CONSENT ORDER LIFTING SUSPENSION

Procedural Background

The Respondent, John Schlosberg, P.D., voluntarily surrendered his license to practice pharmacy on July 12, 1999, based on substance abuse issues. On August 16, 2000, the Board entered into a Consent Order with the Respondent in which the Board reinstated the Respondent’s license pursuant to certain probationary terms. In December 2004, as a result of investigating another matter, the Board discovered that the Respondent had not been compliant with the terms of his 2000 Consent Order, and specifically the requirement that the Respondent notify his pharmacy employer of his probationary status. The Board subsequently issued a Notice of Violation of Probation on February 17, 2005. The Board entered into another Consent Order, dated September 21, 2005, thereby resolving the probationary violations by suspending the Respondent for six (6) months, three (3) months of which were stayed, requiring a rehabilitation contract with PEAC, and submission to monthly random urine screens. The 2005 Consent Order further stated that upon the lifting of the suspension, the Respondent shall be placed on indefinite probation with any terms the Board deems appropriate.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Although the Respondent’s suspension period was due to be terminated in January 2006, the Respondent has remained suspended for an additional twelve (12) months.

2. The Respondent has not practiced pharmacy nor worked in a pharmacy during the suspension period.
3. The Respondent entered into a substance rehabilitation contract with PEAC on January 16, 2006. PEAC has submitted a report to the Board indicating that the Respondent has been compliant with all terms of the contract.

4. The Respondent has submitted to random urine screens during the suspension period and all urines screens have had negative results.

5. The Respondent has engaged in monthly therapy sessions since January 1, 2006. The Respondent's therapist submitted a written recommendation to the Board for the Respondent's reinstatement.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Board finds that the Respondent has complied with the terms of suspension contained in the Consent Order, dated September 21, 2005.

ORDER

Based on agreement of the parties, it is this 22 day of February 2007, by an affirmative vote of a majority of the Board, hereby

ORDERED that the suspension of the Respondent's license be LIFTED; and be it further,

ORDERED that the Respondent's license shall be placed on immediate PROBATION for at least TWO (2) YEARS, during which time the Respondent shall be subject to the following probationary conditions:

1. The Respondent shall not have access to any controlled dangerous substances;
2. The Respondent shall not practice pharmacy in excess of 40 hours per week;
3. The Respondent shall work for only one pharmacy employer at a time;
4. The Respondent shall insure that his pharmacist supervisor submits quarterly employer progress reports to the Board;

5. The Respondent shall provide a copy of this Order to his pharmacy employer(s) prior to commencing employment and shall insure that the attached verification form is completed by the employer and returned to the Board prior to commencing employment;

6. The Respondent shall be subject to random urine screens scheduled by the Board during the probationary period; and be it further,

ORDERED that the Respondent may petition the Board to modify the probationary terms ONE (1) YEAR after the date of this Order provided that the Respondent has been fully compliant with the probationary terms, and the Board has not received any complaints regarding the Respondent; and be it further,

ORDERED that the Respondent is responsible for all costs associated with complying with this Order; and be it further,

ORDERED that the Respondent may petition to terminate probation TWO (2) YEARS after the date of this Order, provided that the Respondent has been fully compliant with the probationary terms herein, and the Board has not received any complaints regarding the Respondent; and be it further,

ORDERED that this is a formal order of the Maryland Board of Pharmacy and as such is a public document pursuant to the Maryland Annotated Code, State Government Article, Section 10-617(h).

February 22, 2007

LaVerne G. Naesea, Executive Director for
Mark Levi, P.D., President
Board of Pharmacy
CONSENT

By signing this Consent, I hereby admit to the truth of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and agree to be bound by the foregoing Consent Order and its conditions.

1. By this Consent, I submit to the foregoing Consent Order as a resolution of this matter. By signing this Consent, I waive any rights I may have had to contest the findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in this Consent Order.

2. I acknowledge the validity of this Consent Order as if it were made after a hearing in which I would have had the right to counsel, to confront witnesses on my own behalf, and to all other substantial procedural protections provided by law.

3. I acknowledge the legal authority and the jurisdiction of the Board to enter and enforce this Consent Order.

4. I acknowledge that, by entering into this Consent Order, I am waiving my right to appeal any adverse ruling of the Board that might have followed an evidentiary hearing.

5. I sign this Consent Order freely and voluntarily, after having had the opportunity to consult with counsel. I fully understand the language, meaning, and effect of this Consent Order.

2-12-07 John Schlosberg

Date John Schlosberg

STATE OF MARYLAND
COUNTY/CITY OF Baltimore:

I hereby certify that on this 12th day of February, 2007, before me, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland and County/City aforesaid, personally appeared John Schlosberg, and made an oath in due form that the foregoing Consent was his voluntary act and deed.

CHAD BERMAN
Notary Public
Baltimore County
Maryland
My Commission Expires Dec 22, 2010

Notary Public
My commission expires: Dec 22 2010