3.6	3.2	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change
		centage of						ly (cigars	, cigarillo	os, or			
little cig	urs, on 20		,	8			• .						

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Bel	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					re the surv		ars daily	(cigars, ci	garillos, o	or little			
	0.6	0.6	1.1	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.9	No linear change		Increased
_	Percenta	_		currently	y smoked	cigarettes	or cigars	(on at lea	ast 1 day	during			
	22.3	22.5	18.2	17.1	18.0	13.9	14.3	9.5	5.4	5.7	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
			dents who		y smoked e survey)	cigarettes	or cigars	or used s	mokeless	tobacco			
							16.0	11.2	6.0	6.6	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Tobacco	Osc		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					y smoked during the				mokeless	tobacco			
							10.0	242	12.0	12.0	D 1 2016 2022	NI - 4 11 - 1 - 8	M
							19.3	24.2	13.0	13.0	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No chang
		age of stud			y smoked e survey)	cigarettes					Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No chang
						cigarettes					Decreased, 2016-2022 Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No chang
(on at lea QN39: I Swisher	ast 1 day	during the	e 30 days	before the		21.9 gars, ciga	16.4	electronic 23.1 little ciga	vapor pro	oducts 11.8	, 		

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag ic vapor p									vith an			
						91.9	94.1	94.2	94.7	96.4	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available§	No change
	Percentag used ther		ents who u	ised electi	ronic-vapo	or produc	ts mainly	because a	a friend or	family			
								10.7	7.7	7.0	D 1 2010 2022		
								10.7	1.1	7.0	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	No change
	Percentag			nave ever	used an el	ectronic	vapor prod				Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Tobacc	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
cigars, f	Percentag lavored sr fore the su	nokeless											
·					15.8	13.3	9.1	5.6	2.4	2.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag					hookah,	narghile,	or other t	type of wa	terpipe			
							6.0	3.7	1.8	1.3	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change
	Percentag before the		ents who t	ried or use	ed tobacco	product					Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			ents who c tudents wl										
					40.4	39.5	41.6	13.3	27.4	16.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN100:	Percenta	ge of stud	lents who	live with	someone	who now	smokes o	cigarettes	or cigars	,			
					36.5	34.9	29.5	26.4	25.1	22.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Decreased
			lents who							moking			
							81.1	82.4	82.2	82.8	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

obacco Use		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change fron 2021-2022
2003 2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
N102: Percer					oom with	someone	who was	smoking	(on at			
ast one day at	aring the 7	any s octor	e the surv	<i>C</i> 37		23.9	22.9	20.9	21.1	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN41: few sips		ge of stude	ents who h	ad their f	irst drink	of alcoho	l before a	ge 13 yea	rs (other t	than a			
	25.4	26.3	26.9	24.1	21.1	18.3	16.5	16.1	13.5	12.2	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
			ents who core the sur		lrank alco	hol (at lea	ast one dr	ink of alc	ohol, on a	at least 1			
ay dur.					20.2	23.0	22.2	21.0	15.8	14.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	NT 1	
aay dur.	37.6	40.3	34.4	32.3	29.3	23.0	22.2	21.0	13.0	14.0	Decreased, 2003-2022	No quadratic change	No chang
QN43: in a row	Percentag	ge of stude	a4.4 ents who ce or five of 1 day dur	currently vor more dr	were binge	e drinking	g (had four	r or more	drinks of	alcohol	Decreased, 2003-2022	No quadratic change	No chang

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN47:	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who t	ried marij	juana for t	he first ti	me before	age 13 y	ears				
	11.4	11.6	10.8	11.1	11.3	9.8	9.0	7.4	5.8	4.2	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
	Percentag		ents who c	currently 1	ısed marij	juana (one	e or more	times dur	ing the 30) days			
	18.5	23.0	24.6	25.9	21.6	19.4	17.6	16.5	13.6	11.7	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Alcohol	and Oth	er Drug U		Risk Reh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from
			Health	MSK Den	avioi and	i i ci cent	iages				Diffeat Change	Quadratic Change	2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		e of stude r more tin				ny form	of cocaine	e, includir	ng powde	r, crack,			
	8.5	7.0	7.7	6.8	8.1	6.7	6.8	6.0	3.3	2.2	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
		e of stude during th		ever used	heroin (al	so called	"smack,"	"junk," o	r "China \	White,"			
	2.8	3.7	5.8	5.7	6.3	5.5	5.3	4.9	3.1	1.7	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
		e of stude "meth," o					s (also cal	led "speed	d," "crysta	al meth,"			
	5.8	3.9	6.0	5.8	6.4	5.4	5.6	4.9	3.0	1.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag rring their		ents who e	ver used	ecstasy (a	lso called	"MDMA	" or "Mo	lly," one o	or more			
	4.8	7.1	7.7	7.5	10.3	7.9	6.7	6.2	3.5	2.4	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
			ents who e				(used a n	eedle to i	nject any	illegal			
	2.2	2.9	4.1	5.0	5.0	4.4	4.8	5.1	2.8	1.5	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
			lents who						, bong, pi	pe, or			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Sexual	Behaviors	s											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN56:	Percentag	e of stud	ents who	ever had s	exual inte	rcourse			-				
					41.9	35.0	33.9	33.7	26.1	25.7	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
		-			•								
QN57:	Percentag	ge of stud	ents who l	had sexua	l intercour	rse for the	e first time 6.5	e before a	ge 13 yea 3.8	3.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	_		ents who l		10.2	7.8	6.5	6.0	3.8	3.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	_				10.2	7.8	6.5	6.0	3.8	3.8	Decreased, 2013-2022 Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change No quadratic change	No change
QN58:	Percentag Percentag	ge of stud		had sexua	10.2 l intercoun 15.4 ently sexua	7.8 rse with f	6.5 Four or mo	6.0 re person	3.8 s during t 6.1	3.8 heir life 5.6			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Sexual l	Behaviors	:	Uaalth	Dialz Dah	action one	d Domoon	tagas				Linear Change*	Ovedvetic Change*	Changa from
			пеанн	KISK Dei	avior and	ı rercen	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentage who were				ohol or use	ed drugs b	pefore last	sexual in	itercourse	(among			
					27.9	26.1	22.6	22.0	20.0	20.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage re currentl			ised a cor	ndom duri	ng last se	xual inter	course (ar	nong stud	lents			
					67.2	67.3	64.2	63.3	53.8	59.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
opposite	Percentage -sex partn rning after	er (to pre	vent preg	nancy, no	ot counting	g emergei	ncy contra	ception s					
									17.3	16.6	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Dietary	Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		entage of pecific ref							ass index	, based			
	15.5	16.6	15.4	13.4	13.8	14.1	15.4	14.6	18.4	17.4	No linear change		No change
		tage of st based on											
	16.2	15.0	15.8	15.5	14.8	14.5	14.2	14.5	14.3	14.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
		e of stude		lid not dri	nk fruit ju	iice (1009	% fruit jui	ces one o	r more tin	nes			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

Male Dietary	Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN69: I	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who	lid not eat	t fruit (one	e or more	times dur	ring the 7	days befo	ore the			
	16.4	21.2	18.4	14.7	18.5	17.3	18.3	17.7	18.3	15.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
		age of studence, 7.5					0% fruit j 9.9	uices (suc	ch as oran 9.2	ge juice, 9.3	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
apple jui	5.4 Percent	ape juice,	6.2 dents who	5.9 ate fruit	8.5 or drank	9.1 9.1 100% frui	9.9	9.9	9.2	9.3	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Dietary	Behavio	·s											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QNFR2: (such as	Percenta orange ju	nge of studice, apple	dents who	ate fruit grape jui	or drank ce, during	100% frui the 7 day	it juices tw s before t	vo or mor the survey	re times po	er day			
	33.8	30.1	36.3	36.5	32.7	30.3	27.8	27.7	26.0	27.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who o	lid not ea	t green sa	lad (one o	or more tir	nes durin	g the 7 da	ys			
	40.5	41.5	43.6	39.1	42.3	45.5	48.5	49.3	51.6	48.7	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN71: I		e of stude	ents who	lid not ea	t potatoes	(one or n	nore times	during th	ne 7 days	before			
	31.4	34.8	32.3	33.9	34.5	37.6	37.8	40.8	41.7	37.2	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN72: I	Percentag	e of stude	ents who c	lid not ear	t carrots (one or mo	ore times o	during the	7 days b	efore the			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag he survey)		ents who d	lid not ear	t other veg	getables (one or mo	re times d	luring the	7 days			
	16.6	18.2	20.2	21.2	19.3	20.1	22.4	21.2	20.5	20.4	Increased, 2005-2022	Increased, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
ONVE	30: Percei						een salad, during th						
	ed potatoe	s, or pour	no empsj,										
	6.2	6.0	7.7	9.0	8.7	9.8	10.6	10.9	10.9	10.8	Increased, 2005-2022	Increased, 2005-2016 No change, 2016-2022	No change
QNVEO	-	6.0 ntage of s	7.7 tudents w	9.0	getables o	ne or moi	re times pe	er day (gr	een salad		Increased, 2005-2022	,	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Dietary	Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
potatoes	[excluding		fries, frie	ho ate veg d potatoes									
	23.5	25.3	26.5	31.6	28.2	27.3	25.1	24.6	22.6	26.0	No linear change		Increased
potatoes	[excluding		fries, frie	ho ate veg d potatoes									
	12.9	12.4	13.3	16.6	14.4	14.8	12.7	12.3	11.2	14.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	Increased
				did not dri da or diet									
			19.9	22.4	25.5	27.0	29.8	30.8	31.2	32.1	Increased, 2009-2022	Increased, 2009-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003 200	5 20	007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QNSODA1: Fimes per day (before the surv	(such as		, Pepsi, o	r Sprite, 1							Decreased, 2009-2022	Na madratia akanas	
			23.8	27.1	/11/0							No quadratic change	No cha

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Physical	l Activity	,											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind	of physic	al activity	were phys that incre the survey	eased thei								
				50.1	46.8	44.0	42.2	42.9	46.0	48.2	No linear change	Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	Increased
	Percentag week who			attended p	hysical ed	lucation (PE) class	es on 1 or	more day	ys (in an			
	44.9	44.4	48.1	44.4	46.7	44.3	43.3	43.0	41.9	43.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
activity	on at least	t 1 day (iı	n any kino	ts who did l of physic g the 7 day	cal activity	y that inci	reased the						
				13.4	14.9	16.6	17.8	18.0	14.1	15.7	No linear change	Increased, 2011-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Male Physical	Activity	•											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind		al activity	that incre	ere physica eased thei								
				28.0	27.5	25.0	23.4	24.8	25.4	25.8	No linear change	Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
-	_			nad a conc	27.5 cussion from the surv	om playin					No linear change	,	No change
-	_			nad a conc	cussion fro	om playin					No linear change Decreased, 2016-2022	,	No change
One or r	nore time PE: Perc	s during t	he 12 mo	nad a conc nths before	cussion fro	om playin vey)	g a sport	or being p	physically	active		Increased, 2016-2022	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
mart ph	one, or ot	ther elect	lents who conic devi- dia, not co	ce watchi	ng shows	or videos	, playing	games, ac					
Internet,													

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage g tests don				tested for	human ii	mmunode	ficiency v	virus (HIV	(not			
						14.2	16.1	13.9	8.6	6.5	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
	Percentage ring the 1					check-up	, exam, te	eth cleani	ng, or oth	er dental			
						75.2	75.6	75.4	73.3	72.5	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
	ONT: Perontal work)		f students	who neve	er saw a d	entist (fo	r a check-	-up, exam	, teeth cle	aning, or			
						2.5	2.5	2.8	1.9	3.4	No linear change	Not available	Increased
QN86: I survey)	Percentage	e of stude	ents who e	experience	ed unstabl	e housing	g (during	the 30 day	ys before	the			
•								3.5	4.4	3.3	No linear change	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
game, pl	ayed one	of their st	tate's lotte	ery games	, gambled	on the Ir	ambled waternet, or 12 months	bet on a	game of p	ersonal			
								31.0	23.0	25.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available§	Increased
from doi		lay activi					m health p						
									9.5	6.3	Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased
							as often or ore (during						
								21.1	14.0	17.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
				reported to get mo						ought did			
								16.8	11.6	13.7	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
	Percenta ore friends		lents who	say defin	itely yes	or probab	ly yes tha	t young p	eople wh	o smoke		-	
					41.3	39.3	39.5	42.5	42.1	42.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percenta ook cool o		lents who	say defin	itely yes	or probab	ly yes tha	t smoking	g makes y	oung			
					28.2	23.9	24.6	28.0	20.9	18.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	No change, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Male Demogr	raphic		Health	Risk Bel	navior an	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022 †
QN65:	Percentag	e of stud	ents who	described	themselve	es as trans	sgender. 3.9	1.4	2.3	1.5	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
_				05 2007 2009 2011	05 2007 2009 2011 2013	05 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014	05 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016	05 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018	05 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021	5		

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health 1	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003 200	05 2	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN121: Perc									le to talk t	o an			
dult in their f	family o	or anoth	ier caring	adult abo	out their fo	eelings (d	uring thei	r life)	20.2	44.5	1 2021 2022		
									39.2	44.7	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available§	Increase

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Birth Co	ontrol												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
as Impla	non or No	explanon)	before la	st sexual:	d an IUD (intercours y sexually	e with an							
									2.8	2.7	No linear change	Not available§	No change
ONOTH	IHPL: Pe	rcentage	of student	ts who use	ed birth co	ntrol pills	s; an IUD	(such as	Mirena or				
ParaGaro as Ortho	d) or imp Evra), or	lant (such birth con	as Implatorion as Implatorion (non or Ne such as N	ed birth co xplanon); uvaRing) nong stude	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such			
ParaGare as Ortho	d) or imp Evra), or	lant (such birth con	as Implatorion as Implatorion (non or Ne such as N	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such	No linear change	Not available	No change
ParaGardas Ortho opposite QNDUA birth cor	d) or impleteral, or inserting the control pills; rse with a	lant (such birth con ner (to pre	as Impla trol ring (event preg of studen or implan	non or Ne such as N mancy, an ts who use	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las ents who	(such as st sexual is were curred luring lasses control r	Depo-Pro intercours ently sexu t sexual in ing before	vera), pat e with an nally activ 22.2 ttercourse e last sexu	20.4 and tal	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Birth C	ontrol		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					d not use a r (among								
									16.0	15.9	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
				reported ted, punche						nost of			
				reported ted, punche						nost of	No linear change	Not available [§]	
N117:	or always Percenta	s slapped,	hit, kicke	have ever	ed, or beat	each oth	er up (dur	ring their	life)	1.7	No linear change	Not available [§]	No ch

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Injury a	ınd Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					driver wh		en drinkir	ng alcohol	(in a car	or other			
	24.7	31.0	26.2	26.0	19.7	18.6	14.0	14.1	14.0	12.7	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
(one or i	nore time	s during t		s before t	r or other he survey vey)								
					6.4	5.0	4.4	3.2	3.5	3.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2018 No change, 2018-2022	No change
1 day du	ring the 3	30 days be		urvey, an	e-mailed v								
					30.4	22.6	26.7	24.1	22.7	28.4	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 No change, 2016-2022	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Injury a	and Viole	nce	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022
			ents who c 30 days b		veapon on survey)	school p	roperty (s	such as a g	gun, knife	, or club,			
	4.3	4.2	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.8	4.5	3.4	3.0	2.0	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
					to school g the 30 da				school or	on their	Increased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
					itened or i					erty			
	9.8	8.6	7.8	5.3	6.8	5.3	5.2	5.7	4.9	8.2	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Injury a	and Viole	ence											
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ge of stude			physical f	ight on sc	hool prop	erty (one	or more t	imes			
	10.4	10.0	7.8	8.5	10.2	8.5	8.7	8.3	4.8	7.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
ON22:	Percentag	re of stude	ents who e	experience	ed physica	al dating v	violence (heing phy	sically h	ırt on			
purpose into son	by someonething, or	ge of stude one they w r injured v g students	vere dating with an ob	g or going ject or w	g out with eapon] on	[counting e or more	g such thin times du	ngs as bei ring the 1	ng hit, sla 2 months	nmmed before			
purpose into son the surv	by someonething, or	one they w r injured v	vere dating with an ob	g or going ject or w	g out with eapon] on	[counting e or more	g such thin times du	ngs as bei ring the 1	ng hit, sla 2 months	nmmed before	No linear change	Decreased, 2013-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
purpose into som the surve survey)	by someonething, or ey, among	one they w r injured v	vere datin with an ob who date	g or going ject or word or went	g out with eapon] on t out with	[counting e or more someone	g such thin times during the during the	ngs as bei ring the 1 e 12 mont	ng hit, sla 2 months hs before 12.2	the 12.4	No linear change	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Injury a	and Viole	nce	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022
					ronically nedia, eve								
				17.4	17.2	17.2	17.0	15.9	16.3	15.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
					hopeless ever durin					row so			
	38.1	30.7	30.1	31.4	34.2	35.0	38.7	40.6	50.8	48.8	Increased, 2005-2022		Decreased
-	Percentag ne survey)		ents who s	seriously o	considered	d attempti	ng suicide	e (during	the 12 mo	onths			
	22.0	15.8	17.4	19.3	20.0	20.7	21.8	22.7	26.7	24.6	Increased, 2005-2022		Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		nts who r	nade a pla	an about h	ow they	would atte	mpt suici	de (during	g the 12			
15.6	10.8	13.0	14.5	15.0	15.9	17.7	19.2	20.0	19.5	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag before the	Percentage of stude before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 Percentage of students who nobefore the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 Percentage of students who made a place before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 Percentage of students who made a plan about helpefore the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they were the survey)	Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attended the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicibefore the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2022 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2022 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2022 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 before the survey)

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Bel	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN32:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who s	smoked a	cigarette l	pefore ago	e 13 years	(even on	e or two p	ouffs)			
								5.9	5.3	4.1	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
			students v he survey 4.3		ntly smok	ed cigare	ttes freque	ently (on 2	20 or mor 0.4	e days	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	CIG: Per ays before			s who cui	rently sm	oked ciga	rettes dai	ly (on all	30 days d	uring			
the 30 a													
ine 30 a	5.8	4.5	3.4	3.2	1.8	1.4	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN33: 1		e of stude					on at least				Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacc	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage ape pens,												
						37.0	34.9	39.9	35.7	29.9	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available§	Decreased
vapes, v	Percentage ape pens, on at lea	e-cigars,	e-hookah	s, hookah	pens, and	mods [su							
and blu					crore the	18.7	12.1	23.1	17.1	17.5	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
and bluj			Č		erore the	•	12.1	23.1	17.1	17.5	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
QNFRE	VP: Perceys during		students	who curre	ntly used	18.7					Increased, 2014-2022	Not available	No chang

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacco) Use		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change fron
												Camarana camaga	2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ercentage 0 days bet			rrently us	ed electro	nic vapor	products	daily (on	all 30			_
						0.0	0.0	2.0	2.2	2.5	Inomposed 2014 2022	Not available§	No change
	Percentag	re of stude	ente who	ourrently i	ised smol	0.9	0.8	2.9	3.2	3.5	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available	
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab Lozenge	ge of stude	o products	s [such as	Copenha	xeless tob	acco (che zly, Skoal	wing toba , Camel S	acco, snuf Snus, or V	f, dip, elo	mcreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab	es], not co	o products	s [such as	Copenha	xeless tob	acco (che zly, Skoal	wing toba , Camel S	acco, snuf Snus, or V	f, dip, elo	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change
snus, or Nicotine before th	dissolvab Lozenge ne survey)	ele tobacco es], not co	o products unting an	s [such as y electron	Copenha	xeless tob gen, Grizz products, o	acco (che zly, Skoal on at least 3.2	wing toba , Camel S t 1 day du 2.1	acco, snuf Snus, or V Iring the 3	f, dip, felo 60 days			
snus, or Nicotine before the	dissolvab Lozenge ne survey)	es], not co	o products unting an	s [such as y electron	Copenhagic vapor p	keless tobe gen, Grizz products, o	acco (chezly, Skoal on at least 3.2	wing toba , Camel S t 1 day du 2.1	acco, snuf Snus, or V Iring the 3	f, dip, felo 60 days			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Tobacco			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-		_			rrently sn e the surv	_	ars daily (cigars, ci	garillos, o	or little			
	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.5	No linear change		No change
-		age of stue		currently	y smoked	cigarettes	or cigars	(on at lea	ast 1 day o	luring			
	17.8	17.7	15.7	15.0	13.1	11.0	9.6	5.8	4.1	5.4	Decreased, 2005-2022		Increased
				currently before the	y smoked	cigarettes	or cigars	or used s	mokeless	tobacco			
(on at ic	ast I day	during the	30 days	before the	survey)		10.4	6.4	4.7	6.0	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available§	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacco	Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percen	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					y smoked during the					tobacco			
							15.9	24.5	17.8	18.9	No linear change	Not available§	No change
		age of stud			y smoked e survey)	cigarettes	s or used e	electronic	vapor pro	oducts	_	-	
						20.3	14.1	23.6	17.4	18.0	No linear change	Not available	No change
Swisher		Middleton			smoked ci								

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			ents who u mong stud							vith an			
						95.2	97.0	97.1	96.1	97.4	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
	Percentag used ther		ents who u	ised electi	onic-vapo	or produc	ts mainly	because a	friend or	family			
								15.1	12.2	12.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	No change
	Percentag hash oil, o		ents who h	nave ever	used an el	lectronic	vapor pro	duct to sn	noke mari	juana,		·	
							7.3	13.3	15.4	17.0	Increased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacco													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
cigars, f		nokeless			ored tobaco or both, no								
					10.5	9.7	5.1	3.1	1.6	1.9	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	No change
-			ents who s e 30 days		bacco in a	a hookah,	narghile,	or other t	type of w	aterpipe			
							4.4	2.8	1.7	1.6	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change
	Percentag before the		ents who t	ried or us	ed tobacco	o product	s for the f	first time ((during th	e 12			
						11.1	7.7	7.2	4.0	9.0	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Tobacco	Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			ents who c audents wh										
					42.4	40.7	41.2	10.5	23.9	13.3	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN100:	Percenta	ge of stud	lents who	live with	someone	who now	smokes	cigarettes	or cigars				
					37.2	36.8	31.1	28.3	26.8	24.4	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Decreased
			lents who							moking			
							80.7	82.3	80.4	79.6	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Гоbассо Use		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003 2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN102: Percente ast one day du					oom with	someone	who was	smoking	(on at			_
	-	J		• /		27.2	25.5	25.3	28.0	Increased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	Incre

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Decreased, 2005-	-2022 No quadratic chang	ge Increased
Decreased, 2005-	-2022 No quadratic chang	ge Increased
Decreased, 2005	-2022 No quadratic chang	ge Increased
Decreased, 2005-	-2022 No quadratic chang	ge No change
Decrea	sed, 2005	sed, 2005-2022 No quadratic chang

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
 QN47: I	Percentag	e of stude	ents who t	ried marij	uana for t	he first ti	me before	age 13 y	ears				
	6.5	5.3	5.3	6.0	5.8	5.9	5.1	5.0	4.4	4.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag e survey)	e of stude	ents who c	eurrently t	ısed marij	uana (one	e or more	times dur	ing the 30) days			
	18.4	15.9	19.2	20.4	17.8	18.2	19.0	18.3	16.2	17.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Alcohol		er Drug I	U se										
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ge of stude or more tin				any form	of cocaine	e, includir	ng powde	r, crack,			
	5.3	3.6	4.5	4.6	4.2	3.6	3.4	2.8	2.0	1.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
		ge of stude s during th		ever used	heroin (al	so called	"smack,"	"junk," o	r "China \	White,"			
	2.3	0.8	1.7	1.9	2.8	2.4	2.4	1.8	1.3	0.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
		ge of stude "meth," o					s (also cal	led "spee	d," "crysta	al meth,"			
	2.2	1.6	2.1	2.4	3.0	2.4	2.6	1.8	1.1	0.9	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentag ring their		ents who e	ver used	ecstasy (a	lso called	"MDMA	" or "Mo	lly," one o	or more			
	5.1	5.2	4.7	5.5	5.7	4.3	3.6	2.8	2.0	1.5	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
			ents who e				(used a n	eedle to i	nject any	illegal			
	1.7	0.7	1.4	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.7	1.7	0.8	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
			lents who						, bong, pi	pe, or			
									59.4	59.1	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Sexual	Behaviors	s											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN56:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who e	ever had s	exual inte	rcourse				-			
					36.4	29.8	29.7	29.1	23.4	24.7	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
QN57:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad sexua	l intercour	se for the	first time	e before a	ge 13 yea	rs			
					3.2	2.4	2.0	1.8	2.1	2.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 No change, 2016-2022	No change
QN58:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad sexua	l intercour	se with fo	our or mo	re person	s during t	heir life			
					9.3	5.9	5.6	5.3	3.9	3.8	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
			ents who v			ally active	e (had sex	tual interc	course wit	th at least			
_					26.7	22.1	22.2	22.1	16.6	18.4	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change fron 2021-2022
005 2	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
_				hol or use	d drugs b	efore last	sexual in	tercourse	(among			
				20.1	21.2	19.7	18.7	21.0	19.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No chang
			ised a con	dom durii	ng last sex	xual interc	course (ar	nong stud	ents			
				56.4	55.6	50.7	51.3	45.7	47.7	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No chang
ei	ntage o	ntage of studer were currently	ntage of students who d were currently sexually	ntage of students who drank alco were currently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or use were currently sexually active) 20.1 ntage of students who used a condom during rently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs be were currently sexually active) 20.1 21.2 ntage of students who used a condom during last sex rently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last were currently sexually active) 20.1 21.2 19.7 ntage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercrently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual in were currently sexually active) 20.1 21.2 19.7 18.7 Intage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (arrently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse were currently sexually active) 20.1 21.2 19.7 18.7 21.0 ntage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among studently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse (among were currently sexually active) 20.1 21.2 19.7 18.7 21.0 19.6 Intage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among students rently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse (among were currently sexually active) 20.1 21.2 19.7 18.7 21.0 19.6 No linear change ntage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among students rently sexually active)	ntage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse (among were currently sexually active) 20.1 21.2 19.7 18.7 21.0 19.6 No linear change No quadratic change ntage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among students rently sexually active)

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Dietary	Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		entage of pecific ref							ass index	, based			
	9.6	9.1	8.5	10.5	8.2	8.8	10.8	10.9	13.4	13.9	Increased, 2005-2022		No change
		tage of str based on											
	15.7	15.1	14.9	15.3	14.8	15.4	15.9	17.0	16.4	16.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
		e of stude before the		lid not dri	nk fruit ju	ice (1009	% fruit jui	ces one o	r more tir	mes			
	18.6	20.3	17.2	18.6	24.4	28.3	32.7	34.8	34.5	38.5	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

Dietary	Behavio	rs	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN69: I	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who	lid not eat	t fruit (one	e or more	times dur	ring the 7	days befo	re the			
	15.0	15.5	11.2	13.2	12.7	14.5	14.2	13.2	15.0	13.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
		age of stuape juice,					0% fruit j	uices (suc	ch as orang	ge juice, 7.2	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
apple jui	5.2 Percent	ape juice,	3.4 dents who	4.9 ate fruit	5.3 or drank	survey) 6.7 100% frui	7.3	6.8	7.5	7.2	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Dietary	Behavior	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QNFR2: (such as	Percenta orange ju	age of studice, apple	dents who	ate fruit grape juic	or drank ce, during	100% frui the 7 day	t juices tv s before t	vo or mor he survey	e times po	er day			
	27.6	28.4	35.9	32.8	31.2	27.3	25.6	26.0	21.9	22.6	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
	Percentag e survey)		ents who d	lid not eat	green sa	lad (one o	r more tir	nes during	g the 7 da	ys			
	32.3	33.7	32.8	36.6	34.0	38.8	41.3	42.1	44.4	41.9	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN71: I	_	e of stude	ents who c	lid not eat	potatoes	(one or m	nore times	during th	ne 7 days	before			
	37.1	36.0	37.1	39.0	37.4	40.1	39.9	41.9	45.7	42.8	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
	Percentag	e of stude	ents who d	lid not eat	carrots (one or mo	ore times o	luring the	7 days b	efore the			
QN72: 1 survey)													

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Behavior	rs	Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who	lid not ea	t other ve	getables (one or mo	ore times	during the	e 7 days			
	12.3	14.1	14.6	16.0	14.5	16.6	17.6	16.5	18.1	18.5	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	-				-		-			-			
		ntage of sies, or pota									Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QNVEC	5.1 G1: Perce	es, or pota	4.2 tudents w	5.6	5.6 getables o	6.9	7.4 re times p	7.0 er day (gi	8.1 reen salad	8.1	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Dietary	Behavior	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
potatoes	[excludin		tudents w fries, fried y)										
	27.6	23.4	26.6	26.9	26.8	24.1	22.5	22.9	19.6	22.2	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
potatoes	[excludin		tudents w fries, fried y)										
	12.6	9.9	11.7	13.8	13.0	11.8	11.1	11.2	9.0	11.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
			ents who cong diet soo										
			23.5	23.3	31.3	30.9	33.8	37.4	35.4	34.9	Increased, 2009-2022	Increased, 2009-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
TAICOD				111	1	. 441	1 £						
times pe		h as Cok	students ve, Pepsi, o	r Sprite, r	not counti	ng diet so	oda or diet	t pop, dur	ing the 7 o	days	_		
times pe before th	r day (suc ne survey)	ch as Coke		r Sprite, r 22.5	15.0	ng diet so	oda or diet	t pop, dur	9.4	days 10.4	Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	No ch
Defore the QNSOD times pe	r day (such ne survey) A2: Perc	ch as Coke	e, Pepsi, o 18.8	r Sprite, r 22.5 who drank	15.0	14.2 ottle, or g	12.1 lass of soo	10.2 da or pop	9.4 two or me	days 10.4 ore	Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	No ch

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Physica	l Activity												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	Percentag any kind me during	of physic	al activity	that incre	eased thei								
				32.3	33.8	30.1	28.4	30.4	31.3	30.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag week whe				hysical ed	ducation ((PE) class	es on 1 or	more day	ys (in an			
	30.2	29.8	30.6	31.1	31.3	30.6	29.1	30.9	28.6	31.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	Increased
activity	DAY: Pe on at least hard some	t 1 day (ir	any kind	l of physic	cal activit	y that inci	reased the				Increased, 2011-2022	Increased, 2011-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Female Physical	l Activity		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022
days (in	any kind	rcentage of physicant the 7 day	al activity	that incre	eased their								
or the th													
or the th				14.7	16.0	14.1	12.6	14.3	14.0	13.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
 QN79: I	_	e of stude s during t		nad a conc	cussion fro	om playin					No linear change Decreased, 2016-2022	No quadratic change Not available [§]	No change
QN79: I (one or r	more time PE: Perc		students	nad a conc nths befor who atten	eussion fro re the surv	om playin vey)	g a sport	or being I	physically 10.6	active		-	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Pnysica.	l Activity		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
smart ph	one, or of	her electi	onic devi	spent 3 or ce watchir	ng shows	or videos	, playing	games, ac					
									77.6	77.1	No linear change	Not available§	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag g tests don				tested for	human ir	nmunode	ficiency v	irus (HIV	(not			
						12.9	14.0	13.3	9.4	6.3	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
	Percentag uring the 1					-							
						77.5	78.3	77.8	75.3	75.2	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Mo ohongo
													No change
	ONT: Perontal work)		f students	who neve	er saw a d	entist (for	a check-	up, exam	teeth cle	aning, or			No change
			f students	who neve	er saw a d	entist (for	a check-	up, exam	teeth cle	aning, or	No linear change	Not available	
other de)	,			1.5	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.9	No linear change	Not available	No change No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
game, pl	ayed one	of their st	ate's lotte	ry games	, gambled	on the In	ambled waternet, or 12 months	bet on a	game of p	ersonal			
								12.8	7.4	9.7	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available§	Increased
ON100:	Percenta	ge of stud	ents who	have a di	sahility o	r long-teri	m health r						
from doi		lay activit					doing sch		nat keeps playing s _l				
from doi	ng everyo	lay activit									Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased
from doi being wi QN110:	ng everyo th friends Percenta	lay activit) ge of stud	ents who	as bathing	g, getting	dressed, of		oolwork,	10.4 les worrie	6.7 d that	Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					that often ore (during					ought did			
								17.4	12.6	14.9	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
	Percenta ore friends		lents who	say defin	itely yes o	or probab	ly yes tha	t young p	eople who	o smoke			
					38.0	35.7	36.4	38.7	43.3	45.1	Increased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
	Percenta ook cool o		lents who	say defin	itely yes o	or probab	ly yes tha	t smoking	g makes y	oung			
					20.2	18.2	19.3	22.3	19.8	18.6	No linear change	Increased, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Demogr													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN65:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who	described	themselve	es as trans	gender.						
							2.2	1.1	3.1	3.7	Increased, 2016-2022	Not available§	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

	пеани к	isk Behavior an	d Percenta	iges				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003 2005 20	07 2009	2011 2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN84: Percentage of not good (including str	students who rep	oorted that their	mental healt	th was m	ost of the	time or a				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	D .	C	1		h. 4:	1	1 . 1	1.	1 11	4			
QN121:									le to talk	to an			
							during the		34.9	39.8	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
											Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [§]	Increased
dult in t	heir fami	ly or anot	her caring	g adult abo	out their f	eelings (c	during the	ir life)	34.9	39.8	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [§]	Increase

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Birth Co	ontrol												
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
as Impla	non or Ne	explanon)	before la	st sexual	d an IUD intercours y sexually	e with an							
									5.6	6.1	No linear change	Not available§	No change
			:					,		-			
ParaGardas Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	lant (such birth con	as Implatorion as Implatorion (non or Ne such as N	ed birth co explanon); (uvaRing) mong stud	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such			
ParaGaro as Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	lant (such birth con	as Implatorion as Implatorion (non or Ne such as N	explanon); [uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such	No linear change	Not available	No change
ParaGardas Ortho opposite	d) or impl Evra), or -sex partr LBC: Pe ttrol pills;	lant (such birth com- ner (to pre- ercentage an IUD,	as Impla trol ring (event preg of studen or implan	non or Ne such as N mancy, an ts who us	explanon); [uvaRing)	or a shot before las ents who	(such as st sexual were curr	Depo-Pro intercours rently sexu t sexual ir ring before	vera), pat e with an ually activ 32.9	ch (such ze) 31.5	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Female Birth Co	ontrol		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			of studen										
									18.3	16.9	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health 1	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			lents who							nost of			
			lents who hit, kicke							nost of	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
N117:	or always Percenta	s slapped,		have ever	ed, or beat	each oth	er up (dur	ing their l	2.2	2.5	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Injury a	and Viole	nce	Haalth	Dielz Rob	avior and	d Darconi	togos				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change fron
			Health	KISK Dei	iavioi alio	u r er cem	lages				Linear Change	Quadratic Change	2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			nts who ro				en drinkir	ng alcohol	(in a car	or other			
	26.9	28.9	28.3	22.6	19.1	18.7	13.5	14.9	12.6	12.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
(one or i	more time	s during t		s before t	he survey			had been who had d					
					8.8	7.2	5.4	6.0	4.3	4.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
1 day du	ring the 3	30 days be		urvey, an				or other v					
					38.1	30.6	31.9	32.4	30.1	32.3	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 No change, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Injury a	nd Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Bel	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			ents who c			school p	roperty (s	uch as a g	gun, knife	, or club,			
	6.3	4.9	4.2	3.9	3.5	3.2	4.7	3.7	2.9	2.1	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
			ents who d						school or	on their			
								6.7	6.7	7.2	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
			ents who v							erty			
	11.6	8.7	6.4	5.4	7.1	5.5	5.5	6.1	5.1	8.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Injury a	nd Viole	nce	Health	Risk Reh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from
			11041011	Tush Den	urior uni	. 1 01 0011	uges				Zmeur enunge	Quaurune Onunge	2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		e of stude			physical f	ight on sc	hool prop	erty (one	or more t	imes			
	14.1	10.0	7.4	6.9	8.3	7.0	6.9	7.3	5.0	7.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2016 No change, 2016-2022	Increased
purpose into som	by someo	one they w r injured v	vere dating vith an ob	g or going ject or w	g out with eapon] on	[counting e or more	violence (leg such thing times during the	ngs as bei ring the 1	ng hit, sla 2 months	mmed before			
					9.3	8.7	7.6	9.3	10.0	10.0	Increased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
QN24: I		e of stude	nts who v	vere bulli	ed on sch	ool prope	rty (ever o	luring the	12 mont	ns before			
			23.7	26.3	22.3	20.6	21.3	19.5	16.4	18.7	Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	and Viole		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					ronically l nedia, eve								
				17.0	16.6	16.0	17.0	15.9	16.8	15.4	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
					hopeless ever durin 25.2					row so 34.4	Increased, 2005-2022		Decreased
QN27:	stopped 28.4	21.5	ne usual a	24.6	ever durin	g the 12 i 25.9	28.7	30.8	38.1	34.4	Increased, 2005-2022		Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		nts who r	nade a pla	an about h	ow they v	would atte	mpt suici	de (during	g the 12			
12.1	12.5	10.8	11.1	11.6	11.9	12.9	13.8	14.4	13.1	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag before the	Percentage of stude before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 Percentage of students who no before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 Percentage of students who made a plabefore the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 Percentage of students who made a plan about h before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they was before the survey)	Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would atte before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suici before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2022 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2022 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2022 Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 before the survey)

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN32:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who s	smoked a	cigarette l	oefore age	e 13 years	(even on	e or two p	ouffs)			
								6.0	5.2	4.8	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available¶	No change
uuring u	ne 30 day: 10.9	8.7	6.9	6.6	5.0	3.4	2.2	1.2	0.8	0.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No ahanga
	10.7	0.7	0.7	0.0	5.0	J. +	2.2	1.2	0.0				
											200104804, 2000 2022		No change
	CIG: Pe			s who cur	rently sm	oked ciga	rettes dai	y (on all	30 days d		2000, 2000 2022	1.00 1	No change
				s who cur	rently sm	oked ciga 2.4	rettes dail	y (on all : 0.9	30 days d 0.6		Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN33:	ays before 7.8	6.0 e of stude	ey) 5.6		3.5	2.4	1.6	0.9	0.6	uring 0.7			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Tobacco) Use		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentago ape pens,												
						38.9	36.1	46.7	35.5	27.6	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available¶	Decreased
vapes, va	Percentage ape pens, , on at lea	e-cigars,	e-hookahs	s, hookah	pens, and	l mods [st							
						22.7	16.0	33.0	18.6	16.4	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Decreased
	VP: Perce					,					Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Tobacco) Use		Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ercentage days bef			rrently use	ed electro	nic vapor	products	daily (on	all 30			
						2.1	1.9	6.5	4.7	5.0	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available¶	No change
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab	le tobaccos], not co	products	s [such as	used smok Copenhaş ic vapor p	gen, Grizz	zly, Skoal	, Camel S	Snus, or V	elo			
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab Lozenge	le tobaccos], not co	products	s [such as	Copenhag	gen, Grizz	zly, Skoal	, Camel S	Snus, or V	elo	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change
snus, or Nicotine before th	dissolvab Lozenge ne survey)	le tobacco	o products unting any	s [such as y electron	Copenhag	gen, Grizz products, o	zly, Skoal on at least 5.7	, Camel S t 1 day du 4.2	Snus, or Varing the 3	relo 30 days 3.1	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White*) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					rrently sn e the surv		ars daily (cigars, ci	garillos, o	or little			
	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.6	No linear change		No change
		age of stu		currently	y smoked	cigarettes	or cigars	(on at lea	ast 1 day	during			
	24.8	21.9	20.3	19.8	17.3	14.4	12.3	8.4	5.8	6.3	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
			dents who		y smoked e survey)	cigarettes	or cigars	or used s	mokeless	tobacco	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
							14.1	9.9	6.6	7.5	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Tobacco	Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		age of studer							smokeless	tobacco			
							20.5	34.0	19.4	17.9	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available¶	No change
		age of stud				cigarettes	or used	electronic	vapor pro	oducts			
						cigarettes	s or used of	electronic 33.4	vapor pro	oducts 16.9	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Decreased
On at lea	ast 1 day	during the	e 30 days	before the	e survey)	24.5 gars, ciga	18.3	33.4 little ciga	19.0 rs, such as	16.9	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					e a kind o					vith an			
		·	C			95.2	97.4	96.9	95.7	96.2	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
			ents who u	ised electr	ronic-vapo	or produc	ts mainly	hecause a	friand or	family			
						•	,	occause a	i iiieiia oi	raimiy			
member	used their	11				•	,	15.5	12.3	11.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	No change
QN91: 1	Percentag			nave ever	used an el			15.5	12.3	11.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
cigars, f		nokeless t			red tobaco r both, no								
j		3,			13.6	13.0	7.7	5.5	2.3	2.3	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag ast 1 day (bacco in a	ı hookah,	narghile,	or other t	ype of wa	aterpipe	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [¶]	No change
										12		-	
ONO4:	Domoont	o of otul-	nto rube t		ad taka = = :	- mmodu -+	a fantha f	mat time - 1					
-	Percentagoefore the		nts who t	ried or us	ed tobacco	o product	s for the f	irst time (during th	e 12			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

 $^{^{\$}}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Tobacc	o Use		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag he survey,												
					35.3	34.5	34.2	8.7	21.2	16.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	No change, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Decreased
QN100:	Percenta	ge of stud	ents who	live with	someone	who now	smokes o	igarettes	or cigars				
					37.5	36.0	31.2	28.3	27.8	25.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
	Percenta	0					g inside t arages, or		e is that si	noking			
-	lowed any	WHELE III	ide then	on) onton		,, , ,							

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			dents who			oom with	someone	who was	smoking	(on at			
reast one	day dari	ing the 7 c	mys octor	e the surv	cyj		29.1	27.9	26.9	28.6	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change
	Percenta the last sc		dents who	were tauş	ght in thei	r classes :	about the	dangers o	f tobacco	use			
		·			69.7	64.1	62.5	60.7	53.3	60.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Alconor	and Oth	er Drug l		Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN41: few sips		ge of stude	ents who h	nad their f	ïrst drink	of alcoho	l before a	ge 13 yea	rs (other	than a			
	23.5	23.6	19.3	20.1	16.5	15.7	14.2	12.4	12.9	13.2	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
		ge of stude days befo			drank alco	ohol (at le	ast one dr	ink of alc	ohol, on a	at least 1			
	46.2	49.0	40.9	41.4	37.4	33.3	33.2	32.3	27.0	26.1	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
							g (had fou a row if th						

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

		er Drug I		Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN47:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who t	ried mari	juana for t	he first ti	me before	age 13 y	ears				
	7.9	7.3	4.8	5.7	6.1	6.0	4.9	4.3	4.2	3.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag he survey)		ents who c	currently 1	used marij	uana (one	e or more	times dur	ring the 30) days			
	20.8	16.8	21.2	23.4	19.7	18.8	18.6	18.7	15.2	14.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	No change
	Percentag									ne.			
					cet, one o					,			
	i, Oxycon	,,											

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag ase, one o				cocaine (a	any form	of cocaine	e, includir	ng powde	r, crack,			
	9.5	6.3	7.2	6.7	5.1	4.3	3.6	3.3	2.4	1.9	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag			ever used	heroin (al	so called	"smack,"	"junk," o	r "China V	White,"			
	2.7	2.4	3.5	2.7	3.1	2.6	2.0	2.1	1.8	1.3	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
					methampl uring thei		s (also call	led "speed	d," "crysta	al meth,"			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag ring their		ents who e	ver used	ecstasy (a	lso called	"MDMA	or "Mo	lly," one o	or more			
	6.5	6.7	7.1	6.2	7.1	5.3	3.7	3.4	2.9	1.9	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
			ents who e				(used a n	eedle to in	nject any	illegal			
	2.0	1.5	2.6	3.0	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	1.6	0.9	No linear change	No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
								-					
			lents who efore the s						, bong, pi	pe, or			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Sexual	Behaviors	S											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN56:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who e	ver had s	exual inte	rcourse	•		•	•			
					36.8	30.3	30.9	30.4	25.3	25.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN57:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	ad sexual	l intercour	rse for the	e first time	e before a	ge 13 yea 2.2	rs 2.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016	No change
												No change, 2016-2022	
QN58:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	ad sexual	l intercour	rse with fo	our or mo	re person	s during th	heir life			
					9.2	6.7	6.3	6.3	5.0	4.4	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag son, during					ally active	e (had sex	cual interc	course wit	h at least			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Sexual I	Behaviors	:	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentage who were				ohol or use	ed drugs b	pefore last	sexual in	itercourse	(among			
					25.4	25.7	22.2	23.2	21.9	21.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
-	Percentage e currentl			ised a con	ndom duri	ng last se	xual inter	course (ar	nong stud	lents			
					60.4	59.3	55.9	57.8	50.4	54.3	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
opposite	Percentago -sex partn rning after	er (to pre	vent preg	nancy, no	ot counting	g emergei	ncy contra	ception s					
									25.8	28.9	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Dietary	Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QNOBE on sex-	SE: Percand age-sp	entage of pecific ref	students verence da	who had onta from the	obesity (> he 2000 C	= 95th pe CDC grow	rcentile for th charts)	or body m	ass index	, based			
	11.3	9.8	7.6	9.1	9.1	9.2	10.1	9.7	11.9	11.6	No linear change		No change
					verweight fic referer								
	14.0	12.4	14.4	12.5	12.3	12.8	12.8	12.9	12.8	12.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
-	_	e of stude before the		lid not dri	ink fruit ju	uice (1009	% fruit jui	ces one o	r more tir	nes			
							33.9	38.0	38.9	44.2	Increased, 2005-2022		

^{*}Non-Hispanic.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

White* Dietary	Behavio	rs	Hoolth	Digl: Dob	ovion one	d Danaani	tagas				Lincon Changa [†]	Ovedvetic Change	Changa from
			пеани	KISK Dell	avior and	i Perceiii	iages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN69: 1 survey)	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who d	lid not eat	t fruit (one	e or more	times dur	ring the 7	days befo	ore the			
	11.2	13.9	11.4	8.8	11.2	12.0	12.3	12.0	13.1	10.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
		-											
		age of stud ape juice, 5.7					0% fruit j	uices (suc	h as oran	ge juice, 7.4	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
apple jui	4.6 Percenta	ape juice,	4.3 dents who	3.9 ate fruit	5.7 or drank 1	6.8 6.8 100% frui	7.2	7.3	7.7	7.4	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Dietary	Behavior	·s											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior an	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
							it juices tw s before t			er day			
	30.3	28.7	36.0	35.8	31.6	28.1	26.8	26.3	23.0	23.7	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
	Percentag e survey)		ents who d	lid not eat	green sa	lad (one o	or more tir	nes during	g the 7 da	ays			
	30.3	30.8	30.2	30.5	32.4	35.9	37.6	39.3	41.5	40.0	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN71: I		e of stude	ents who c	lid not eat	potatoes	(one or n	nore times	during th	ne 7 days	before	,	-	
	26.6	29.3	25.3	29.0	30.0	32.2	31.6	37.0	40.1	36.8	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN72: I	Percentag	e of stude	ents who c	lid not eat	t carrots (one or mo	ore times o	during the	7 days be	efore the			
541.05)				43.8	44.6	46.1	47.7	47.0	51.0	50.9	No linear change		

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who c	lid not eat	other veg	getables (one or mo	re times o	during the	7 days			
	9.6	13.5	12.9	11.6	12.8	14.1	14.8	14.3	15.3	15.6	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	10. D	ntage of s	tudents w										
			to chips],	4.9	4.7	5.5	5.9	5.9	7.2	7.4	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No chang
fries, fri	3.6 31: Percer	3.2 ntage of s	2.6	4.9	4.7 getables o	5.5	5.9	er day (gr	een salad	,	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No chang
QNVEC	3.6 31: Percer [excludir	3.2 ntage of s	2.6 tudents w	4.9	4.7 getables o	5.5	5.9	er day (gr	een salad	,	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No chang

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Dietary	Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
potatoes	[excluding		fries, frie		getables to s, or potate								
	29.1	26.6	30.0	32.1	29.4	27.5	26.1	25.6	23.5	25.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
potatoes	[excluding		fries, frie		getables the								
	13.5	11.1	13.5	14.3	13.1	12.9	11.7	11.2	9.8	11.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	Increased
					nk a can, pop, one								
			21.2	23.7	29.0	28.9	31.4	33.5	31.7	32.2	Increased, 2009-2022	Increased, 2009-2018 No change, 2018-2022	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health 1	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	A 1. Dono	antoga of	atudanta r	who dron	ca can h	ottle or g	lace of coo	da or non	one or me	ore			
•		h as Coke					da or diet						
imes pe	r day (suc	h as Coke									Decreased, 2009-2022	Decreased, 2009-2018 No change, 2018-2022	No chang
QNSOD	r day (suc ne survey) A2: Perc	entage of	22.4 students v	r Sprite, 1 25.9 who drank	18.0 k a can, be	16.8 ottle, or g	oda or diet	12.4 da or pop	12.7 two or m	days 13.4 ore	Decreased, 2009-2022	,	No chan

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Physical	Activity												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind	of physic	al activity	were phys that incre the survey	eased thei								
				47.6	47.4	43.3	42.1	45.1	47.0	49.5	No linear change	Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	Increase
			ents who a	attended p	hysical ed	ducation (PE) class	es on 1 or	more day	ys (in an			
	38.4	39.9	39.8	32.5	36.1	36.3	34.9	36.2	33.7	36.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
activity (on at leas	t 1 day (ir	n any kind	ts who did	cal activity s before t	y that inco	reased the	eir heart ra	ate and ma	ade them			
				10.2	13.2	14.7	15.7	14.6	13.3	12.8	No linear change	Increased, 2011-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No chan

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

White* Physical	Activity	,											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind	of physica	of student al activity		eased thei								
,, ,,,,		, are , any		-									
		, ,,		25.1	25.3	22.7	21.5	23.7	24.2	25.1	No linear change	Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
QN79: I	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad a conc	cussion fro	om playin					No linear change	,	No change
QN79: I	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad a conc	cussion fro	om playin					No linear change Decreased, 2016-2022	,	No change
QN79: I	Percentag more time PE: Perc	e of stude s during t	ents who h	nad a conc nths before	cussion from the surv	om playin vey)	g a sport	or being p	hysically 11.9	active		Increased, 2016-2022	

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage tests don				tested for	human ii	nmunode	ficiency v	rirus (HIV	/) (not			
						9.7	10.9	10.3	7.5	5.4	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available¶	Decreased
				saw a den	tist (for a o	check-up	, exam, te	eth cleani	ng, or oth	ner dental			
						83.2	84.2	84.5	81.1	82.0	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
	NT: Perontal work)		f students	who nev	er saw a d	entist (for	r a check-	up, exam	, teeth cle	eaning, or			
						1.0	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.1	No linear change	Not available	No change
	Percentage	e of stude	ents who e	experience	ed unstabl	e housing	g (during	the 30 day	s before	the			
survey)													

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
game, pl	ayed one	of their st	tate's lotte	gambled ery games ne or mor	, gambled	on the In	ternet, or	bet on a	game of p	ersonal			
								20.3	15.1	18.4	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available¶	Increased
from doi		day activit		have a di as bathin									
									10.0	7.3	Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased
				reported ore they go									
								13.9	10.1	12.8	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					that often ore (during					ought did			
								10.1	7.7	9.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available¶	Increased
	Percenta re friends		lents who	say defin	itely yes	or probab	ly yes tha	t young p	eople wh	o smoke			
					26.9	24.5	25.6	32.7	32.8	32.0	Increased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percenta ook cool o		lents who	say defin	itely yes	or probab	ly yes tha	t smoking	g makes y	oung			
					16.7	15.1	16.8	22.8	18.9	16.9	Increased, 2013-2022	Increased, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

White* Demogr	aphic												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN65:	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who	described	themselve	es as trans	gender.						
							2.2	1.3	4.0	3.9	Increased, 2016-2022	Not available [¶]	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

Aental	Health		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change froi 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
J84:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who r	eported tl	nat their m	nental hea	lth was m	ost of the	time or a	lways			
			ents who r anxiety, a							lways			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

 $^{^{\$}}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag								le to talk	to an			
	Percentag their fami								le to talk t	53.4	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [¶]	Increased
adult in t		ly or anot	her caring	g adult abo	out their f	eelings (controlled)	during the	ir life)	46.1	53.4	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [¶]	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Birth Co	ontrol												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
is Impla	non or Ne	explanon)	before la		ntercours	e with an		ParaGard -sex partn					
									6.2	6.0	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
OMOTU	TIDI · Da	roentago	of student	e who yee	d hirth ac	entrol nilla	y an ILID	A (such es	Mirana o	<u> </u>			
ParaGaro as Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	ant (such birth cont	as Implar rol ring (non or Nes	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	O (such as Depo-Pro intercours rently sexu	vera), pat e with an	ch (such			
ParaGardas Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	ant (such birth cont	as Implar rol ring (non or Nes	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such	No linear change	Not available	No change
ParaGardas Ortho opposite	d) or impl Evra), or -sex partr LBC: Pe atrol pills; rse with a	ant (such birth cont er (to pre	as Implar rol ring (see vent preg	non or Ne. such as No nancy, an	xplanon); uvaRing) nong stude ed both a t, or patcl	or a shot before las ents who	(such as st sexual were curr	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an ually activ 35.8 htercourse e last sexu	sch (such ve) 37.2 and all	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

Vhite* Birth C	ontrol		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			of student										
									11.4	9.9	No linear change	Not available [¶]	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

											2021-2022
2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
								nost of			
							1.5	1.4	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
	ays slapped,	ays slapped, hit, kicke	ays slapped, hit, kicked, punche	ays slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat	ays slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each oth	ays slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (dur		ays slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) 1.5	1.5 1.4	ays slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) 1.5 1.4 No linear change	ays slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) 1.5 1.4 No linear change Not available [

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Injury a	and Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change fron 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					driver wh		en drinkiı	ng alcohol	(in a car	or other			
	24.4	26.0	23.9	28.7	20.3	16.4	13.5	14.8	12.7	10.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
(one or i	nore time	s during t	he 30 day	s before t							Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2018 Increased, 2018-2022	Increased
other ve	hicle duri	ng the 30	days befo)]	re the sur	re the survey) 6.2	6.2 4.2	6.2 4.2 4.2	fee the survey) 6.2 4.2 4.2 2.6	fee the survey) 6.2 4.2 4.2 2.6 2.6	•	re the survey) 6.2 4.2 4.2 2.6 2.6 5.2 Decreased, 2013-2022	fee the survey) 6.2 4.2 4.2 2.6 2.6 5.2 Decreased, 2013-2022 Decreased, 2013-2018 Increased, 2018-2022
y du	ring the 3	0 days be	fore the s	urvey, an	e-mailed v nong stude								
uring ti	ne 30 days	s before ti	ne survey,)									

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Black* Injury a	nd Viole	ence	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022 §
-	_	ge of stude during the			•	school p	roperty (s	uch as a g	gun, knife	, or club,			
	8.6	6.7	4.1	5.7	5.1	4.5	8.5	7.1	4.0	3.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
		ge of stude shool (on a							school or	on their	Increased, 2018-2022	Not available [¶]	Increased
way to o	r from sc		ents who v	lay during	g the 30 da	njured wi	e the surve	9.3 oon on sch	9.3	11.3	Increased, 2018-2022	Not available [¶]	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Injury a	and Viole	nce	** 1/1	D. 1 D 1							V. G. *	0 1 4 GL *	CI. A
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag he 12 mor				physical f	ight on sc	hool prop	erty (one	or more	times			
	16.7	14.1	14.7	13.6	20.0	16.4	16.2	16.8	8.0	13.2	Decreased, 2005-2022		Increased
purpose into som	Percentag by someonething, or ey, among	one they w r injured v	vere dating vith an ob	g or going ject or w	g out with eapon] on	[counting e or more	g such thin times du	ngs as bei	ng hit, sla 2 months	ammed before			
					11.0	9.2	10.1	12.2	10.5	12.5	Increased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN24: I	Percentag ey)	e of stude	ents who v	were bulli	ed on sch	ool prope	rty (ever o	during the	12 mont	hs before			
			15.2	14.4	15.3	13.1	14.2	13.5	10.7	11.6	Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Black* Injury a	nd Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					ronically nedia, eve								
				10.7	10.1	9.7	10.4	10.3	10.7	10.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
					hopeless ever durir					row so			
	30.1	23.0	26.7	23.8	27.4	24.7	28.3	31.0	38.7	36.9	Increased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2014 Increased, 2014-2022	No change
-	Percentag ne survey)		ents who s	eriously o	considered	d attempti	ng suicide	e (during	the 12 mo	onths			
	13.8	10.3	15.5	15.3	15.6	13.7	16.1	17.8	20.9	18.3	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

007 2009										2021-2022 §
2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
students who	made a pla	an about h	ow they v	would atte	empt suici	de (during	g the 12			
5.5 11.4	12.7	11.8	11.3	14.5	17.8	16.1	15.4	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	vey) 5.5 11.4	vey) .5 11.4 12.7 students who actually at	vey) .5 11.4 12.7 11.8 students who actually attempted s	vey) .5 11.4 12.7 11.8 11.3 students who actually attempted suicide (or	vey) .5 11.4 12.7 11.8 11.3 14.5	vey) .5 11.4 12.7 11.8 11.3 14.5 17.8	vey) .5 11.4 12.7 11.8 11.3 14.5 17.8 16.1		vey) .5 11.4 12.7 11.8 11.3 14.5 17.8 16.1 15.4 Increased, 2005-2022	vey) .5 11.4 12.7 11.8 11.3 14.5 17.8 16.1 15.4 Increased, 2005-2022 No quadratic change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacc	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN32:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who s	smoked a	cigarette l	before age	e 13 years	(even on	e or two j	puffs)			
								9.1	6.4	4.3	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available¶	Decreased
	IG: Perce				ntly smok	ed cigaret	ttes freque	ently (on	20 or moi	re days			
	3.2	5.0	1.2	1.6	1.6	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	YCIG: Per ays before			s who cui	rrently sm	oked ciga	rettes dai	ly (on all	30 days d	luring	-		
	2.9	3.7	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentagne survey)		ents who c	currently	smoked ci	garettes (on at leas	t 1 day du	uring the 3	30 days			
	9.0	12.9	6.2	6.9	8.1	5.1	5.7	3.3	1.4	1.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	Decreased, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacco) Use		Hoolth	Diale Dak		d Donoon	-0.000				Lincon Changet	Ovedvette Changet	Changa from
			Health	RISK Ben	avior and	a Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 ⁸
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	ape pens,				an electro pens, and								
						35.1	33.4	32.9	28.7	25.2	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available [¶]	Decreased
vapes, v	ape pens,	e-cigars,	e-hookah	s, hookah	used an ele pens, and pefore the	l mods [su survey)	ich as JU	UL, SMO	K, Suorii	n, Vuse,			
vapes, v	ape pens,	e-cigars,	e-hookah	s, hookah	pens, and	l mods [sɪ					Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Increased
vapes, v and blu]	ape pens, , on at lea	e-cigars, st 1 day d	e-hookah: luring the	s, hookah 30 days t	pens, and before the	l mods [su survey) 15.7	nch as JU 9.1	UL, SMO 13.4	PK, Suorii	13.0	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 5
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ercentage O days bef			rrently us	ed electro	nic vapor	products	daily (on	all 30			
						0.8	0.6	1.1	1.0	1.7	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available [¶]	Increased
ONIZO	D	C - t d -											
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab Lozenge	le tobaccos], not co	products	s [such as	used smok Copenhagiic vapor p	gen, Grizz	zly, Skoal	, Camel S	Snus, or V	elo			
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab	le tobaccos], not co	products	s [such as	Copenha	gen, Grizz	zly, Skoal	, Camel S	Snus, or V	elo	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change
snus, or Nicotine before the	dissolvab e Lozenge ne survey) GR: Perc	le tobaccoss], not con	o products unting an	s [such as y electron	Copenha	gen, Grizz products, de ked cigars	zly, Skoal on at least 5.0	, Camel S t 1 day du 4.2	Snus, or Varing the 3	felo 30 days 1.9	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					rrently sn e the surv		ars daily (cigars, ci	garillos, o	or little			
	0.5	0.3	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.8	No linear change		Increased
		age of stu e the surv		currently	y smoked	cigarettes	or cigars	(on at lea	ast 1 day	during			
	12.5	15.1	13.1	11.4	13.5	10.3	10.8	6.5	3.2	5.3	Decreased, 2005-2022		Increased
			dents who		y smoked e survey)	cigarettes	or cigars	or used s	mokeless	tobacco	 		
							11.7	7.4	3.7	5.7	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available¶	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacco) Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		age of stu or product							mokeless	tobacco			
							14.1	15.6	11.4	15.0	No linear change	Not available [¶]	Increased
		age of stu during the				cigarettes	s or used 6	electronic	vapor pro	oducts			
						cigarettes	s or used of	electronic	vapor pro	oducts 13.4	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Increased
(on at lead QN39: 1 Swisher	ast 1 day	during the	e 30 days	before the	e survey)	16.5	11.1	13.9	10.2	13.4	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacco	Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					e a kind o have eve				o flavor v	vith an			
						91.9	94.0	93.7	94.4	97.8	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available [¶]	Increased
	Percentagused then		ents who u	ised electr	ronic-vap	or produc	ts mainly	because a	a friend or	family			
								11.5	8.6	9.1	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	No change
		e of stude or THC w		nave ever	used an el	lectronic	vapor pro	duct to sn	noke mari	juana,			
							6.1	9.3	10.2	12.6	Increased, 2016-2022	Not available	

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacc	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
cigars, f	Percentage lavored sn fore the su	nokeless t											
·		•			12.9	9.4	6.2	3.2	1.6	1.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	No change
	Percentage east 1 day o					a hookah,	narghile,	or other t	sype of wa	aterpipe			
							5.7	3.3	1.5	1.5	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [¶]	No change
	Percentage before the		ents who t	ried or us	ed tobacco	o product	s for the f	irst time (during th	e 12			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag he survey,												
					46.3	45.3	49.6	17.8	28.0	12.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	No change, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Decreased
QN100:	Percenta	ge of stud	lents who	live with	someone	who now	smokes o	eigarettes	or cigars				
					37.5	36.4	31.2	28.5	26.9	24.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
	Percenta lowed any									noking			
							77.3	78.1	77.5	76.0	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Tobacco	o Use												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
				were in the		oom with	someone	who was	smoking	(on at			
icust on	c day dari	ing the 7 c	mys seron	e the surv	<i>- y y</i>		24.1	23.2	22.4	24.7	No linear change	Not available [¶]	Increased
	Percenta the last sc			were taug	tht in thei	r classes a	about the	dangers o	f tobacco	use			
(auring	are last se	neer your	,		68.8	58.3	57.6	54.5	47.8	54.5	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016	Increased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2011 2013 their first drink of 25.2 20.9	2014 2016 f alcohol before		2021	2022			
	f alcohol before	age 13 yea	ırs (other t	.1			
25.2 20.9			us (other t	than a			
20.2	17.3 15.9	17.7	14.4	14.7	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
ently drank alcoho	ol (at least one	drink of alc	ohol, on a	nt least 1		-	
28.5 25.2	18.1 17.8	16.7	12.6	12.0	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	28.5 25.2 ently were binge tore drinks of alco	28.5 25.2 18.1 17.8 ently were binge drinking (had for	28.5 25.2 18.1 17.8 16.7 ently were binge drinking (had four or more tore drinks of alcohol in a row if they were r	28.5 25.2 18.1 17.8 16.7 12.6 ently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of lore drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, with	28.5 25.2 18.1 17.8 16.7 12.6 12.0 ently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol lore drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a	28.5 25.2 18.1 17.8 16.7 12.6 12.0 Decreased, 2005-2022 ently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol more drinks of alcohol more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a	28.5 25.2 18.1 17.8 16.7 12.6 12.0 Decreased, 2005-2022 No quadratic change ently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol lore drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

	009 2011	2013								2021-2022
C . 1 .			2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
of students v	who tried mari	juana for t	he first tii	me before	age 13 y	ears				
10.0 11	1.1 11.1	11.0	9.4	9.0	8.3	6.1	5.2	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
of students w	who currently	used marij	uana (one	e or more	times dur	ring the 30) days		-	
22.1 23	3.0 23.7	21.0	19.2	18.9	17.9	15.9	17.0	No linear change		No change
of 2	students v 2.1 23 students v ntly than l	students who currently 2.1 23.0 23.7 students who ever took ntly than how a doctor t	students who currently used marij 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 students who ever took prescriptiontly than how a doctor told them to	students who currently used marijuana (one 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 19.2 students who ever took prescription pain mntly than how a doctor told them to use it (c	students who currently used marijuana (one or more 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 19.2 18.9 students who ever took prescription pain medicine worthly than how a doctor told them to use it (counting d	students who currently used marijuana (one or more times dur 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 19.2 18.9 17.9 students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a dottly than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such	students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 19.2 18.9 17.9 15.9 students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's	students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 19.2 18.9 17.9 15.9 17.0 students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's ntly than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine,	students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 19.2 18.9 17.9 15.9 17.0 No linear change students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's ntly than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine,	students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days 2.1 23.0 23.7 21.0 19.2 18.9 17.9 15.9 17.0 No linear change students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's ntly than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine,

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

		J	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	U	e of stude r more tin			,	any form	of cocaine	e, includir	ng powde	r, crack,			
	2.9	2.7	4.4	3.6	5.6	4.4	5.4	4.7	2.3	1.4	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
~	_	e of stude during th		ever used	heroin (al	so called	"smack,"	"junk," or	r "China \	White,"			
	2.0	1.6	4.0	4.1	5.1	4.1	5.0	4.5	2.4	1.3	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
		e of stude "meth," o					s (also cal	led "speed	d," "crysta	al meth,"			
	2.0	1.9	2.7	4.2	4.9	4.0	5.0	4.3	2.1	1.2	No linear change	Increased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decrease

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag rring their		ents who e	ever used	ecstasy (a	lso called	"MDMA	" or "Mo	lly," one o	or more			
	3.7	5.3	5.5	6.0	7.6	5.6	5.6	5.1	2.5	1.7	Decreased, 2005-2022		Decreased
			ents who e				(used a n	eedle to i	nject any	illegal			
	1.3	1.7	2.5	3.9	4.4	4.0	4.5	5.4	2.4	1.2	Increased, 2005-2022	Increased, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
QN103:			lents who						, bong, pi	pe, or			
blunt (d	uring the .												

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Sexual	Behaviors	S											
			Health	Risk Bel	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN56:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who e	ver had s	exual inte	rcourse				•			
					46.0	37.4	35.9	35.8	25.6	26.9	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN57:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad sexua	l intercour	rse for the	e first time		ge 13 yea 3.8	rs 4.0	Daggard 2012 2022	No quadratic abanga	No shance
					10.6	7.9	0.3	6.2	3.8	4.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN58:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad sexua	l intercou	se with fo	our or mo	re person	s during t	heir life			
					17.0	11.3	9.8	8.7	5.0	5.1	Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change
	Percentag son, during					ally active	e (had sex	tual interc	ourse wit	h at least			
					29.7	24.8	23.6	23.1	15.7	17.4	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health 1	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentage who were				hol or use	ed drugs b	efore last	sexual in	itercourse	(among			
					20.0	18.7	17.3	17.0	19.4	19.8	No linear change	Decreased, 2013-2018 No change, 2018-2022	No chang
	Percentago re currentl			sed a con	dom duri	ng last se	xual inter	course (ar	nong stud	lents			,
					64.1	66.1	58.1	54.4	45.5	50.4	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No chang
opposite	Percentage e-sex partn rning after	er (to pre	vent pregi	nancy, no	t counting	g emerger	ncy contra	ception s					

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Dietary	Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QNOBE	ESE: Percand age-s	entage of pecific ref	students ference da	who had onta from the	obesity (> he 2000 C	= 95th pe CDC grow	rcentile fo th charts)	or body m	ass index	, based			
	15.4	17.4	15.1	15.6	13.5	14.4	16.6	16.4	19.7	19.8	Increased, 2005-2022		No change
		ntage of st based on											
	19.7	19.5	16.5	19.7	17.7	16.7	17.4	18.0	17.0	17.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
-	_	ge of stude		lid not dri	ink fruit ju	uice (1009	% fruit jui	ces one o	r more tir	nes			
during ti	•												

^{*}Non-Hispanic.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

ehavior	rs											
		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
rcentage	e of stude	ents who c	lid not eat	fruit (one	e or more	times dur	ring the 7	days befo	ore the			
23.7	25.1	19.0	21.3	21.8	22.1	22.7	22.1	24.2	20.5	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
						0% fruit j	uices (suc	ch as oran	ge juice,			
7.6	6.6	5.6	7.1	8.6	9.4	10.6	10.7	10.0	10.3	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
									er day			
55.5	53.9	59.1	54.6	55.7	52.4	49.2	50.3	48.1	50.9	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
P	23.7 Percenta, or gra 7.6 Percenta	rcentage of stude 23.7 25.1 Percentage of stude, or grape juice, 7.6 6.6 Percentage of students of	rcentage of students who care tage tages.	rcentage of students who did not ear 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 Percentage of students who did not ear 2, or grape juice, during the 7 days b 7.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 Percentage of students who ate fruit ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice	rcentage of students who did not eat fruit (one 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 21.8 Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or or grape juice, during the 7 days before the 27.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 8.6 Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during	recentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 21.8 22.1 Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink 10 , or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) 7.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 8.6 9.4 Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank 100% fruit ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days	recentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times dure 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 21.8 22.1 22.7 Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink 100% fruit j., or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) 7.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 8.6 9.4 10.6 Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey in the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey in the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey in the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey in the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey in the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey in the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 100% fruit juices of ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 100% fruit juices or ange juice, apple juice, apple juice, apple juice, during the 100% fruit juices or ange juice, apple juice,	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 recentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 21.8 22.1 22.7 22.1 Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink 100% fruit juices (suc, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) 7.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 8.6 9.4 10.6 10.7 Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank 100% fruit juices one or more ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey	reentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) 2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2018 2021 2019 2019 2010 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	reentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 days before the 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 21.8 22.1 22.7 22.1 24.2 20.5 Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink 100% fruit juices (such as orange juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) 7.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 8.6 9.4 10.6 10.7 10.0 10.3 Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank 100% fruit juices one or more times per day ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)	2005 2007 2009 2011 2013 2014 2016 2018 2021 2022 Treentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 days before the 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 21.8 22.1 22.7 22.1 24.2 20.5 No linear change Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink 100% fruit juices (such as orange juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) 7.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 8.6 9.4 10.6 10.7 10.0 10.3 Increased, 2005-2022 Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank 100% fruit juices one or more times per day ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)	recentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 days before the 23.7 25.1 19.0 21.3 21.8 22.1 22.7 22.1 24.2 20.5 No linear change No quadratic change Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink 100% fruit juices (such as orange juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) 7.6 6.6 5.6 7.1 8.6 9.4 10.6 10.7 10.0 10.3 Increased, 2005-2022 No quadratic change Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank 100% fruit juices one or more times per day ange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Black* Dietary	Behavio	rs.											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			dents who							er day			
	30.6	30.3	35.7	31.5	30.9	28.8	26.0	25.9	23.0	25.8	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Increased
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who d	lid not eat	green sa	lad (one o	r more tir	nes during	g the 7 da	ys			
	47.6	46.9	48.0	47.5	47.5	51.1	55.2	56.6	57.1	54.5	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN71: I	-	e of stude	ents who d	lid not eat	potatoes	(one or n	nore times	during th	ne 7 days	before		-	
	45.0	42.5	48.2	46.0	44.0	47.4	48.1	49.0	51.3	46.9	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
									7 1 1	-f 41			
QN72: I	Percentag	e of stude	ents who c	lid not eat	t carrots (one or mo	ore times of	luring the	/ days be	erore the			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Dictai y	Behavio	rs	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentagne survey)		ents who c	lid not eat	other veg	getables (one or mo	ore times	during the	7 days			
	22.6	18.5	21.3	26.9	22.6	23.7	27.0	25.2	26.0	25.6	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
		ntage of si	tudanta m	1 1 1		. 11 /	1 1		Far. al. al.				
QNVEC fries, fri							during th				Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No cha
fries, fri	9.0	es, or pota 8.3	to chips],	carrots, o	or other ve	egetables, 11.9	during th	e 7 days 1	before the	survey) 12.9	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No chan
GNVEC	9.0 9.1: Perce [excluding	8.3	10.0 tudents w	10.6	10.4 getables o	11.9	during th	12.9 er day (gr	13.3 reen salad	survey) 12.9	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No chan

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

	Behavioi		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
potatoes	[excludir	ntage of sing french the survey	fries, fried										
	18.7	20.7	21.1	23.8	22.1	20.5	17.9	18.5	15.3	19.5	Decreased, 2005-2022		Increase
potatoes	[excludir	ntage of sing french the survey	fries, frie										
potatoes	[excludir	ng french	fries, frie								No linear change	No quadratic change	Increase
potatoes the 7 day	[excludir vs before 11.7 Percentag	ng french the survey	fries, fried y) 10.8 ents who d	13.2	12.4 ink a can,	o chips], o	9.7 glass of s	other veg 10.2 oda or po	8.3 p (such as	12.1 s Coke,	No linear change	No quadratic change	Increase

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health 1	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
							1 0		-				
imes pe		h as Coke	students v e, Pepsi, o				lass of soo oda or diet						
times pe	r day (suc	h as Coke									Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	No c
QNSOD times pe	r day (suc ne survey) A2: Perc	h as Coke	e, Pepsi, o 20.5 students v	r Sprite, 1 25.4 who drank	18.9 k a can, be	ng diet so	oda or diet	13.6 da or pop	10.7 two or mo	12.1	Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	No ch

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Black* Physical	l Activity												
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change fron 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind	of physic		that incr	sically acti eased thei y)								
				35.0	33.3	32.9	30.5	30.7	32.1	33.0	No linear change	Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No chang
~	_		ents who a		hysical ed	ducation (PE) class	es on 1 or	more day	ys (in an			
	38.3	34.1	38.6	43.3	41.5	37.5	36.7	38.0	35.6	39.7	No linear change	No quadratic change	Increased
activity of	on at least	t 1 day (ir	n any kind	d of physic	l not partical activity	y that inci	reased the						
				20.1	23.5	24.7	27.4	27.6	23.7	24.8	Increased, 2011-2022	Increased, 2011-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. †Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

[§]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Black* Physical	l Activity		II o láb	Diale Dale		d Domoond					Lincon Changai	Our duratio Change	Change from
			Health	Kisk Ben	avior and	a Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind	of physica	al activity		re physica eased thei								
	_	•											
		•		17.3	18.2	18.1	16.1	17.2	17.2	17.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN79: I	_	e of stude		nad a conc	18.2 cussion from the surv	om playin		,			No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
QN79: I	_	e of stude		nad a conc	cussion fro	om playin		,			No linear change Decreased, 2016-2022	No quadratic change Not available [¶]	No change
QN79: I	TPE: Perc	e of stude s during t	he 12 mo	nad a conc nths befor	cussion fro	om playin	ng a sport	or being p	physically	active			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

 $^{^{\$}}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage tests don				tested for	human ir	nmunode	ficiency v	rirus (HIV	') (not			
						18.4	20.4	18.1	10.7	7.5	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available [¶]	Decreased
	Percentage ring the 1				tist (for a c	check-up,	exam, te	eth cleani	ng, or oth	er dental			
						69.7	69.7	68.3	67.3	66.6	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
	NT: Perontal work)		f students	who neve	er saw a de	entist (for	r a check-	up, exam	, teeth cle	aning, or			
						2.5	2.7	3.0	2.0	3.3	No linear change	Not available	Increased
ON86· 1	Percentage	of stude	nts who e	experience	ed unstable	e housing	(during	the 30 day	s before t	he			
survey)													

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
game, pl	layed one	of their st	ate's lotte	gambled ery games ne or mor	, gambled	on the In	ternet, or	bet on a g	game of p	ersonal			
								22.2	13.5	16.9	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available¶	Increased
QN109:	Percenta	ge of stud	ents who	have a di	sability o	r long-teri	n health r	oroblem th	nat keens	thom			
from doi	ing everyo ith friends	•		as bathing									
from doi	•	•									Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased
from doi being wi	Percenta	ge of stud	ents who		g, getting	dressed, of	doing sch	oolwork,	9.7 es worrie	6.1 d that	Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Other													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			lents who ve money							ought did			
								24.8	15.9	19.4	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [¶]	Increased
	Percenta ore friends		lents who	say defin	itely yes	or probabl	ly yes that	t young p	eople who	smoke			
					55.8	52.8	51.9	50.7	53.0	55.5	No linear change	Danisand 2012 2019	37 1
					00.0	02.0		2017	33.0		Two fineur change	Decreased, 2013-2018 Increased, 2018-2022	No change
	Percenta		lents who	say defin							The initial change	•	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Demogra	aphic												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN65: P	ercentag	e of stude	ents who	described	themselve	es as trans	gender.		-				
							3.9	0.8	1.9	1.4	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022
2003 2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN84: Percentage									lways			

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change fron 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			lents who						le to talk	to an			
			lents who ther caring								Ingrassed 2021 2022	Not available¶	Ingransa
									le to talk	to an 36.4	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available¶	Increase
QN122:	heir fami	ly or ano		g adult ab	out their f	eelings (d	during the	eir life)	31.5	36.4	Increased, 2021-2022	Not available [¶]	Increas

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Birth Co	ontrol												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
is Impla	non or Ne	explanon)	before la		ntercours	e with an		ParaGard -sex partn					
									2.8	2.9	No linear change	Not available¶	No change
ONOTH	HDI · Da	rcentage	of student	e who use	d hirth co	untral nille	y an ILID	Mench as	Mirana o	<u> </u>			
ParaGaro as Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	ant (such birth cont	as Implar rol ring (non or Nes	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	O (such as Depo-Pro intercours rently sexu	vera), pat e with an	ch (such			
ParaGardas Ortho	d) or impl Evra), or	ant (such birth cont	as Implar rol ring (non or Nes	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such	No linear change	Not available	Decreased
ParaGardas Ortho opposite	d) or impl Evra), or -sex partr LBC: Pe atrol pills; rse with a	ant (such birth cont aer (to pre	as Implar rol ring (see vent preg	non or Ne. such as No nancy, an	xplanon); uvaRing) nong stude ed both a et, or patcl	or a shot before las ents who	(such as st sexual were curr	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an ually active 23.2 htercourse e last sexu	tch (such ve) 17.6 2 and tal	No linear change	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.
Not enough years of data to calculate.

Black* Birth Co	ontrol		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change from 2021-2022 §
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			of student										
									25.8	23.0	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05.

 $^{^{\$}}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [†]	Quadratic Change [†]	Change fron 2021-2022
2003 2	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
N114: Pe ne time or a										nost of			
									2.2	2.5	No linear change	Not available¶	No change

^{*}Non-Hispanic. † Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05. $^{\$}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Injury a	c ınd Viole	ence	TI a a láb	Dial- Dal		d Donoond					Lincon Chonos*	Overducation Changes*	Changa fran
			Health	Kisk Ber	navior and	a Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change fron 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		of studen					en drinkir	ng alcohol	(in a car	or other			
	17.5	44.8	30.6	26.8	27.2	21.2	16.2	16.7	14.2	11.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
(one or	nore time	ge of stude es during t ing the 30	the 30 day	s before	the survey						Decreased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2018 No change, 2018-2022	No chang
(one or rother ve	nore time hicle duri	es during t	the 30 day days before	rs before to ore the sur	the survey rvey) 14.2 e-mailed v	y, among s	8.4	or other v	5.1 ehicle (on	5.9	Decreased, 2013-2022	,	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

who carried a weapon days before the survey 5.7 5.1 7.5 who did not go to sch	n on school pr y) 5 5.4	2016 roperty (s	2018 uch as a g 6.7	2021 gun, knife 5.0	2022 s, or club,	No linear change	No change, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	
lays before the survey 3.7 5.1 7.5	y) 5.4					No linear change		Decreased
		9.1	6.7	5.0	2.9	No linear change		Decreased
who did not go to sch							Decreased, 2010-2022	
ast 1 day during the 30				school or	on their			
			13.1	14.5	12.5	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change
who v	were threatened	were threatened or injured wi	were threatened or injured with a weap	13.1 were threatened or injured with a weapon on sch	13.1 14.5	13.1 14.5 12.5 were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property	13.1 14.5 12.5 No linear change were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property	13.1 14.5 12.5 No linear change Not available§ were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Injury a	c ind Viole	nce									*		
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		e of stude of stude		were in a prey)	physical f	ight on sc	hool prop	erty (one	or more t	imes			_
	17.2	16.4	17.1	15.9	18.0	15.2	14.4	12.8	8.1	9.4	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	by some	ne they w		experience									
the surve			vith an ob	oject or we ed or went	eapon] on	e or more	times du	ring the 1	2 months	before			
			vith an ob	ject or we	eapon] on	e or more	times du	ring the 1	2 months	before	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
the surve survey)	ey, among	g students	vith an ob who date	ject or we	eapon] on out with 14.9	e or more someone 13.8	times during the	ring the 12 mont 12 mont 13.7	2 months hs before 12.1	before the	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispani Injury a	ic and Viole	nce											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change *	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage Instagram												
				10.8	14.5	16.2	14.1	12.9	12.0	10.1	Decreased, 2011-2022	Increased, 2011-2014 Decreased, 2014-2022	No change
	Percentagy stopped of									row so			
	29.5	32.2	33.5	29.9	32.3	34.6	37.2	37.1	43.8	39.1	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
	Percentag		ents who s	eriously o	considered	d attempti	ing suicid	e (during	the 12 mo	onths			
before th	• /												

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage efore the		nts who r	nade a pla	ın about h	ow they v	would atte	mpt suici	de (during	g the 12			_
	11.3	12.0	15.1	14.8	15.7	16.8	16.4	16.3	15.4	13.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacc													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN32:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who s	smoked a	cigarette l	before age	e 13 years	(even on	e or two j	puffs)			
								9.4	7.7	4.2	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available§	Decreased
	IG: Perce				ntly smok	ed cigare	ttes freque	ently (on	20 or mor	re days			
	4.0	5.9	2.5	3.5	4.3	2.6	2.7	1.4	0.9	0.9	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	CIG: Pe			s who cui	rently sm	oked ciga	rettes dai	ly (on all	30 days d	luring		-	
	0.4	5.0	2.5	2.5	3.3	2.2	2.1	1.1	0.7	0.9	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who c	currently s	smoked ci	garettes (on at leas	t 1 day du	ring the 3	30 days			
	20.1	21.4	13.8	12.2	15.4	10.2	10.2	6.0	3.9	4.0	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacco													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentagape pens,												
						43.9	40.3	40.7	36.5	25.9	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available§	Decreased
vapes, v	Percentage ape pens, on at lea	e-cigars,	e-hookahs	s, hookah	pens, and	mods [su							
						22.7	14.7	19.8	15.4	14.9	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
	VP: Perce					electroni	c vapor pi	oducts fr	equently	(on 20 or	<u> </u>		
						3.4	2.3	3.8	2.8	2.8	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacco													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change fron 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ercentage 0 days bet			rrently us	ed electro	onic vapor	products	daily (on	all 30			
							1.0	2.4	2.0	2.4	No linear shange	Not available§	No change
		re of stude	ents who	currently		2.7	1.9				No linear change	Not available	
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab Lozenge	ge of stude	o products	s [such as	Copenha	xeless tob gen, Grizz	acco (che zly, Skoal	wing toba	acco, snuf Snus, or V	f, dip,	no inical change	Not available	Tto change
snus, or Nicotine	dissolvab	le tobacces], not co	o products	s [such as	Copenha	xeless tob gen, Grizz	acco (che zly, Skoal	wing toba	acco, snuf Snus, or V	f, dip,	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available	No change
snus, or Nicotine before th	dissolvab Lozenge ne survey)	le tobacces], not co	o products unting an	s [such as y electron	Copenha	xeless tob gen, Grizz products,	acco (che zly, Skoal on at least	wing toba , Camel S t 1 day du 4.7	acco, snuf Snus, or V Irring the 3	f, dip, elo 60 days 3.1			
snus, or Nicotine before the	dissolvab Lozenge ne survey)	ele tobacco es], not co	o products unting an	s [such as y electron	Copenhagic vapor p	keless tob gen, Grizz products,	acco (che zly, Skoal on at least 7.2	wing toba , Camel S t 1 day du 4.7	acco, snuf Snus, or V Irring the 3	f, dip, elo 60 days 3.1			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Tobacco	o Use		Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					rrently sn e the surv		ars daily (cigars, ci	garillos, o	or little			
	0.4	1.9	1.0	1.5	2.5	2.2	1.7	0.9	0.6	0.8	No linear change		No change
-	: Percenta	_		currently	y smoked	cigarettes	or cigars	(on at lea	ast 1 day o	during			
	24.5	29.3	17.6	16.5	18.2	13.3	13.8	9.5	5.2	5.1	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	: Percenta				y smoked e survey)	cigarettes	or cigars	or used s	mokeless	tobacco		-	
							15.0	10.2	6.1	5.9	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacco													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percen	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
							s or cigars before th			tobacco			
							19.0	21.2	16.3	16.0	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available§	No change
-		_		currently before the		cigarettes	s or used o	electronic	vapor pro	oducts	-		
						24.1	17.9	20.6	15.7	15.5	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available	No change
Swisher		Middleton					arillos, or roods, on a						
	9.2	21.1	16.0	14.4	15.5	11.8	9.9	7.3	3.3	3.5	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacc													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
					e a kind o have eve					vith an			
						92.8	93.5	95.5	96.4	97.3	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available§	No change
	Percentag used ther		nts who u	ised electi	ronic-vapo	or produc	ts mainly	because a	friend or	family			
								11.5	9.8	9.2	No linear change	Not available	No change
													C
		e of stude or THC w		nave ever	used an el	lectronic	vapor pro	duct to sn	noke mari	juana,			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacco													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
cigars, f		nokeless			red tobaco or both, no								
					14.5	12.8	7.9	4.1	2.3	2.4	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag ast 1 day				bacco in a survey)	hookah,	narghile,	or other t	type of wa	aterpipe	Decreased, 2016-2022	Not available [§]	No change
													140 change
QN94:	Percentag		ents who t	ried or us	ed tobacco	o product	s for the f	irst time (during th	e 12			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacco													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag ne survey,												
					47.7	45.6	45.9	16.4	32.9	15.7	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN100:	Percenta	ge of stud	ents who	live with	someone	who now	smokes o	cigarettes	or cigars				
					33.8	35.4	26.9	24.3	21.6	18.2	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
	Percenta lowed any									moking			
							83.4	85.9	85.7	88.0	Increased, 2016-2022	Not available§	Increased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Tobacco													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
			lents who			oom with	someone	who was	smoking	(on at			
			lents who lays befor			oom with	someone	who was	smoking 19.0	(on at	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

		er Drug I		Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN41: ew sips	-	ge of stude	ents who h	ad their f	irst drink	of alcoho	ol before a	ge 13 yea	rs (other	than a			
	30.3	32.2	26.3	29.4	24.3	22.2	19.3	16.5	14.7	15.7	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Doroontoo	e of stude			lrank alco	hol (at lea	ast one dri	ink of alc	ohol, on a	nt least 1			
		days befo	ore the sur	vey)									
			ore the sur	vevi									
P i	42.7 dercentage	56.0	38.0	30.4 currently was more dr	inks of al	cohol in a	23.5 g (had four a row if the arvey)				Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decrea

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN47: 1	Percentag	e of stude	ents who t	ried marij	juana for t	he first ti	me before	age 13 y	ears				
	16.6	10.8	10.7	10.2	11.4	10.9	8.9	8.0	5.8	4.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag ne survey)	ge of stude	ents who c	currently 1	ısed marij	uana (one	e or more	times dur	ing the 30) days			
	19.3	24.1	21.4	21.1	20.7	20.7	18.4	16.5	14.1	12.2	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Alcohol		er Drug U	Use										
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change *	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
		ge of stude or more tin				any form	of cocaine	e, includir	ng powde	r, crack,			
	10.1	12.7	7.3	6.4	11.2	9.1	8.2	6.8	3.6	2.1	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
		ge of stude s during th		ever used	heroin (al	so called	"smack,"	"junk," o	r "China '	White,"			
	4.0	4.3	4.3	5.9	8.3	6.7	6.1	4.7	2.9	1.3	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	Decreased
		ge of stude "meth," o					s (also cal	led "spee	d," "crysta	al meth,"			
	4.1	5.9	6.6	5.5	8.6	6.6	6.7	4.8	2.7	1.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	No change, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

			Health	Risk Bel	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentag ring their		ents who e	ever used	ecstasy (a	lso called	l "MDMA	" or "Mo	lly," one o	or more			
	0.4	8.2	6.8	6.7	12.7	9.1	7.7	6.4	2.8	2.4	Decreased, 2005-2022		No change
					ted any ill their life		(used a n	eedle to i	nject any 2.9	illegal	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
				-						-			
					sed mariji nong stud				t, bong, pi	pe, or			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispan Sexual	ic Behaviors	:											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN56:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who e	ever had s	exual inte	rcourse 37.3	34.1	33.6	26.7	26.0	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN57:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad sexual							D 1 2012 2022	No see destrock see	N. J.
					9.8	6.8	5.7	5.1	3.5	3.5	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
QN58:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who h	nad sexual	l intercour	se with f	our or mo	re person	s during t	heir life			
					15.5	10.7	8.9	8.9	6.2	4.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentages					ally activ	e (had sex	tual interc	course wit	th at least			
_					28.7	24.4	22.7	24.1	18.7	17.9	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispani Sexual I	c Behaviors	3											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage who were				hol or use	ed drugs b	pefore last	sexual in	tercourse	(among			_
					28.6	28.1	24.5	18.4	17.4	17.6	Decreased, 2013-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentage e currentl			ised a cor	dom duri	ng last se	xual inter	course (aı	nong stud	lents			
					59.0	57.6	58.4	58.1	52.1	55.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
opposite	-sex partn	er (to pre	vent preg	nancy, no	ot counting	g emerger	e last sexuncy contra	ception s					
									12.4	14.7	No linear change	Not available [§]	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Dietary	Behavio	rs	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
				who had onto					ass index	, based			
	10.4	16.0	23.9	13.2	12.7	13.9	16.2	16.8	20.6	20.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
				no were of age-specification 15.3							No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
body ma	17.4 Percentag	based on 12.9	sex- and a	age-speci	fic referer	19.5	17.6	20.4	growth cl	narts) [§] 19.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

		rs	Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN69: 1 survey)	Percentag	ge of stude	ents who d	lid not eat	fruit (one	e or more	times dur	ing the 7	days befo	re the			
	12.0	16.3	15.3	12.3	150	150	4 - 0	4.4.0					NT 1
					15.8	15.9	16.0	14.8	14.4	12.9	No linear change	No quadratic change	No chang
	Percent	age of stu	dents who	did not e	eat fruit or efore the s	drink 10					No linear change Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change Increased, 2005-2016 No change, 2016-2022	
pple jui	Percentace, or gra	age of sturpe juice, 7.0 age of stur	dents who during the 7.0 dents who	o did not e e 7 days b 6.4	eat fruit or	drink 100 survey) 9.1 100% frui	0% fruit juge 9.2	8.4	h as orang 7.8 e times pe	ge juice, 7.8		Increased, 2005-2016	No chang

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispani Dietary	c Behavioi	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior an	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
							it juices tw s before t			er day			
	35.8	32.2	35.3	36.6	34.1	29.7	26.1	28.5	25.6	25.6	Decreased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	No change
	Percentag ne survey)		ents who c	lid not ear	green sa	lad (one o	or more tir	nes durin	g the 7 da	ys			
	27.4	30.9	42.1	35.4	35.1	40.9	44.5	43.6	46.8	42.7	Increased, 2005-2022	No quadratic change	Decreased
QN71: I	_	e of stude	ents who c	lid not ea	potatoes	(one or n	nore times	during th	ne 7 days	before			
	35.8	42.5	30.8	41.8	36.5	40.4	41.1	41.5	41.4	37.0	No linear change	No quadratic change	Decreased
01/50	Percentag	e of stude	ents who c	lid not ear	t carrots (one or mo	ore times o	during the	7 days b	efore the			
QN72: 1 survey)													

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

_	c Behavio	rs	Haalth	Rick Rah	avior an	d Percent	9000				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from
			Heartin	KISK Deli	lavioi alio	u r ei cein	lages				Linear Change	Quadratic Change	2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
-	Percentag ne survey)	ge of stude	nts who c	lid not ea	other veg	getables (one or mo	ore times o	during the	7 days			
	15.3	19.8	28.5	23.6	21.5	23.1	24.4	21.2	20.4	21.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
							-						
	ed potatoe	ntage of stees, or pota	to chips],	carrots, o	or other ve	egetables,	during th	e 7 days l	before the	survey)	X !!	N. J. C. J.	
											No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
ONVEG	7.0 61: Percer [excluding the second content to the second content	es, or pota	7.8 tudents w	8.0	9.5 getables o	10.8 ne or mor	during th	e 7 days t 10.2 er day (gr	9.8 een salad	survey) 10.2	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispanio Dietary	c Behavio	rs											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
potatoes	[excludir	ntage of sing french the survey	fries, fried										
	31.9	26.7	24.9	27.0	28.2	25.6	23.4	23.9	20.2	23.1	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
potatoes	[excluding	ntage of sing french the survey	fries, fried								No linear change	No quadratic change	Increased
											-	•	
		ge of stude ot countir											
			19.8	19.6	26.2	26.5	29.5	29.7	28.2	29.7	Increased, 2009-2022	Increased, 2009-2016 No change, 2016-2022	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

2011 201	3 2014	2016	2018					
			2010	2021	2022			
ho drank a car Sprite, not co	, ,	-						
20.9 17.	7 16.3	13.3	11.6	11.1	12.9	Decreased, 2009-2022	No quadratic change	No chan
ĺ	20.9 17.	20.9 17.7 16.3 who drank a can, bottle, or §	20.9 17.7 16.3 13.3 who drank a can, bottle, or glass of social control of the co	20.9 17.7 16.3 13.3 11.6 who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop	20.9 17.7 16.3 13.3 11.6 11.1 who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or me	Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days 20.9 17.7 16.3 13.3 11.6 11.1 12.9 who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days	20.9 17.7 16.3 13.3 11.6 11.1 12.9 Decreased, 2009-2022 who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more	20.9 17.7 16.3 13.3 11.6 11.1 12.9 Decreased, 2009-2022 No quadratic change who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispanio Physical	c I Activity		Health	Risk Beh	avior an	d Percent	tages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change*	Change from
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			2021-2022
days (in	any kind	of physic	al activity	were phys that incre the survey	eased thei								
				30.7	34.1	29.5	26.8	27.4	31.3	31.8	No linear change	No change, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022	No change
	Percentage week whe			attended p	hysical ed	ducation (PE) class	es on 1 or	more day	ys (in an			
	29.9	33.8	44.1	42.4	44.4	41.2	38.6	40.1	37.4	37.8	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
activity of	on at least	1 day (ir	n any kind	ts who did d of physic g the 7 day	cal activit	y that inc	reased the						
				20.3	19.3	23.1	26.6	28.5	21.8	24.1	No linear change	Increased, 2011-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022	No chang

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Hispani Physica	c l Activity	7											
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change [*]	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
days (in	any kind	of physic	of student al activity ys before t	that incre	eased their								
				18.2	18.2	15.4	13.5	14.7	15.3	15.6	No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
			ents who h	nad a conc	cussion fro	om playin					No linear change	No quadratic change	No change
				nad a conc	cussion fro	om playin					No linear change Decreased, 2016-2022	No quadratic change Not available [§]	No change
One or i	nore time PE: Perc	es during t		nad a conc nths befor who atten	cussion from	om playin /ey)	g a sport	or being p	ohysically 14.8	active		-	

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-202
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
ON 105				spent 3 or				(in front o					
smart pl				ounting tir				<i>G</i> ,	U				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Other	c												
			Health	Risk Beh	navior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
	Percentage tests don				tested for	human ir	mmunode	ficiency v	virus (HIV	V) (not			
						16.0	17.3	15.2	9.6	7.0	Decreased, 2014-2022	Not available [§]	Decreased
	Percentage ring the 1				tist (for a o	check-up,	, exam, te	eth cleani	ng, or oth	ner dental	<u> </u>		
						72.0	72.4	71.5	72.5	69.8	No linear change	Not available	No change
	NT: Pero		f students	who neve	er saw a d	entist (for	r a check-	up, exam	, teeth cle	eaning, or	 		
						2.9	3.4	3.7	2.3	4.7	Increased, 2014-2022	Not available	Increased
QN86: I	Percentage	of stude	ents who e	experience	ed unstabl	e housing	g (during	the 30 day	s before	the			
sur vey)								4.8	4.4	2.5	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available	Decreased

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Other	c		Hoolth	Risk Beh	ovior one	l Dorcont	en grac				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from
			Healui	KISK Deli	avioi and	ı r ercem	ages				Linear Change	Quadratic Change	2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
game, pl	layed one	of their st	ate's lotte	ry games.	gambled	on the In	ambled waternet, or 12 months	bet on a g	game of p	ersonal			
								24.5	17.9	17.8	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available§	No change
from do		day activi					m health p						
									11.0	6.0	Decreased, 2021-2022	Not available	Decreased
	Darcento	ge of stud	ents who	reported	hat their	family wa	as often or	sometim					
			out befo	re they go		to buy mo	ore (during	g the 12 n	nonths be	fore the			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Other	c												
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	tages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change [*]	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
				reported t						ought did			
								22.6	16.3	18.0	Decreased, 2018-2022	Not available [§]	No change
	Percenta re friends		lents who	say defini	itely yes	or probab	ly yes tha	t young p	eople who	o smoke			
					48.3	46.2	46.5	44.0	48.9	50.1	Increased, 2013-2022	Decreased, 2013-2018 Increased, 2018-2022	No change
	Percenta ook cool o		lents who	say defini	itely yes	or probab	ly yes tha	t smoking	g makes y	oung			

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. †Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispani Demogr													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
QN65:	Percentag	e of stude	ents who	described	themselve	es as trans	gender.						
							4.2	2.0	2.8	2.4	No linear change	Not available§	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

Viental	Health		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
ON84:	Percentag d (includii	ge of stude								lways			
	,												

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

											2021-2022
2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
							le to talk t	to an			
nly or ano	ther caring	g adult abo	out their fo	eelings (d	uring the	ir life)	20.0	22.0	1 2021 2022	N	.
							28.0	32.8	Increased, 2021-2022	Not availables	Increase
	age of stu	age of students who	age of students who most of the	age of students who most of the time or	age of students who most of the time or always for	age of students who most of the time or always feel that the		age of students who most of the time or always feel that they are able to talk	age of students who most of the time or always feel that they are able to talk to an anily or another caring adult about their feelings (during their life)	age of students who most of the time or always feel that they are able to talk to an anily or another caring adult about their feelings (during their life)	age of students who most of the time or always feel that they are able to talk to an illy or another caring adult about their feelings (during their life)

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Hispanio Birth Co													
			Health	Risk Beh	avior and	d Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change from 2021-2022 †
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
as Impla	non or No	explanon)	before la	st sexual	d an IUD intercours y sexually	e with an							
									2.3	3.1	No linear change	Not available§	No change
		-											
ParaGaro	d) or imp	lant (such	as Impla	non or Ne	ed birth co explanon);	or a shot	(such as	Depo-Pro	vera), pat	ch (such			
ParaGardas Ortho	d) or imp Evra), or	lant (such birth con	as Implatorion as Implatorion (non or Ne such as N		or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such			
ParaGaro as Ortho	d) or imp Evra), or	lant (such birth con	as Implatorion as Implatorion (non or Ne such as N	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las	(such as st sexual	Depo-Pro intercours	vera), pat e with an	ch (such	No linear change	Not available	No change
ParaGardas Ortho opposite	d) or implevra), or sex particular. LBC: Peter trol pills; rse with a	lant (such birth con ner (to pre	as Impla trol ring (event preg of studen or implan	non or Ne such as N mancy, an ts who us	xplanon); uvaRing)	or a shot before las ents who	(such as st sexual were curr	Depo-Pro intercours rently sexual t sexual in ring before	vera), pat e with an ually activ 17.4 atercourse e last sexu	ch (such ze) 19.4 e and aal	No linear change	Not available	No change

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

Birth C	ontrol		Health	Risk Beh	avior and	l Percent	ages				Linear Change*	Quadratic Change*	Change fron 2021-2022
2003	2005	2007	2009	2011	2013	2014	2016	2018	2021	2022			
ONDCN			of student										
		with an a	onnosite-s	ex partne	r (among	students v	vho were	currently	sexually	active)			
sexual in	ntercourse	with the	эррозие в	r	` "			•	•				

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.

2011 2013 2014	2016 2018	2021 2	2022			
reported that their parents or o			t of			
,,,	T (and B	,	2.7	No linear change	Not available§	No change
ŀ		nave ever been separated from a parent or guardia	2.4 anave ever been separated from a parent or guardian because	2.4 2.7 nave ever been separated from a parent or guardian because they	2.4 2.7 No linear change have ever been separated from a parent or guardian because they	2.4 2.7 No linear change Not available [§] nave ever been separated from a parent or guardian because they

^{*}Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05. *Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05.

[§]Not enough years of data to calculate.