## Maryland Board of Pharmacy Public Board Meeting

## Meeting Minutes October 17, 2018

Name	Title	Present	Absent
Ashby, D.	Commissioner		
Bouyoukas, S.	Commissioner		
Evans, K.	Commissioner		
Hardesty, J.	Commissioner/Treasurer		X
Laws Jr, A.	Commissioner		
Leikach, N.	Commissioner		X
Morgan, K.	Commissioner/President		
Oliver, B.	Commissioner		
Peters, R.	Commissioner		
Toney, R.	Commissioner/Secretary		
Yankellow, E.	Commissioner		
Bethman, L.	Board Counsel		
Felter, B.	Staff Attorney		
Speights-Napata, D.	Executive Director		
Fields, E.	Deputy Director of Operations		
Brand, E.	Licensing, Legislative and Regulation Manager		
Evans, T.	Compliance Director		
Chew, C.	Management Associate		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
	2	21000000	(Abbighed to)	Results
I. Executive Committee Report(s)	A.) K. Morgan, Board President	Members of the Board with a conflict of interest relating to any item on the agenda are advised to notify the Board at this time or when the issue is addressed in the agenda.		
		1. Call to Order 9:32 a.m.		
		2. Sign-in Introduction and of meeting attendees – (Please indicate on sign-in sheet if you are requesting CE Units for attendance)	•	
	B.) R. Toney,	3. Distribution of Agenda and packet materials		
	Secretary	4. Review and approve September 2018 Public Meeting Minutes	Motion by D. Ashby to approve the August 2018 Public Meeting minutes; 2nd E. Yankellow.	4. The Board voted to approve this motion.
II. A. Executive Director Report	D. Speights- Napata, Executive	1. Operations Updates		
	Director	2. Meetings Update		
		NABP District 1 & 2 Regional Meeting 9/20-22	2a) Commissioner Peters, District 2 Vice President, provided an update of the District meeting that was held in Washington, DC on September 20-21st.	
		NABP Executive Director Forum10/1-3	2b) D. Speights-Napata, Executive Director provided an	

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
		MSHP Fall Seminar/PLG Meeting10/5	update of the NABP Executive Director Forum held in Chicago, IL on October 1st-3rd.  2c) D. Speights-Napata attended the MSHP seminar, brought	
			greetings on behalf of the Board, provided an update on regulatory changes, and information on the CE Breakfast.	
		Grand Opening CVS Mock Pharmacy     Training Center at Goodwill Industries 10/11	2d) D. Speights-Napata represented the Board at the CVS mock pharmacy on October 11 <sup>th</sup>	
		MDH Career Fair10/23	2e) There will be representation from the Board at the MDH Career Fair on October 23 <sup>rd</sup> .	
		• CE Breakfast10/28	2f) The CE Breakfast will be held October 28th at the Sheraton Towson. At this moment, 311 individuals are registered for the breakfast and 135 are registered for the web presentation.	
		Notre Dame School of Pharmacy10/301st year student orientation	2g) D. Speights-Napata will accompany a representative from the University of Maryland Rehabilitation Services Contract for a brief presentation for 1st year pharmacy students.	
		APhA-ASP Mid-year Regional Meeting11/3	2h) APhA-ASP will be hosting their international student	

Subject	Responsible Party		Discı	ussion			Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
		November Dates and			Board Meeti and 12/19	ng	segment at the Radisson on November 3rd.  2i) The November 21st and December 19th meetings will take place in room 110.	
B. Operations Report	E. Fields, Deputy Director/ Operations	1. Procurem a) Septem  2. Managem Unit Upda	nber 201 nent Inf	18 Finano	cial	MIS)	1a. A report on Board revenue for July through September was provided. Board revenue is expected to be impacted by System Automations upgrades.	
C. Licensing	E. Brand/ Licensing Regulations Manager	1. Unit Upda  2. Monthly S		S				
		Туре	New	Renewed	Reinstated	Total		
		Distributor 6 Pharmacy 9		433	0	1,297		

	Responsible	İ					Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	<u></u>	D	iscussion			(Assigned to)	Results
		Pharmacist	17	0	0	2,017	TI T	
			1			2,017		
		Vaccination	86	10		A (15	4	
		Vaccination	80	10	0	4,615		
							Ш	
		Pharmacy	2	0	0	50		
		Intern -						
		Graduates					<u>l</u>	
		Pharmacy	18	11	0	922		
		Intern -						
		Students					Ш	
		Pharmacy	112	269	2	9,822		
		Technician					<u>l</u>	
		Pharmacy	7	0	0	13		
		Technician						
		Student					1	
		TOTAL	342	723	2	30,745		
							1	
D. Compliance	T. Evans	1. Unit Up	dotos					
D. Comphance	Compliance	-					2. T. Evans provided an	
	Director	2. Monthl	y Statis	stics			overview of the complaints and	
		Complaints &	Investi	gations:			investigations in the previous	
		New Complaint	s –17				month.	
		Child Support –	1					
		Disciplinary Ac	tions in	Another	State – 1			
		Medication Erro		ı				
		Employee Pilfer FDA 483 – 1	age – 3	•				
		Refusal to Fill –	. 2					
		Inspection Issue						
		Sterile Compour		- 1				
		<u> </u>						

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
3.3,000			(1200)	Attouring
7710		Resolved (Including Carryover) –48 Actions within Goal – 18/48 Final disciplinary actions taken – 10 Summary Actions Taken – 2 Average days to complete -40		
		Inspections:  Total – 134 Annual Inspections – 118 Opening Inspections – 9 Closing Inspections – 1 Relocation/Change of Ownership Inspections – 2 Board Special Investigation Inspections – 4		
E. Legislation & Regulations	E. Brand Licensing, Legislation and Regulations Manager	Proposed COMAR 10.34.05.05 Security Responsibilities      COMAR 10.34.32.03 D Requirements to Administer Vaccinations	1. This regulation is currently awaiting approval from the Office of Regulation.  2. Motion by D. Ashby for final adoption; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by S. Boyoukas.	The Board voted to approve this motion
		3. COMAR 10.34.30 Applications	3. This regulation will allow the Board to withdraw applications after 1 year, submitted to the Office of Regulation October 12 <sup>th</sup> and is awaiting approval from the Office of Regulation.	
		4. Proposed COMAR 10.34.09 Fees	4. This regulation will eliminate fees for technician programs previously approved by the Board,	

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
Sasjee		2.000.001	(Mongree to)	Results
		Legislation  Generic Substitution Revision 12-504	submitted September 18 <sup>th</sup> to the Governor's office for approval.  The proposal to substitute the brand name for generic will not move forward.	
III. Committee Reports  A. Practice Committee	R. Peters, Chair	A. Anita Dopkosky  I work as a consultant to pharmaceutical manufacturers. We are working with a specific pharmaceutical manufacturer who makes a product for Cystic Fibrosis. This products needs to be taken with a fat containing food to aid in absorption of the product. To provide a convenience to the patient, the manufacturer is asking if the specialty pharmacies who ship this product direct to patients can include a fat containing snack in the same shipment/box that contains the dispensed prescription. Examples may include coconut chips, granola bars, etc. The goal is to help the patient and aid them in compliance by providing the fat containing product they can ingest when taking their medication.  We are inquiring with various state boards of pharmacy to see if shipping a packaged food product in the same box as the prescription is an acceptable practice. Being a licensed pharmacist in the state of MD and PA, I am aware of the regulations of not allowing food to be stored in a pharmacy, but since this is in support of patient care, we are asking for input.  Recommended response:	a) Motion by D. Ashby to approve draft response; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by E. Yankellow.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Subject	Responsible	Disaussian	Action Due Date	Dogulés
Subject	Farty	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
Subject	Responsible Party	It is permissible to package food products in the same box as prescriptions.  B. Deena Speights-Napata (Questions from Contraceptive Stakeholders Meeting)  1. How can we ensure uniform or standardized patient education materials from pharmacy to pharmacy?  2. Will pharmacists be able to prescribe Depo Provera IM even though they are not administering the therapy? Is this a self-administered medication?  3. Who are the insurers and payers? What is the reimbursement model planned for pharmacists prescribing hormonal contraception? Who is	b) Motion to approve recommendation by committee S. Bouyoukas to approve draft response; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by K. Evans.	Results
		prescribing hormonal contraception? Who is responsible for leading an insurance forum and getting the payers together in one room for further discussion?		
		Recommended responses:		
		1. Uniform/ standardized patient education materials are not required by the regulation.		
		2. Depo Provera IM may be prescribed by a pharmacist under the regulation, but may not be administered by a pharmacist.		
		3. This question should be directed to MIA and Medicaid. It relates to insurance, which is outside the purview of the Board of Pharmacy.		
		C. Board Membership		

2.11	Responsible	5	Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		On July 18, 2018 the Board voted to pursue the issue of adding a technician to the Board. The Board referred the matter to the Practice Committee.  Recommendation:	c) Motion to approve recommendation by committee B. Oliver to approve draft response; 2nd by A. Laws, Jr.	
		The Committee recommends adding a technician to the membership of the Board. This would result in a 13-member Board. The technician must be a member of ASHP, Maryland Pharmacists Association, Maryland Pharmaceutical Society, ASCP or other professional association.	After a brief discussion E. Brand, Legislative Regulation and Licensing Manager, will draft language for review.	
		A proposal will be prepared for the 2020 legislative session.		
		D. What type of specialty training is required to practice Drug Therapy Management?		
		Recommendation:		
		The Committee recommends adding a question to the DTM application requesting what type of specialty training the pharmacist has completed.	d) Motion to approve recommendation by Committee, D. Ashby; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by S. Bouyoukas.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results

B. Licensing Committee	D. Ashby, Chair	1. Review of Pharmacist Applications:		
Committee		a. Applicant #118106 — The applicant is requesting a waiver of the FPGEC certificate requirement. He submitted to the Board a questionable certificate from the District of Columbia Board of Pharmacy. He states in his request that he passed the FPGEC; however, per NABP, the applicant is not FPGEC certified and he only passed half of the FPGEE exam. This is the second applicant from DC who is trying to bypass the Maryland requirement to be FPGEC certified. Per Shauna White, the Executive Director of the DCBOP, the applicant should be required to complete the FPGEC certification.  Licensing Committee Recommendation: Deny.	a) Recommendation by Committee to approve; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		b. Applicant #113835 – In July 2017 the applicant took and passed the NAPLEX exam. She transferred her score and filed a MDBOP application on August 2, 2017. Due to personal crisis, she had no time to take off to study for the MPJE exam. She took and passed the MPJE on August 11, 2018, which was nine days after her MDBOP application expired. She was under the mistaken impression that her MDBOP application was valid as along as her NABP application was valid, which was August 29, 2018. The applicant is requesting that the Board recognize her MPJE score, extend the expiration date of her MDBOP	b) Recommendation by Committee to deny; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by R. Toney.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Responsible	Action Due Date	
Subject Party Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
9/29/2018. Her NAPLEX score transfer	c) Recommendation by Committee to approve; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
Subject	1 arty	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
C. Public Relations Committee	E. Yankellow, Chair	Public Relations Committee Update:  The Committee met September 26th to finalize the CE Breakfast.  The fall newsletter is being compiled, to include an article on root cause analysis and failure mode effects analysis.  The Board appearance at conferences were discussed.  J. Seeds provided data on the newsletter email blast bounce backs. They have been reduced to 1%. Incentives, such as 1 hour CE credits are being discussed to entice individuals to open and read.		
D. Disciplinary	K. Morgan Chair	<ul> <li>Disciplinary Committee Update:</li> <li>Committee met September 26<sup>th</sup></li> <li>A confidential discussion will be held in the Board's Closed Public Session.</li> </ul>		
E. Emergency Preparedness Task Force	N. Leikach, Chair	Emergency Preparedness Task Force Update:  • Report was tabled for next board meeting.		
IV. Other Business & FYI	K. Morgan, President			

C-1:4	Responsible	DVd	Action Due Date	Dogulta
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
V. Adjournment	K. Morgan, President	A. The Public Meeting was adjourned at 10:35 am	Motion to close the Public Board Meeting by D. Ashby; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by B. Oliver.	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		B. K. Morgan convened a Closed Public Session to conduct a medical review committee evaluation of confidential applications.		
		C. The Closed Public Session was adjourned. Immediately thereafter, K. Morgan, convened an Administrative Session for purposes of discussing confidential disciplinary cases.		
		D. With the exception of cases requiring recusals, the Board members present at the Public Meeting continued to participate in the Closed Public Session and the Administrative Session.		

## PRESIDING OFFICER'S WRITTEN STATEMENT FOR CLOSING A MEETING ("CLOSING STATEMENT") UNDER THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT (General Provisions Article § 3-305)

This form has two sides. Complete items 1-4:

1.	Recorded vote to close the meeting: Date: 10/11/18; Time: 35 : Location: 420   later And And Motion to close meeting made by: 12. Ashby Seconded by B. Oliver :  Members in favor: 211 : Opposed: 0000 : Abstaining: Absent: N. Lakach, J. Hardeshy :
2.	Statutory authority to close session (check all provisions that apply):
Thi	meeting will be closed under General Provisions Art. § 3-305(b) only:
offic or n conc prop that in the mark consi "To nego discu of fir plans exam crimi impo (14)_a neg adver	"To discuss the appointment, employment, assignment, promotion, discipline, demotion, pensation, removal, resignation, or performance evaluation of appointees, employees, or also over whom this public body has jurisdiction; any other personnel matter that affects one more specific individuals"; (2) "To protect the privacy or reputation of individuals the entire of public business"; (3) "To consider the acquisition of real entry for a public purpose and matters directly related thereto"; (4) "To consider a matter concerns the proposal for a business or industrial organization to locate, expand, or remain the State"; (5) "To consider the investment of public funds"; (6) "To consider the etting of public securities"; (7) "To consult with counsel to obtain legal advice"; (8) "To cult with staff, consultants, or other individuals about pending or potential litigation"; (9) conduct collective bargaining negotiations or consider matters that relate to the diations"; (10) "To discuss public security, if the public body determines that public scion would constitute a risk to the public or to public security, including: (i) the deployment and police services and staff; and (ii) the development and implementation of emergency (11) "To prepare, administer, or grade a scholastic, licensing, or qualifying ination"; (12) "To conduct or discuss an investigative proceeding on actual or possible and conduct"; (13) "To comply with a specific constitutional, statutory, or judicially sed requirement that prevents public disclosures about a particular proceeding or matter"; "Before a contract is awarded or bids are opened, to discuss a matter directly related to obtain gstrategy or the contents of a bid or proposal, if public discussion or disclosure would sely impact the ability of the public body to participate in the competitive bidding or oscal process."

Continued →

3. For each provision checked above, disclosure of the topic to be discussed and the public body's reason for discussing that topic in closed session.

Citation (insert # from above)	Topic	Reason for closed-session discussion of topic
§3-305(b) (13)	applications for licensure	to engage in medical review committee deliberations regarding contraintal matters contained in applications
§3-305(b) ( )	-	
§3-305(b) ( )		
§3-305(b) ( )		
§3-305(b) ( )	•	

4. This statement is made by Kewn Morgan Presiding Officer.
WORKSHEET FOR OPTIONAL USE IN CLOSED SESSION: INFORMATION THAT MUST BE DISCLOSED
IN THE MINUTES OF THE NEXT OPEN MEETING Time of closed 10:35 am 10/17/18
session: Place: 4201 Patty son Aug.
Purpose(s): runco confidential motters in applications
Members who voted to meet in closed session: all members present in spen
Persons attending closed session:
Authority under § 3-305 for the closed session: Gf § 3-305(b)(7) and (13)
Topics actually discussed: (onfidential motters confident in applications
Actions taken: process applications accordingly Each recorded vote:
For a meeting recessed to perform an administrative function (§ 3-104): Time: //./o im
Place: 4201 Albron As Persons present: 41 members present in closed Subject matter
discussed: complaint invistigations 't 1. Hardisty.