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## RACIAL DISPARITIES IN HEAD AND NECK CANCER

## Race - a neglected biomarker in cancer

- African American men with cancer are $30 \%$ more likely to die than whites
- African American women with breast cancer are $17 \%$ more likely to die than whites


## All Sites - Cancer Mortality Rates 1973-2004 By Race, Males and Females



Incidence and mortality rates per 100,000 and age-adjusted to 2000 US standard population SEER Cancer Statistics Review 1975-2004.

## Survival Rates RMS Titanic

Concept of Dr. Lisa Newman
First Class 60\%

Second Class 43\%

Third Class 20\%

## Black patients with locally advanced HNSCC show poor survival compared to whites - RTOG 9003, 9501

RTOG 9003


RTOG 9501


## Black patients with locally advanced HNSCC show poor survival compared to whites - TAX 324
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## HPV Positive Cases by Race - TAX 324

| Race | HPV <br> negative | HPV <br> positive | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White | $130,66 \%$ | $66,34 \%$ | 196 |
| Black | $28,97 \%$ | $1,3 \%$ | 29 |
| Total | 158 | 67 | 225 |
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Whites 10 times more likely than blacks to be HPV positive $p=0.0003$

## Impact of Race on Survival TAX 324 Study



Racial disparity is due to large number of white patients with good prognosis HPV positive tumors - rate of HPV positive tumors very low in blacks.

## HPV and Race

## Validation studies - MD Anderson and U Maryland
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## Discussion

We found no evidence of disparities in survival for either African American or Hispanic American patients with SCCHN compared with similar non-Hispanic white patients who received similar multidisciplinary-team directed treatment at a tertiary cancer center.... The site-specific disparity we found among oropharyngeal cancer patients despite careful matching on smoking and treatment is consistent with a recent report of an unmatched single-institution study (worse disease-free and overall survival for African American oropharyngeal cancer patients) and suggests that a biology-based factor underlies this site-specific disparity.

## U. Maryland expanded retrospective analysis - 311 OPC patients 1992-2007

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the 311 study patients. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Characteristic | Value |
| Race-no.(\%) |  |
| White | $196(59)$ |
| Black | $134(41)$ |
| Sex- no.(\%) |  |
| Male | $270(82)$ |
| Female | $59(18)$ |
| Age at the end of treatment for 300 subjects -yr |  |
| Median | 56 |
| Range | $35-94$ |
| Smoking status-no. (\%) |  |
| Yes | $259(78)$ |
| No | $34(10)$ |
| Unknown | $37(11)$ |
| ETOH use -no.(\%) |  |
| Yes | $202(61)$ |
| No | $90(27)$ |
| Unknown | $38(12)$ |
| Stage -no. (\%) |  |
| I-III | $84(25)$ |
| IV |  |
| Unknown | $937(72)$ |
| HPV status |  |
| Negative | $132(40)$ |
| Positive |  |
| Unknown | $134(41)$ |

## Race and HPV - U. Maryland Confirmation Set (only pts with complete clinical data)

| Ethnicity | HPV status |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | HPV+ | HPV- |  |
| Black | $9\left(13 \%^{*}\right)$ | 60 | 69 |
| White | $49(49 \%)$ | 52 | 101 |
| Total | 58 | 112 | 170 |

## \% HPV positive OPC by year 1992-2007 All patients



Proportion of patients who were tested HPV positive from 1992 to 2007

## HPV Positive OPC by year University of Maryland

| OSCC | Black |  |  |  | White |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1992-95$ | $1996-99$ | $2000-03$ | $2004-08$ | $1992-95$ | $1996-99$ | $2000-03$ | $2004-08$ |
| Age at Diagnosis, Median (N) | $53(23)$ | $56(39)$ | $55(53)$ | $55(43)$ | $58(23)$ | $59(42)$ | $58(83)$ | $55(77)$ |
| Male, \% (N) | $83(23)$ | $92(39)$ | $85(53)$ | $88(43)$ | $79(24)$ | $84(43)$ | $76(82)$ | $81(80)$ |
| Ever smokers, \% (N) | $95(20)$ | $93(30)$ | $98(41)$ | $90(40)$ | $93(15)$ | $81(27)$ | $84(67)$ | $83(69)$ |
| Ever drinkers, \% (N) | $85(20)$ | $83(30)$ | $78(40)$ | $83(40)$ | $80(15)$ | $59(27)$ | $60(68)$ | $58(69)$ |
| Tumor HPV16 positive, \% (N) | $0(18)$ | $13(16)$ | $20(20)$ | $22(23)$ | $33(15)$ | $43(21)$ | $40(42)$ | $50(44)$ |

## Multivariable Cox Anal. (path samples only)

| Factor | HR, 95\% CI | p-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Race | 1.0 |  |
| White | $1.9,1.2-2.9$ | 0.01 |
| Black | 1.0 | 0.02 |
| Gender | $2.0,1.1-3.4$ |  |
| M |  | 0.74 |
| F | 1.0 |  |
| Smoking status | $0.9,0.4-1.9$ | 0.34 |
| No | 1.0 |  |
| Yes | $1.3,0.7-2.3$ | 0.004 |
| Alcohol use |  |  |
| No | 1.0 |  |
| Yes | $2.3,1.3-4.0$ |  |
| HPV status |  |  |
| Positive |  |  |
| Negative |  |  |

## Where do we go from here?

$\square$ HPV is causing an emerging epidemic of non cervical cancer first in whites and soon in minority populations

- Vaccination rates for girls in US now are approximately $15 \%$ v $85 \%$ in Canada and UK.
$\square$ Public Health professionals must redouble efforts to promote HPV vaccination for boys as well as girls.

