Maryland Board of Pharmacy Public Board Meeting

Meeting Minutes November 20, 2019

Name	Title	Present	Absent
Ashby, D.	Commissioner		
Bouyoukas, S.	Commissioner		X
Evans, K.	Commissioner		
Hardesty, J.	Commissioner/Treasurer		
Leikach, N.	Commissioner		
Morgan, K.	Commissioner/President		
Oliver, B.	Commissioner		
Rusinko, K.	Commissioner/Secretary		
Singal, S.	Commissioner		
Yankellow, E.	Commissioner		X
Bethman, L.	Board Counsel		
Felter, B.	Staff Attorney		
Speights-Napata, D.	Executive Director		
Fields, E.	Deputy Director of Operations		
James, D.	Licensing Manager		
Leak, T.	Compliance Director		
Clark, B.	Legislative Liaison		
Chew, C.	Management Associate		

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results

I. Executive Committee	A.) K. Morgan, Board President	Members of the Board with a conflict of interest relating to any item on the agenda are advised to		
Report(s)		notify the Board at this time or when the issue is addressed in the agenda.		
		1. Call to Order 9:32 am		
		2. Sign-in Introduction and of meeting attendees – (Please indicate on sign-in sheet if you are requesting CE Units for attendance)		
		3. Distribution of Agenda and packet materials		
	B.) K. Rusinko, Secretary	4. Review and approve October 2019 Public Meeting Minutes	Motion by D. Ashby approval of October 2019 Public Meeting minutes; 2 nd by N. Leikach	The Board voted to approve this motion.
II. A. Executive Director Report	D. Speights- Napata, Executive Director	1. Pharmacy School Intern-Sandra Nzounkwelle	Deena Speights-Napata introduced Sandra Nzounkwelle intern from UMES.	
		2. New Board Commissioner-Surinder Singal	2. Deena Speights-Napata introduced incoming Commissioner Surinder Singal to the Board.	
		3. MSHP Fall Seminar	3. Deena Speights-Napata attended the MSHP Fall Seminar and conducted a presentation to the leadership group	

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
		4. Board Holiday Closures-Nov. 28 th and 29th	highlighting the work the Board is doing, and upcoming meetings for 2020. 4. In observance of Thanksgiving and American Indian Heritage day; the Board office will be closed November 28 and 29.	
B. Operations Report	E. Fields, Deputy Director/ Operations	 Procurement and Budget Updates a: October 2019 Financial Statements b: Fine income YTD collected is	1a. A report on board revenue for October was provided. 1b. Compliance fines collected this month is above average. 2. Inspectors will be provided with new laptops to perform inspections in a timely manner.	
C. Licensing	D. James, Licensing Manager	1. Unit Updates 2. Monthly Statistics License New Renewed Reinstated Total Type		

Subject	Party		Discussion			(Assigned to)	Results
	·					-	•
	1		1				
		Distributor 19	13	0	1,345		
		Pharmacy 9	0	0	2,048		
			ľ		2,040		
		Pharmacist 86	506	0	13,397		
		Vaccination 63	155	0	4,884		
		DI 4					
		Pharmacy 4	0	0	51		
		Intern -					
		Graduates					
		Pharmacy 15	17	0	779		
		Intern -					
		Students					
		Pharmacy 104	357	3	10,007		
		Technician					
		Pharmacy 3	0	0	29		
		Technician	V	0			
		Student					
		TOTAL 303	1,048	3	31,623		
			'				
D. Compliance	T. Leak,	1. Unit Updates	S				
_	Compliance						
	Director	2. Monthly Star	usucs				
		Complaints & Inves	tigations:				
		New Complaints –34					
		Customer Ser					
		 Employee Pil 	ferage – 1				

Responsible

Action Due Date

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
Subject	1 arty	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		 Disciplinary Action in Another State -3 Refusal to Fill -1 Unprofessional Conduct - 4 Fraud- 1 Medication Error - 4 Unlicensed Personnel - 2 Dispensing Error - 4 Child Support - 2 Inspection Issues -7 Resolved (Including Carryover) - 28 Actions within Goad - 28/28 Final disciplinary actions taken -10 Summary Actions Taken -0 Average days to complete -0 Inspections: Total - 157 Annual Inspections - 4 Closing inspections - 7 Relocation/Change of Ownership Inspections - 2 Board Special Investigation Inspections - 4 		
E. Legislation & Regulations	B. Clark, Legislative Liaison	Health Occupations 12-504 Amendment Amendments to the generic substitution statute have been proposed by the Board. We are currently working through the bill with DLS. DLS has made some minor linguistic changes, but the substance of the bill remains fully intact. PDMP Statute Statutory amendments are being introduced that would give PDMP the authority to refer cases to OCSA when it finds evidence that there may be a		

a	Responsible	_, .	Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		violation of law or professional standards. As part of		
		the process, the Board and other stakeholders have		
		been asked to provide input on issues that PDMP may		
		be able to identify through its data for reporting.		
III. Committee	J. Hardesty,	Sherry Gregor – America's Pharmacy Source: I		
Reports	Commissioner	am wondering what the proper protocol is for a		
		pharmacist to change a patient prescription		
A. Practice		(maintenance medication) refill from a 12 month/30		
Committee		quantity supply to a 4 month/90 quantity supply		
		Proposed response: Under Health Occupations	Recommendation by Committee	The Board voted to approve
		Article § 12-512(c)(2), a pharmacist may dispense up	to approve draft response; 2 nd by	this motion.
		to a 90-day supply of a prescription drug pursuant to	D. Ashby.	
		refills written by an authorized provider, provided		
		that 1) the pharmacist is not dispensing an initial fill		
		of the prescription or a change in the prescription; and		
		2) the drug being dispensed is not a controlled		
		substance.		
		Tribo Doggo con Applicant #121454. There was a		
		Tyika Bassagou – Applicant #121454: There was a		
		question with no right answer.		
		What is permissible to delegate to a pharmacy		
		technician?		
		- Transferring non-controlled substance prescription		
		- Transferred non- controlled substance prescription		
		- Accepting new Prescription		
		- Independently compounding		
		The Law says that that a technician cannot do all this.		
		I thing the right answer might be "accepting new		
		prescription at a drop off window"		
		r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r		
		Proposed response: Assuming that either A or B	Recommendation by Committee	The Board voted to approve
		referred to controlled substances rather than non-	to approve draft 2 nd by B. Oliver.	this motion.
		controlled, then the answer referencing the transfer of		
		a controlled substance prescription would be the		
		correct response. (COMAR 10.34.34.03)		

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		Joshua Ryan – MedStar Franklin Square Medical Center: Franklin Square Medical Center operates an inpatient pharmacy as well as an outpatient oncology infusion physician practice in Bel Air, MD. As there is no pharmacy at the Bel Air Office, we would like to have pharmacists from Franklin Square review		
		orders and make modifications to orders/labels after consulting with physicians. In the EMR this will list them as the dispensing pharmacist even though they are not physically present to dispense. We were going to outline in a policy what the term "dispensed by" meant at this site to reflect appropriate practice. I look forward to hearing back if we can begin having our pharmacist remote review physician orders for an offsite clinic. Karla Evans Recused		
		<u>Proposed response:</u> A pharmacist can participate in this manner provided that it's a clinical review and not a review of the final product. In addition, records should reflect the health care provider who actually prepared the product.	Recommendation by Committee to approve draft response; 2 nd by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		Laura Pone – Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff LLP: Is a chart order from a long term care facility considered a valid order for the purpose of dispensing discharge medications to patients that are ready to be discharged?		
		Proposed response: Provided that all labeling requirements are met and all necessary elements (e.g. quantity) are contained in the chart order, then the chart order would be a valid order for the purpose for dispensing discharge medication.	Recommendation by Committee to approve draft response 2 nd by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		Ayman Ness: My question is are we still should not exceed the 5 percent??		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
Subject	rarty	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		To be very clear, we order and sell to other places on small scale, so we order from Amerisource or McKesson and send to other places including government. Should we still follow the 5%? Kindly please let me know if our registration as a distributor is enough. Any other conditions that we have to consider or any other important notes related to the distribution and we are not considering CONTROLLED items in my question as we don't work on it. Proposed response: If you are distributing under your distributor permit, the 5% rule does not apply. The "5% rule" contained in Health Occupations Article § 12-6C-01(v) (2) (xii) only applies to pharmacies that are engaging in wholesale distribution; it does not apply to duly permitted wholesale distributors. Raymond Lake – MedStar Health Inc: From a BOP perspective, what are your thoughts on whether we can implement IV Prep at Bel Air, which is a non-Pharmacy Oncology clinic, with remote checking to be done by Pharmacists in the Loch Raven facility managed by MFSMC? Presently, a Med Tech and Nurse prepare/check oncology and non-oncology sterile admixtures at Bel Air. Will this require writing a letter to the MD BOP asking for permission to use this technology as I've indicated? Karla Evans Recused Proposed response: A pharmacist can participate in this manner provided that it's a clinical review and	Recommendation by Committee to approve draft response 2 nd by D. Ashby.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		not a review of the final product. In addition, the record should reflect the health care provider who actually prepared the product.		

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
Subject	Responsible Party	Any questions regarding med techs for med techs should be directed to the Maryland Board of Nursing. Shirish Patil – Chase Brexton Health Care: At our Healthcare Center, we have a Medication Support Team (MST) that prepares weekly/bi-weekly pill boxes for patients that are either challenged or incapable of organizing and preparing their own daily medication regimen. The patient specific medication supplies used in preparation of these pill boxes are prepared in our regular Pharmacy and accuracy verified by a pharmacist per standard pharmacy practice. The pill boxes are then prepared in the MST medication room by a certified pharmacy technician. We have the following two questions in regards to checking of these pill boxes prior to dispensation to a patient:	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
		1) Can these filled pill boxes be checked by a licensed nurse?2) Can these pill boxes be checked by another certified pharmacy technician?		
		Proposed response: Once the medication has been dispensed to the patient or patient's agent (the "MST"), any further manipulation of the packaging is not under the purview of the Maryland Board of Pharmacy. It is permissible for a pharmacy technician to perform this check, but it should be noted that because the medication is considered to be dispensed once it is handed over to the MST, the technician	Motion by Committee to approve draft response 2 nd by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

G 11	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
Subject	Party	would not be performing a designated pharmacy act in this scenario. Your question regarding whether a nurse may check pill boxes should be directed to the Maryland Board of Nursing, as this is a question regarding a nurse's scope of practice. Sarah Benner: I'm the psychiatric clinical pharmacist at PRMC in Salisbury. I'm emailing for clarification regarding pharmacist scope of practice regarding administration of IM non-vaccinations on hospital	(Assigned to)	Results
		grounds for non-inpatients. Proposed response: Pharmacists are currently only permitted to administer vaccinations pursuant to Md. Code Ann., Health Occ. § 12-508 and self-administered drugs pursuant to Md. Code Ann., Health Occ. § 12-509. Pharmacists thus may not administer non-vaccination IM injections, except to the extent that they are self-administered drugs as defined under Md. Code Ann., Health Occ. § 12-509.	Recommendation by Committee to approve draft response; 2 nd by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
B. Licensing Committee	D. Ashby, Chair	a. #120685- The applicant is requesting ADA Testing accommodation for the MPJE and NAPLEX exams. He is requesting an additional two hours for both exams. The applicant has test anxiety agitation, and poor concentration. (Regular exam time is four hours long) Committee's recommendation: Approve	1a . Recommendation by Committee to approve 2 additional hours of exam testing; 2 nd by J. Hardesty.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		b. #123463- The applicant is requesting ADA Testing accommodation for the MPJE and NAPLEX exams. She would like an	1b . Recommendation by Committee to approve 4	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
Subject	1 arty	Discussion	(Indignet to)	results
		additional 4 hours, reduced distraction, and written text exams. The applicant's diagnosis of her disability are anxiety, panic attacks, and other physiological arousal, ADHD with insufficient symptoms of inattention and hyperactivity. (Regular exam time is four hours long) Committee's recommendation: Approve	additional hours of exam testing; 2 nd by N. Leikach.	
		c. #119576- The applicant is requesting an additional 1.5 hours for his ADA Testing accommodations for the MPJE exam. The applicant has been diagnosed with ADD. (Regular exam time is four hours long) Committee's recommendation: Approve	1c. Recommendation by Committee to approve 1.5 additional hours of exam testing; 2 nd by K. Rusinko.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		d. #118757- The applicant is requesting a four-month extension of his NAPLEX ATT eligibility date and MDBOP application. The applicant was granted his ATT eligibility on 10/10/2018, which he never utilized. This is his first eligibility date extension request addressing the Board.	1d . Recommendation by Committee to approve eligibility date extension and MDBOP application; 2 nd by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		e. MB- Pharmacist MB request for refund was denied at the September 2019 Board meeting. He is requesting reconsideration. He has not provided additional information. Committee's Recommendation: Deny	1e . Recommendation by Committee to deny refund; 2 nd by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		f. HU - Pharmacist HU is requesting a waiver of the reinstatement process. He renewed online 08/28/2019, and answered 'no" to question # 11, Have you completed the required CE? An email was sent on	1f . Recommendation by Committee to deny waiver of reinstatement process; 2 nd by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
,	_ = ====		(
		08/29/2019 requesting proof of CE's, no response was received. Committee's Recommendation: Deny		
		2. Review of Pharmacy Intern Applications: NONE		
		3. Review of Pharmacy Technician Applications: NONE		
		4. Review of Distributor Applications: NONE		
		5. Review of Pharmacy Applications: NONE		
		6. Review of Pharmacy Technicians Training Programs: NONE		
		7. Continuing Education Hours Requests:		
		a. Ashley Rzepinnik - Ms. Rzepinnik requests the denial of the CE request be reconsidered. Her request for reconsideration is based on the fact that for the past 5 years the same program has been approved and that the program has not changed. DAN ASHBY AND KRIS RUSINKO RECUSED Committee's Recommendation: Approve for 2 hours.	7a. Recommendation by Committee to approve 2 hours; 2 nd by N. Leikach.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		b. Carlita Kearney - Ms. Kearney would like the reason/reconsideration for denial of the CE program. DAN ASHBY AND KRIS RUSINKO RECUSED Committee's Recommendation: Approve for 7 hours.	7b . Recommendation by Committee to approve 7 hours; 2 nd by K. Evans.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
		c. Ali Dalili- Requesting CE approval as an attendee. <i>Committee's Recommendation: Deny</i>	7c. Recommendation by Committee to deny; 2 nd by K. Evans.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		d. Hye Jin Pak - Requesting CE approval as an attendee. Committee's Recommendation: Approve for 1.5 hours	7d . Recommendation by Committee to approve 1. 5 CE	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		8. New Business:		
		a. Healix Infusion Therapy LLC - At the September 2019 Board meeting a 30-day extension was granted to allow for operations at the company's new facility while awaiting VAWD inspection. Due to delays the company is requesting additional time. The company is requesting a 60-day extension. Committee's Recommendation: Approve	8a . Recommendation by Committee to approve; 2 nd by K. Evans.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		b. CRX Specialty Solutions Pharmacy -Is requesting an extension to the 60 day Maryland Pharmacist replacement. The future MD pharmacist, John Sullivan is pending Committee and Board review. The previous MD Pharmacist (Steven Boyd) resigned effective 09/15/2019. Committee's Recommendation: Approve	8b . Recommendation by Committee to approve; 2 nd by K. Evans.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		c. Y.A Registrant YA is requesting the hours earned as a technician to be used as intern hours. She held a technician registration from 04/28/2016 to 03/28/2019. Her intern registration was issued 03/28/2019. Hours earned as a	8c . Recommendation by Committee to deny; 2 nd by N. Leikach.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
		technician can be counted towards intern hours if accrued on or before 10/01/2015. Committee's Recommendation: Deny		
C. Public Relations Committee	D. Ashby, Commissioner	Public Relations Committee Update:		
D. Disciplinary	J. Hardesty Chair	 Disciplinary Committee Update: Committee met November 6. A confidential discussion will be held in the Board's Closed Public Session. 		
E. Emergency Preparedness Task Force	N. Leikach, Chair	 Emergency Preparedness Task Force Update: A 3-hour Point of Distribution exercise was held at Notre Dame on November 5, during that exercise 40 students signed up to be volunteers. A 2-hour lecture was held at University of Maryland Baltimore on emergency preparedness. 		

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results

IV. Other Business & FYI	K. Morgan, President	1. Commissioner overnight stipend compensation—increase \$250—Kevin Morgan	1. Recommendation by Executive Committee to change stipend compensation; 2 nd by K. Evans.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		2. Schools of Pharmacy Committee Meeting— Karla Evans	Partnership with Deans to educate future pharmacist of the processes and the successfulness of MPJE exam through the pretest.	
V. Adjournment	K. Morgan, President	A. The Public Meeting was adjourned at 10:39 am.	Motion to close the Public Board Meeting by D. Ashby; 2 nd by K. Evans.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		B. K. Morgan convened a Closed Public Session to conduct a medical review committee evaluation of confidential applications.		
		C. The Closed Public Session was adjourned. Immediately thereafter, K. Morgan, convened an Administrative Session for purposes of discussing confidential disciplinary cases.		
		D. With the exception of cases requiring recusals, the Board members present at the Public Meeting continued to participate in the Closed Public Session and the Administrative Session		