## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one or more times during the 30 days before the survey)

| 25.0 | 28.9 | 26.7 | 25.9 | 20.7 | 18.2 | 14.2 | 15.2 | 12.9 | 11.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol
(one or more times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or
other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)

| 8.8 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 4.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 33.1 | 26.1 | 28.1 | 26.2 | 24.1 | 29.0 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2016 <br> Decreased, 2016-2022 | Increased |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.9 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 7.4 | 5.7 | 4.1 | 2.7 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN14: Percentage of students who did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to or from school (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.8 | Increased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |

[^0]
## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Injury and Violence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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[^1]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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| Total <br> Injury and Violence |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |

[^2]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN32: Percentage of students who smoked a cigarette before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.9 | 6.4 | 4.4 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Decreased |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.4 | 7.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.4 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN33: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.5 | 16.8 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 11.9 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 3.2 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN35: Percentage of students who ever used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu]) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.6 | 35.3 | 39.7 | 32.3 | 25.4 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QN36: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu], on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | 13.3 | 23.0 | 14.7 | 14.3 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QNFREVP: Percentage of students who currently used electronic vapor products frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.3 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 3.9 | Increased, 2014-2022 | Not available | No change |

[^4]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | No linear change |  | Increased |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.0 | 20.2 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 8.1 | 5.0 | 5.5 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QNTB3: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.6 | 9.2 | 5.6 | 6.3 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^6]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNTB4: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco or electronic vapor products (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.8 | 24.5 | 15.6 | 15.9 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNTB5: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or used electronic vapor products (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.3 | 15.5 | 23.5 | 14.9 | 14.8 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QN39: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, such as Swisher Sweets, Middleton's (including Black \& Mild, or Backwoods, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.6 | 11.0 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 12.5 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 4.1 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Increased |

[^7]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

# 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey <br> Trend Analysis Report 

| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |

[^8]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |

[^9]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN41: Percentage of students who had their first drink of alcohol before age 13 years (other than a few sips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.8 | 23.5 | 24.5 | 23.2 | 19.3 | 17.3 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 13.6 | 13.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN42: Percentage of students who currently drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 39.8 | 42.9 | 37.0 | 34.8 | 31.2 | 26.1 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 19.4 | 17.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN43: Percentage of students who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were female or five or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a couple of hours, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.0 | 12.0 | 9.8 | 9.0 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^11]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 4.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Decreased |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.5 | 19.4 | 21.9 | 23.2 | 19.8 | 18.8 | 18.4 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 14.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or differently than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine, Vicodin, OxyContin, Hydrocodone, and Percocet, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.7 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 11.1 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | Decreased |

[^12]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.9 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.8 | 2.9 | 1.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | Decreased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.6 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 1.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal meth," "crank," "ice," or "meth," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No change, 2005-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN54: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA" or "Molly," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.0 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Decreased |
| QN55: Percentage of students who ever injected any illegal drug (used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.9 |  | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | No linear change | Increased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN103: Percentage of students who usually used marijuana by smoking it in a joint, bong, pipe, or blunt (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who used marijuana) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.6 | 58.6 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |
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Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{2021-2022}{\text { Change from }}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 |  | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN60: Percentage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24.0 | 23.7 | 21.2 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 19.8 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2016 <br> No change, 2016-2022 | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 61.5 |  | 56.9 | 56.7 | 49.6 | 53.2 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
| QN62: Percentage of students who used birth control pills before last sexual intercourse with opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, not counting emergency contraception such as Plan B or the "morning after" pill, among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.9 | 19.5 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^14]${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in
subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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QNFR0: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink $100 \%$ fruit juices (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 5.3 | 6.2 | 5.0 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 | Increased, 2005-2022 No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNFR1: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices one or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey)

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
58.7 & 55.2 & 64.2 & 61.3 & 60.4 & 56.6 & 53.9 & 53.7 & 51.6 & 52.5
\end{array} \text { Decreased, 2005-2022 }
$$

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.7 | 29.3 | 36.0 | 34.7 | 31.9 | 28.8 | 26.7 | 26.9 | 24.0 | 25.0 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QN70: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36.4 | 37.7 | 38.2 | 37.9 | 38.1 | 42.0 | 44.8 | 45.7 | 48.0 | 45.4 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34.1 | 35.4 | 34.7 | 36.5 | 35.9 | 38.9 | 38.9 | 41.3 | 43.7 | 40.1 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 58.7 | 56.9 | 54.3 | 51.7 | 52.0 | 53.5 | 55.0 | 54.8 | 59.1 | 58.5 | No linear change |  | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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Total
Dietary Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 25.6 | 24.4 | 26.6 | 29.4 | 27.7 | 25.8 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 21.2 | 24.1 | Decreased,2005-2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.8 | 11.3 | 12.6 | 15.3 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 10.2 | 12.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN74: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (such as Coke,
Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report


QNSODA1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop one or more
times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days
before the survey)

| 21.3 | 24.9 | 18.0 | 16.6 | 14.0 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 12.3 | Decreased, 2009-2022 | Decreased, 2009-2018 <br> No change, 2018-2022 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSODA2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more times per day (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days
before the survey)

| 14.0 | 17.2 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 6.9 | Decreased, 2009-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Total Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN76: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 41.2 | 40.1 | 36.9 | 35.2 | 36.5 | 38.5 | 39.5 | No linear change | Decreased, 2011-2016 <br> Increased, 2016-2022 | No change |
| QN77: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on 1 or more days (in an average week when they were in school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.6 | 37.0 | 39.3 | 37.8 | 39.1 | 37.6 | 36.4 | 37.1 | 35.3 | 37.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNPAODAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on at least 1 day (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 15.6 | 18.0 | 19.8 | 21.6 | 21.8 | 18.8 | 19.6 | Increased, 2011-2022 | Increased, 2011-2016 <br> Decreased, 2016-2022 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.6 | 19.5 | 17.9 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 19.8 | No linear change | Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022 | No change |
| QN79: Percentage of students who had a concussion from playing a sport or being physically active (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.8 | 16.5 | 13.1 | 14.5 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Increased |
| QNDLYPE: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on all 5 days (in an average week when they were in school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.1 | 15.6 | 20.0 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 14.9 | 14.6 | 17.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Increased |

[^15]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
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QN105: Percentage of students who spent 3 or more hours of screen time (in front of a TV, computer, smart phone, or other electronic device watching shows or videos, playing games, accessing the Internet, or using social media, not counting time spent doing schoolwork)
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| Total <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |  |

[^16]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN107: Percentage of students who gambled on a sports team, gambled when playing cards or a dice game, played one of their state's lottery games, gambled on the Internet, or bet on a game of personal skill such as pool or a video game (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)
22.1 15.3 17.5 Decreased, 2018-2022 Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Increased

QN109: Percentage of students who have a disability or long-term health problem that keeps them from doing everyday activities (such as bathing, getting dressed, doing schoolwork, playing sports, or being with friends)
10.1 6.5 Decreased, 2021-2022 Not available Decreased

QN110: Percentage of students who reported that their family was often or sometimes worried that their food money would run out before they got money to buy more (during the 12 months before the survey)
$22.5 \quad 15.3 \quad 19$
9
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| Total Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN111: Percentage of students who reported that often or sometimes the food their family bought did not last and they did not have money to get more (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.3 | 12.2 | 14.3 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Increased |
| QN119: Percentage of students who say definitely yes or probably yes that young people who smoke have more friends |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 39.8 | 37.6 | 38.1 | 40.7 | 42.7 | 43.6 | Increased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2016 Increased, 2016-2022 | No change |
| QN120: Percentage of students who say definitely yes or probably yes that smoking makes young people look cool or fit in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24.4 | 21.2 | 22.1 | 25.5 | 20.5 | 18.7 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | Increased, 2013-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |

[^17]
## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Mental Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN84: Percentage of students who reported that their mental health was most of the time or always not good (including stress, anxiety, and depression, during the 30 days before the survey)
29.3 28.1 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate
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[^18]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Birth Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
4.2 $4.5 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD, or implant, or a shot, or patch, or birth control ring before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^19]
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| Total <br> Birth Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 20032005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (among students who were currently sexually active)
17.2 16.4 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change
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| Total <br> Adverse Childhood Experiences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN114: Percentage of students who reported that their parents or other adults in their home most of the time or always slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN117: Percentage of students who have ever been separated from a parent or guardian because they went to jail, prison, or a detention center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.8 | 11.3 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available | Decreased |

[^20]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one or more times during the 30 days before the survey)

| 25.3 | 26.7 | 27.1 | 25.2 | 21.0 | 17.6 | 13.9 | 15.3 | 11.6 | 10.1 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol
(one or more times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)


QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least
1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)
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| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.5 | 7.3 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 3.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN14: Percentage of students who did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to or from school (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.2 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.5 | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 8.7 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 9.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |

[^21]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN17: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight on school property (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.4 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 14.7 | 15.0 | 9.1 | 11.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
| QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9.7 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 10.6 | Increased, 2013-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN24: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 19.5 | 21.2 | 18.1 | 15.5 | 16.1 | 14.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | Decreased, 2009-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Beh | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN25: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10.4 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 11.0 | 9.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN26: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for >=2 weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21.5 | 15.5 | 20.2 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 18.7 | 21.0 | 23.5 | 27.3 | 24.3 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No change, 2005-2014 Increased, 2014-2022 | Decreased |
| QN27: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.9 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 11.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey <br> Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | ior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN28: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.0 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 11.0 | 12.8 | 10.4 | 9.3 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN29: Percentage of students who actually attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.6 | 6.0 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN32: Percentage of students who smoked a cigarette before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 | 7.1 | 4.7 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.4 | 8.0 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.9 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN33: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.2 | 17.4 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |

[^22]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN35: Percentage of students who ever used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu]) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.0 | 35.5 | 39.4 | 28.8 | 21.0 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QN36: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including e-cigarettes, vapes, vape pens, e-cigars, e-hookahs, hookah pens, and mods [such as JUUL, SMOK, Suorin, Vuse, and blu], on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.8 | 14.0 | 22.7 | 11.9 | 11.3 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QNFREVP: Percentage of students who currently used electronic vapor products frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.1 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | Increased, 2014-2022 | Not available | No change |

[^23]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYEVP: Percentage of students who currently used electronic vapor products daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | Increased, 2014-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN38: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, Camel Snus, or Velo Nicotine Lozenges], not counting any electronic vapor products, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.3 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 3.2 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QNFRCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars frequently (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | No linear change |  | Increased |

[^24]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.9 | No linear change |  | Increased |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22.3 | 22.5 | 18.2 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 9.5 | 5.4 | 5.7 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | Decreased, 2005-2016 <br> Decreased, 2016-2022 | No change |
| QNTB3: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 11.2 | 6.0 | 6.6 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^25]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNTB4: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco or electronic vapor products (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.3 | 24.2 | 13.0 | 13.0 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QNTB5: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or used electronic vapor products (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.9 | 16.4 | 23.1 | 12.1 | 11.8 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QN39: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, such as Swisher Sweets, Middleton's (including Black \& Mild, or Backwoods, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.5 | 13.8 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 7.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |

[^26]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

# 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey <br> Trend Analysis Report 

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |

[^27]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |

[^28]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN95: Percentage of students who completely quit using all tobacco products (during the 12 months before the survey, among students who used tobacco products during the 12 months before the survey)

| 40.4 | 39.5 | 41.6 | 13.3 | 27.4 | 16.8 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN100: Percentage of students who live with someone who now smokes cigarettes or cigars

| 36.5 | 34.9 | 29.5 | 26.4 | 25.1 | 22.0 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2016 <br> Decreased, 2016-2022 | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN101: Percentage of students who report the rule about smoking inside their home is that smoking
is not allowed anywhere inside their home (not counting decks, garages, or porches)

## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

[^29]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN41: Percentage of students who had their first drink of alcohol before age 13 years (other than a few sips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25.4 | 26.3 | 26.9 | 24.1 | 21.1 | 18.3 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 13.5 | 12.2 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Decreased |
| QN42: Percentage of students who currently drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.6 | 40.3 | 34.4 | 32.3 | 29.3 | 23.0 | 22.2 | 21.0 | 15.8 | 14.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN43: Percentage of students who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were female or five or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a couple of hours, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.8 | 10.9 | 8.4 | 8.0 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |

[^30]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Beh | ior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.4 | 11.6 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 5.8 | 4.2 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Decreased |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.5 | 23.0 | 24.6 | 25.9 | 21.6 | 19.4 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 13.6 | 11.7 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Decreased |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or differently than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine, Vicodin, OxyContin, Hydrocodone, and Percocet, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.5 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 8.1 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Decreased |

[^31]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN54: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA" or "Molly," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.8 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 10.3 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 2.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Decreased |
| QN55: Percentage of students who ever injected any illegal drug (used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.2 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 1.5 | No linear change | Increased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN103: Percentage of students who usually used marijuana by smoking it in a joint, bong, pipe, or blunt (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who used marijuana) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.2 | 57.9 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |

[^32]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{\text { 2021-2022 }}{ }{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN60: Percentage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 27.9 | 26.1 | 22.6 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 20.2 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 67.2 | 67.3 | 64.2 | 63.3 | 53.8 | 59.8 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
| QN62: Percentage of students who used birth control pills before last sexual intercourse with opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, not counting emergency contraception such as Plan B or the "morning after" pill, among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.3 | 16.6 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^33]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33.8 | 30.1 | 36.3 | 36.5 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 27.8 | 27.7 | 26.0 | 27.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN70: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40.5 | 41.5 | 43.6 | 39.1 | 42.3 | 45.5 | 48.5 | 49.3 | 51.6 | 48.7 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.4 | 34.8 | 32.3 | 33.9 | 34.5 | 37.6 | 37.8 | 40.8 | 41.7 | 37.2 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 58.6 | 53.0 | 54.4 | 49.2 | 50.6 | 51.5 | 53.6 | 53.5 | 58.5 | 56.3 | No linear change |  | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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| Male <br> Dietary Behaviors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN76: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 50.1 | 46.8 | 44.0 | 42.2 | 42.9 | 46.0 | 48.2 | No linear change | Decreased, 2011-2016 Increased, 2016-2022 | Increased |
| QN77: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on 1 or more days (in an average week when they were in school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 44.9 | 44.4 | 48.1 | 44.4 | 46.7 | 44.3 | 43.3 | 43.0 | 41.9 | 43.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNPAODAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on at least 1 day (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 13.4 | 14.9 | 16.6 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 14.1 | 15.7 | No linear change | Increased, 2011-2016 <br> Decreased, 2016-2022 | Increased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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QN105: Percentage of students who spent 3 or more hours of screen time (in front of a TV, computer, smart phone, or other electronic device watching shows or videos, playing games, accessing the Internet, or using social media, not counting time spent doing schoolwork)
72.1 $\quad 72.0 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 |  | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN81: Percentage of students who were ever tested for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (not counting tests done if they donated blood) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.2 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 8.6 | 6.5 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QN83: Percentage of students who saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work, during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.6 | 75.4 | 73.3 | 72.5 | Decreased, 2014-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QNNODNT: Percentage of students who never saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.4 | No linear change | Not available | Increased |
| QN86: Percentage of students who experienced unstable housing (during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 4.4 | 3.3 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |

[^35]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN107: Percentage of students who gambled on a sports team, gambled when playing cards or a dice game, played one of their state's lottery games, gambled on the Internet, or bet on a game of personal skill such as pool or a video game (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) being with friends)
9.5 6.3 Decreased, 2021-2022 Not available Decreased

QN110: Percentage of students who reported that their family was often or sometimes worried that their food money would run out before they got money to buy more (during the 12 months before the survey)
21.1 14.0 17.3 Decreased, 2018-2022 Not available Increased
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from <br> 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN111: Percentage of students who reported that often or sometimes the food their family bought did not last and they did not have money to get more (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.8 | 11.6 | 13.7 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | Increased |
| QN119: Percentage of students who say definitely yes or probably yes that young people who smoke have more friends |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 41.3 | 39.3 | 39.5 | 42.5 | 42.1 | 42.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN120: Percentage of students who say definitely yes or probably yes that smoking makes young people look cool or fit in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 28.2 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 28.0 | 20.9 | 18.8 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | No change, 2013-2018 Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |

[^37]
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Mental Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN84: Percentage of students who reported that their mental health was most of the time or always not good (including stress, anxiety, and depression, during the 30 days before the survey)
18.3 17.5 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change
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| Male <br> Protective Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN121: Percentage of students who most of the time or always feel that they are able to talk to an adult in their family or another caring adult about their feelings (during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.2 | 44.7 | Increased, 2021-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Increased |
| QN122: Percentage of students who would feel comfortable seeking help from one or more adults besides their parents if they had an important question affecting their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 82.0 | 83.5 | 79.7 | 80.4 | 76.0 | 77.4 | 75.6 | 75.7 | 72.3 | 75.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |

[^38]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report
Male
Birth Control

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

| 2.8 | 2.7 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD, or implant, or a shot, or patch, or birth control ring before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^39]
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| Male <br> Birth Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (among students who were currently sexually active)
$16.0 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change
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[^40]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Female <br> Injury and Violence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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Trend Analysis Report

| Female |
| :--- |
| Injury and Violence |

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
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## Female <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN17: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight on school property (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)

| 10.4 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 4.8 | 7.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on
purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

|  |  | 12.0 | 11.2 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 12.2 | 12.4 | No linear change | Decreased, 2013-2016 <br> Increased, 2016-2022 | No change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QN24: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22.2 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 18.3 | 15.2 | 16.6 | Decreased, 2009-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |

[^42]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Injury and Violence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN28: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.6 | 10.8 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 17.7 | 19.2 | 20.0 | 19.5 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who actually attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.4 | 12.8 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Decreased |

[^43]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN32: Percentage of students who smoked a cigarette before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.9 | 5.3 | 4.1 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.4 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.8 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN33: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.0 | 15.8 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYEVP: Percentage of students who currently used electronic vapor products daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.5 | Increased, 2014-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |
| QN38: Percentage of students who currently used smokeless tobacco (chewing tobacco, snuff, dip, snus, or dissolvable tobacco products [such as Copenhagen, Grizzly, Skoal, Camel Snus, or Velo Nicotine Lozenges], not counting any electronic vapor products, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.8 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QNFRCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars frequently (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | No linear change |  | No change |
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from <br> 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | No linear change |  | No change |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.8 | 17.7 | 15.7 | 15.0 | 13.1 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 5.8 | 4.1 | 5.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Increased |
| QNTB3: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.4 | 6.4 | 4.7 | 6.0 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Increased |

[^47]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |

[^48]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |

[^49]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |  |  |

[^52]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN41: Percentage of students who had their first drink of alcohol before age 13 years (other than a few sips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.1 | 20.3 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 17.1 | 15.9 | 14.4 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 15.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
| QN42: Percentage of students who currently drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 41.9 | 45.3 | 39.4 | 36.8 | 33.0 | 29.1 | 28.6 | 26.8 | 22.7 | 21.1 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN43: Percentage of students who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were female or five or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a couple of hours, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.9 | 12.6 | 11.0 | 10.1 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |

[^53]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.4 | 15.9 | 19.2 | 20.4 | 17.8 | 18.2 | 19.0 | 18.3 | 16.2 | 17.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or differently than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine, Vicodin, OxyContin, Hydrocodone, and Percocet, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.2 | 15.1 | 16.5 | 14.1 | Increased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |

[^54]'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.3 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | Decreased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.3 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 0.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal meth," "crank," "ice," or "meth," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No change, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN54: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA" or "Molly," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.1 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.5 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Decreased |
| QN55: Percentage of students who ever injected any illegal drug (used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | No linear change | Increased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN103: Percentage of students who usually used marijuana by smoking it in a joint, bong, pipe, or blunt (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who used marijuana) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.4 | 59.1 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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[^56]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Female <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Beh | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNOBESE: Percentage of students who had obesity ( $>=95$ th percentile for body mass index, based on sex- and age-specific reference data from the 2000 CDC growth charts) ${ }^{\S}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.6 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 10.5 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 13.4 | 13.9 | Increased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QNOWT: Percentage of students who were overweight (>= 85th percentile but <95th percentile for body mass index, based on sex- and age-specific reference data from the 2000 CDC growth charts $)^{\S}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.7 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 15.3 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 16.4 | 16.5 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN68: Percentage of students who did not drink fruit juice (100\% fruit juices one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.6 | 20.3 | 17.2 | 18.6 | 24.4 | 28.3 | 32.7 | 34.8 | 34.5 | 38.5 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, p<0.05.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in
subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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| Female <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Beh | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN69: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.0 | 15.5 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 12.7 | 14.5 | 14.2 | 13.2 | 15.0 | 13.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QNFR0: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink $100 \%$ fruit juices (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.2 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.2 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNFR1: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices one or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 57.2 | 55.3 | 65.8 | 60.4 | 60.2 | 54.5 | 52.5 | 52.9 | 50.3 | 49.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |

[^57]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27.6 | 28.4 | 35.9 | 32.8 | 31.2 | 27.3 | 25.6 | 26.0 | 21.9 | 22.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QN70: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32.3 | 33.7 | 32.8 | 36.6 | 34.0 | 38.8 | 41.3 | 42.1 | 44.4 | 41.9 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.1 | 36.0 | 37.1 | 39.0 | 37.4 | 40.1 | 39.9 | 41.9 | 45.7 | 42.8 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 58.7 | 61.0 | 54.3 | 54.5 | 53.7 | 55.7 | 56.7 | 56.6 | 60.0 | 60.7 | No linear change |  | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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[^58]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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## Female <br> Dietary Behaviors

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 27.6 | 23.4 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 26.8 | 24.1 | 22.5 | 22.9 | 19.6 | 22.2 | Decreased, 2005-2022 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.6 | 9.9 | 11.7 | 13.8 | 13.0 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 9.0 | 11.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN74: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (such as Coke,
Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, one or more times during the 7 days before the
survey)
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 14.7 | 16.0 | 14.1 | 12.6 | 14.3 | 14.0 | 13.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN79: Percentage of students who had a concussion from playing a sport or being physically active (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.4 | 13.6 | 10.6 | 13.1 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Increased |
| QNDLYPE: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on all 5 days (in an average week when they were in school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.6 | 13.3 | 15.9 | 14.5 | 13.9 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 13.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

[^59]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN105: Percentage of students who spent 3 or more hours of screen time (in front of a TV, computer smart phone, or other electronic device watching shows or videos, playing games, accessing the Internet, or using social media, not counting time spent doing schoolwork)
77.6 $77.1 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change
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| Female <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |

[^60]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN107: Percentage of students who gambled on a sports team, gambled when playing cards or a dice game, played one of their state's lottery games, gambled on the Internet, or bet on a game of personal skill such as pool or a video game (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.8 | 7.4 | 9.7 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Increased |
| QN109: Percentage of students who have a disability or long-term health problem that keeps them from doing everyday activities (such as bathing, getting dressed, doing schoolwork, playing sports, or being with friends) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.4 | 6.7 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available | Decreased |
| QN110: Percentage of students who reported that their family was often or sometimes worried that their food money would run out before they got money to buy more (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.5 | 16.2 | 20.7 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available | Increased |
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| Female Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN111: Percentage of students who reported that often or sometimes the food their family bought did not last and they did not have money to get more (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.4 | 12.6 | 14.9 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Increased |
| QN119: Percentage of students who say definitely yes or probably yes that young people who smoke have more friends |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 38.0 | 35.7 | 36.4 | 38.7 | 43.3 | 45.1 | Increased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2016 <br> Increased, 2016-2022 | No change |
| QN120: Percentage of students who say definitely yes or probably yes that smoking makes young people look cool or fit in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20.2 | 18.2 | 19.3 | 22.3 | 19.8 | 18.6 | No linear change | Increased, 2013-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | No change |

[^62]
## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Mental Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN84: Percentage of students who reported that their mental health was most of the time or always not good (including stress, anxiety, and depression, during the 30 days before the survey)
$39.8 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change
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[^63]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report
Female
Birth Control

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
5.6 6.1 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }} \quad$ No change

QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD, or implant, or a shot, or patch, or birth control ring before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^64]
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Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Female <br> Birth Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (among students who were currently sexually active) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.3 | 16.9 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |

## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey <br> Trend Analysis Report

| Female <br> Adverse Childhood Experiences |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN114: Percentage of students who reported that their parents or other adults in their home most of the time or always slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 2.5 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN117: Percentage of students who have ever been separated from a parent or guardian because they went to jail, prison, or a detention center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.4 | 12.3 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available | Decreased |

[^65]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| White* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one or more times during the 30 days before the survey)

| 26.9 | 28.9 | 28.3 | 22.6 | 19.1 | 18.7 | 13.5 | 14.9 | 12.6 | 12.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol
(one or more times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey)

| 8.8 | 7.2 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 4.3 | 4.6 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least
1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle
during the 30 days before the survey)
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| White* <br> Injury and Violence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

[^69]
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| White* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Bel | ior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN28: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.1 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 13.1 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who actually attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.3 | 7.1 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | Decreased |

[^70]${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN32: Percentage of students who smoked a cigarette before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 5.2 | 4.8 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | No change |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.9 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.8 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN33: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21.4 | 18.5 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
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Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
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| White* <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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| White* <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{*}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Change from } \\ 2021-2022^{\text {s }} \end{array}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN89: Percentage of students who usually use a kind of flavoring other than tobacco flavor with an electronic vapor product (among students who have ever used an electronic vapor product) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 95.2 | 97.4 | 96.9 | 95.7 | 96.2 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | No change |
| QN90: Percentage of students who used electronic-vapor products mainly because a friend or family member used them |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.5 | 12.3 | 11.3 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available | No change |
| QN91: Percentage of students who have ever used an electronic vapor product to smoke marijuana, THC or hash oil, or THC wax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.5 | 17.5 | 17.0 | 17.0 | Increased, 2016-2022 | Not available | No change |
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| White* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
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*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN41: Percentage of students who had their first drink of alcohol before age 13 years (other than a few sips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.5 | 23.6 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 16.5 | 15.7 | 14.2 | 12.4 | 12.9 | 13.2 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN42: Percentage of students who currently drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46.2 | 49.0 | 40.9 |  | 37.4 | 33.3 | 33.2 | 32.3 | 27.0 | 26.1 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN43: Percentage of students who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were female or five or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a couple of hours, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.4 | 17.9 | 14.6 | 14.6 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | No change |
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| White* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2021-2022^{8} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 |  | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.9 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.8 | 16.8 | 21.2 | 23.4 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 18.6 | 18.7 | 15.2 | 14.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No change, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or differently than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine, Vicodin, OxyContin, Hydrocodone, and Percocet, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.2 | 11.0 | 12.4 | 9.2 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | Decreased |
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Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 |  | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.5 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal meth," "crank," "ice," or "meth," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.2 | 3.1 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Bel | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNOBESE: Percentage of students who had obesity (>= 95th percentile for body mass index, based on sex- and age-specific reference data from the 2000 CDC growth charts) ${ }^{\text {II }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.3 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 10.1 | 9.7 | 11.9 | 11.6 | No linear change |  | No change |
| QNOWT: Percentage of students who were overweight (>= 85th percentile but <95th percentile for body mass index, based on sex- and age-specific reference data from the 2000 CDC growth charts $)^{\text {III }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.0 | 12.4 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN68: Percentage of students who did not drink fruit juice (100\% fruit juices one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.4 | 20.5 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 25.2 | 29.3 | 33.9 | 38.0 | 38.9 | 44.2 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
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| White* <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |
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## Maryland High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2021-20222^{8} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.3 | 28.7 | 36.0 | 35.8 | 31.6 | 28.1 | 26.8 | 26.3 | 23.0 | 23.7 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QN70: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.3 | 30.8 | 30.2 | 30.5 | 32.4 | 35.9 | 37.6 | 39.3 | 41.5 | 40.0 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.6 | 29.3 | 25.3 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 32.2 | 31.6 | 37.0 | 40.1 | 36.8 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.2 | 45.5 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 44.6 | 46.1 | 47.7 | 47.0 | 51.0 | 50.9 | No linear change |  | No change |
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White*
Dietary Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Change from
2021-2022

| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

| 29.1 | 26.6 | 30.0 | 32.1 | 29.4 | 27.5 | 26.1 | 25.6 | 23.5 | 25.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 13.5 | 11.1 | 13.5 | 14.3 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 11.7 | 11.2 | 9.8 | 11.6 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN74: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (such as Coke,
Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

| 21.2 | 23.7 | 29.0 | 28.9 | 31.4 | 33.5 | 31.7 | 32.2 | Increased, 2009-2022 | Increased, 2009-2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
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## White* <br> Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change
Quadratic Change
Change from 2021-2022

| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN105: Percentage of students who spent 3 or more hours of screen time (in front of a TV, computer, smart phone, or other electronic device watching shows or videos, playing games, accessing the Internet, or using social media, not counting time spent doing schoolwork)
$75.7 \quad$ 76.2 No linear change $\quad$ Not availablell $\quad$ No change
"Non-Hispanic.
"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^93]Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN107: Percentage of students who gambled on a sports team, gambled when playing cards or a dice game, played one of their state's lottery games, gambled on the Internet, or bet on a game of personal skill such as pool or a video game (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)
20.3 15.1 18.4 Decreased, 2018-2022 Not available ${ }^{\text {III }} \quad$ Increased

QN109: Percentage of students who have a disability or long-term health problem that keeps them
from doing everyday activities (such as bathing, getting dressed, doing schoolwork, playing sports, or being with friends)

QN110: Percentage of students who reported that their family was often or sometimes worried that their food money would run out before they got money to buy more (during the 12 months before the survey)
$13.9 \quad 10.1 \quad 12.8 \quad$ Decreased, 2018-2022 $\quad$ Not available $\quad$ Increased
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Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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| White* <br> Demographic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN65: Percentage of students who described themselves as transgender. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 3.9 | Increased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | No change |

*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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QN84: Percentage of students who reported that their mental health was most of the time or always not good (including stress, anxiety, and depression, during the 30 days before the survey)
$32.8 \quad 31.2 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change
*Non-Hispanic.
"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## White* <br> Birth Control

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Change from
2021-2022 ${ }^{\text {s }}$

| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such
as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
$6.2 \quad$ 6.0 $\quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not availablell $\quad$ No change

QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
$35.8 \quad 37.2 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD, or implant, or a shot, or patch, or birth control ring before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
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## White* <br> Birth Control

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change
Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Change from 2021-2022
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}2003 & 2005 & 2007 & 2009 & 2011 & 2013 & 2014 & 2016 & 2018 & 2021 & 2022\end{array}$

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last
sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (among students who were currently sexually active)
"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | ior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN114: Percentage of students who reported that their parents or other adults in their home most of the time or always slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life)

[^98] went to jail, prison, or a detention center

Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Black* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2021-2022^{\S} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN9: Percentage of students who rode with a driver who had been drinking alcohol (in a car or other vehicle, one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.4 |  | 26.0 | 23.9 | 28.7 | 20.3 | 16.4 | 13.5 | 14.8 | 12.7 | 10.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN10: Percentage of students who drove a car or other vehicle when they had been drinking alcohol (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2018 <br> Increased, 2018-2022 | Increased |
| QN11: Percentage of students who texted or e-mailed while driving a car or other vehicle (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey, among students who had driven a car or other vehicle during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 | 16.9 | 20.3 | 17.3 | 17.4 | 25.8 | Decreased, 2013-2022 | Decreased, 2013-2018 Increased, 2018-2022 | Increased |
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| Black* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 |  | 2013 |  | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.6 | 6.7 | 4.1 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 4.0 | 3.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN14: Percentage of students who did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to or from school (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 | 9.3 | 11.3 | Increased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | Increased |
| QN15: Percentage of students who were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.2 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 9.0 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
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| Black* <br> Injury and Violence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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| Black* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | ior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN28: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.1 | 6.5 | 11.4 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 14.5 | 17.8 | 16.1 | 15.4 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who actually attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.8 | 11.2 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ | Decreased |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black* } \\ & \text { Tobacco Use } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN32: Percentage of students who smoked a cigarette before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.1 | 6.4 | 4.3 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {dr }}$ | Decreased |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.2 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.9 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN33: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.0 | 12.9 | 6.2 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | Decreased, 2005-2016 <br> Decreased, 2016-2022 | No change |
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Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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| Black* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2021-20222^{8} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 | No linear change |  | Increased |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.5 | 15.1 | 13.1 | 11.4 | 13.5 | 10.3 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 5.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Increased |
| QNTB3: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.7 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 5.7 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {dr }}$ | Increased |
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| Black* <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report


[^112]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report


[^113]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{*}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.9 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | No linear change | Increased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 1.3 | No linear change | Increased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal meth," "crank," "ice," or "meth," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 1.2 | No linear change | Increased, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
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Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |
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| Black* <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN69: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.7 | 25.1 | 19.0 | 21.3 | 21.8 | 22.1 | 22.7 | 22.1 | 24.2 | 20.5 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QNFR0: Percentage of students who did not eat fruit or drink $100 \%$ fruit juices (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.6 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 10.3 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNFR1: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices one or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55.5 | 53.9 | 59.1 | 54.6 | 55.7 | 52.4 | 49.2 | 50.3 | 48.1 | 50.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
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| Black* <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.6 | 30.3 | 35.7 | 31.5 | 30.9 | 28.8 | 26.0 | 25.9 | 23.0 | 25.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
| QN70: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 47.6 | 46.9 | 48.0 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 51.1 | 55.2 | 56.6 | 57.1 | 54.5 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45.0 | 42.5 | 48.2 | 46.0 | 44.0 | 47.4 | 48.1 | 49.0 | 51.3 | 46.9 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 73.7 | 72.3 | 68.0 | 65.1 | 65.6 | 66.2 | 68.2 | 69.0 | 73.4 | 72.0 | No linear change |  | No change |

[^120]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report


[^121]2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$ | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |  | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.7 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 23.8 |  | 20.5 | 17.9 | 18.5 | 15.3 | 19.5 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | Increased |
| QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.7 | 10.9 | 10.8 | 13.2 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 8.3 | 12.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Increased |
| QN74: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (such as Coke, Pepsi, or Sprite, not counting diet soda or diet pop, one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 23.0 | 21.5 | 26.6 | 28.1 | 30.4 | 34.6 | 35.1 | 34.7 | Increased, 2009-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
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| Black* <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
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## Black* <br> Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change
Quadratic Change ${ }^{*}$
Change from
2021-2022 ${ }^{\text {s }}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}2003 & 2005 & 2007 & 2009 & 2011 & 2013 & 2014 & 2016 & 2018 & 2021 & 2022\end{array}$

QN105: Percentage of students who spent 3 or more hours of screen time (in front of a TV, computer, smart phone, or other electronic device watching shows or videos, playing games, accessing the Internet, or using social media, not counting time spent doing schoolwork)
$76.4 \quad 75.4 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change
*Non-Hispanic.
'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Black* <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |  |

*Non-Hispanic.
'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Black* Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN107: Percentage of students who gambled on a sports team, gambled when playing cards or a dice game, played one of their state's lottery games, gambled on the Internet, or bet on a game of personal skill such as pool or a video game (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)

| 22.2 | 13.5 | 16.9 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{I I}$ | Increased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN109: Percentage of students who have a disability or long-term health problem that keeps them
from doing everyday activities (such as bathing, getting dressed, doing schoolwork, playing sports, or being with friends)
9.7 6.1 Decreased, 2021-2022 Not available Decreased

QN110: Percentage of students who reported that their family was often or sometimes worried that their food money would run out before they got money to buy more (during the 12 months before the survey)

| 30.4 | 18.6 | 23.7 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
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"Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Black* <br> Mental Health



QN84: Percentage of students who reported that their mental health was most of the time or always not good (including stress, anxiety, and depression, during the 30 days before the survey)
$25.8 \quad 26.2 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change
*Non-Hispanic.
"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Black* <br> Protective Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN121: Percentage of students who most of the time or always feel that they are able to talk to an adult in their family or another caring adult about their feelings (during their life)
31.536 .4 Increased, 2021-2022 $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ Increased

QN122: Percentage of students who would feel comfortable seeking help from one or more adults besides their parents if they had an important question affecting their life

| 86.8 | 88.4 | 81.6 | 77.5 | 75.3 | 76.6 | 74.7 | 74.6 | 69.5 | 74.0 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Non-Hispanic.
Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Black* <br> Birth Control



QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such
as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
$2.8 \quad 2.9 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change

QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
$23.2 \quad 17.6$

No linear change
Not available
Decreased

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and
birth control pills; an IUD, or implant, or a shot, or patch, or birth control ring before last sexual
intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently
sexually active)
$5.0 \quad 6.2$
No linear change
Not available
No change
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## Black*

Birth Control

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{*}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (among students who were currently sexually active)
$25.8 \quad 23.0 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ No change
*Non-Hispanic.
"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Black* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN114: Percentage of students who reported that their parents or other adults in their home most of the time or always slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up (during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 2.5 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {dl }}$ | No change |
| QN117: Percentage of students who have ever been separated from a parent or guardian because they went to jail, prison, or a detention center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.0 | 14.6 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available | Decreased |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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| Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.5 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 2.9 | No linear change | No change, 2005-2016 Decreased, 2016-2022 | Decreased |
| QN14: Percentage of students who did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to or from school (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.1 | 14.5 | 12.5 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.5 | 13.1 | 13.0 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 10.3 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 9.0 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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| Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN25: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10.8 | 14.5 | 16.2 | 14.1 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 10.1 | Decreased, 2011-2022 | Increased, 2011-2014 <br> Decreased, 2014-2022 | No change |
| QN26: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29.5 | 32.2 | 33.5 | 29.9 | 32.3 | 34.6 | 37.2 | 37.1 | 43.8 | 39.1 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN27: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.0 | 16.8 | 20.7 | 14.4 | 18.9 | 20.0 | 19.6 | 17.3 | 20.9 | 16.5 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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| Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN28: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.3 | 12.0 | 15.1 | 14.8 | 15.7 | 16.8 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 15.4 | 13.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who actually attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.5 | 10.0 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Decreased |

[^131]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN32: Percentage of students who smoked a cigarette before age 13 years (even one or two puffs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.4 | 7.7 | 4.2 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Decreased |
| QNFRCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes frequently (on 20 or more days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNDAYCIG: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes daily (on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.9 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QN33: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.1 | 21.4 | 13.8 | 12.2 | 15.4 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 4.0 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |

[^133]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNDAYCGR: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars daily (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on all 30 days during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | No linear change |  | No change |
| QNTB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.5 | 29.3 | 17.6 | 16.5 | 18.2 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 5.2 | 5.1 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNTB3: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars or used smokeless tobacco (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 10.2 | 6.1 | 5.9 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^135]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

[^136]
# 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey <br> Trend Analysis Report 

| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |

[^137]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^138]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

[^139]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |  |  |

[^140]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN41: Percentage of students who had their first drink of alcohol before age 13 years (other than a few sips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.3 | 32.2 | 26.3 |  | 24.3 |  | 19.3 | 16.5 | 14.7 | 15.7 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN42: Percentage of students who currently drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42.7 | 56.0 | 38.0 | 30.4 | 30.4 |  |  | 19.8 | 17.7 | 14.8 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN43: Percentage of students who currently were binge drinking (had four or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were female or five or more drinks of alcohol in a row if they were male, within a couple of hours, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.4 | 9.9 | 9.2 | 7.2 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^141]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.6 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 4.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.3 | 24.1 | 21.4 | 21.1 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 18.4 | 16.5 | 14.1 | 12.2 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever took prescription pain medicine without a doctor's prescription or differently than how a doctor told them to use it (counting drugs such as codeine, Vicodin, OxyContin, Hydrocodone, and Percocet, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.2 | 16.6 | 15.2 | 12.4 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Decreased |

[^142]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.1 | 12.7 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 11.2 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 2.1 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 1.3 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No change, 2005-2018 <br> Decreased, 2018-2022 | Decreased |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal meth," "crank," "ice," or "meth," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.1 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 4.8 | 2.7 | 1.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No change, 2005-2016 <br> Decreased, 2016-2022 | Decreased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN54: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA" or "Molly," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 12.7 | 9.1 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 2.8 | 2.4 | Decreased, 2005-2022 |  | No change |
| QN55: Percentage of students who ever injected any illegal drug (used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 1.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN103: Percentage of students who usually used marijuana by smoking it in a joint, bong, pipe, or blunt (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who used marijuana) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.9 | 54.3 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | No change |

[^143]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^144]Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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[^145]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Dietary Behaviors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in
subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health | isk Be | vior an | Percen |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNFR2: Percentage of students who ate fruit or drank $100 \%$ fruit juices two or more times per day (such as orange juice, apple juice, or grape juice, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35.8 | 32.2 | 35.3 | 36.6 | 34.1 | 29.7 | 26.1 | 28.5 | 25.6 | 25.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN70: Percentage of students who did not eat green salad (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27.4 | 30.9 | 42.1 | 35.4 | 35.1 | 40.9 | 44.5 | 43.6 | 46.8 | 42.7 | Increased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN71: Percentage of students who did not eat potatoes (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35.8 | 42.5 | 30.8 | 41.8 | 36.5 | 40.4 | 41.1 | 41.5 | 41.4 | 37.0 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Decreased |
| QN72: Percentage of students who did not eat carrots (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.1 | 54.7 | 52.8 | 51.7 | 48.6 | 51.3 | 52.0 | 51.7 | 55.6 | 53.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN73: Percentage of students who did not eat other vegetables (one or more times during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.3 | 19.8 | 28.5 | 23.6 | 21.5 | 23.1 | 24.4 | 21.2 | 20.4 | 21.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.0 | 9.4 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 10.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad, potatoes [excluding french fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 61.8 | 57.3 | 51.8 | 53.0 | 60.5 | 55.6 | 52.7 | 56.0 | 52.0 | 56.0 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Increased |

2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
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| Hispanic <br> Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Maryland High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Hispanic Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 18.2 | 18.2 | 15.4 | 13.5 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 15.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN79: Percentage of students who had a concussion from playing a sport or being physically active (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.0 | 18.3 | 14.8 | 15.1 | Decreased, 2016-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | No change |
| QNDLYPE: Percentage of students who attended physical education (PE) classes on all 5 days (in an average week when they were in school) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.6 | 20.4 | 16.0 | 18.9 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 17.3 | 17.0 | 16.1 | 17.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

[^146]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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QN105: Percentage of students who spent 3 or more hours of screen time (in front of a TV, computer smart phone, or other electronic device watching shows or videos, playing games, accessing the Internet, or using social media, not counting time spent doing schoolwork)
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Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |  |

[^147]${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN107: Percentage of students who gambled on a sports team, gambled when playing cards or a dice game, played one of their state's lottery games, gambled on the Internet, or bet on a game of personal skill such as pool or a video game (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.5 | 17.9 | 17.8 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | No change |
| QN109: Percentage of students who have a disability or long-term health problem that keeps them from doing everyday activities (such as bathing, getting dressed, doing schoolwork, playing sports, or being with friends) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.0 | 6.0 | Decreased, 2021-2022 | Not available | Decreased |
| QN110: Percentage of students who reported that their family was often or sometimes worried that their food money would run out before they got money to buy more (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.7 | 21.4 | 26.0 | Decreased, 2018-2022 | Not available | Increased |
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| Hispanic <br> Mental Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 20032005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QN84: Percentage of students who reported that their mental health was most of the time or always not good (including stress, anxiety, and depression, during the 30 days before the survey)
$28.2 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS <br> Maryland High School Survey <br> Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Protective Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2021-2022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |
| QN121: Percentage of students who most of the time or always feel that they are able to talk to an adult in their family or another caring adult about their feelings (during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.0 | 32.8 | Increased, 2021-2022 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Increased |
| QN122: Percentage of students who would feel comfortable seeking help from one or more adults besides their parents if they had an important question affecting their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 80.1 | 77.0 | 77.9 | 71.8 | 71.2 | 72.8 | 71.4 | 70.2 | 65.5 | 69.6 | Decreased, 2005-2022 | No quadratic change | Increased |

[^150]${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Hispanic <br> Birth Control



QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
2.3 3.1 No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }} \quad$ No change

QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD, or implant, or a shot, or patch, or birth control ring before last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^151]
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Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic Birth Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 20032005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | 2021 | 2022 |  |  |  |

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse with an opposite-sex partner (among students who were currently sexually active)
21.0 19.4 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

## 2022 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

Maryland High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report


[^152]Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.


[^0]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^1]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^2]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^3]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^4]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^5]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^6]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^7]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^8]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^9]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^10]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^11]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^12]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^13]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^14]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^15]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^16]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^17]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^18]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^19]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^20]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^21]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^22]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^23]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^24]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^25]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^26]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^27]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^28]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^29]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^30]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^31]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^32]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^33]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^34]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^35]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^36]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    §Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^37]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^38]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^39]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^40]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^41]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^42]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^43]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^44]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^45]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^46]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^47]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^48]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^49]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^50]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^51]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^52]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^53]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^54]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^55]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^56]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^57]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^58]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^59]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^60]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^61]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^62]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^63]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^64]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^65]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^66]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\top}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^67]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^68]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^69]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^70]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    

[^71]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^72]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^73]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^74]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^75]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^76]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^77]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^78]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^79]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^80]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^81]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\star}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on $t$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^82]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{4}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^83]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^84]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\|}$Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^85]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{*}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{4}$ IOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

[^86]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^87]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\star}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^88]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^89]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^90]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^91]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^92]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^93]:    *Non-Hispanic.

[^94]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {Il }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^95]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^96]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^97]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\|}$Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^98]:    QN117: Percentage of students who have ever been separated from a parent or guardian because they

[^99]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^100]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^101]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^102]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\top}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^103]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^104]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^105]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^106]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^107]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^108]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^109]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^110]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^111]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^112]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^113]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^114]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^115]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^116]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^117]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^118]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{*}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{4}$ IOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

[^119]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^120]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\star}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^121]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\top}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^122]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^123]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^124]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\top}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^125]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^126]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^127]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^128]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^129]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    "Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^130]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^131]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^132]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^133]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^134]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^135]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^136]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^137]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^138]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^139]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^140]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^141]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^142]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^143]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^144]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^145]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^146]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^147]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^148]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^149]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^150]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^151]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    'Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
    ${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^152]:    *Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

