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Key findings

• After a decline from 1990 to 2004, the percentage of U.S. births that occurred at home increased by 29%, from 0.56% of births in 2004 to 0.72% in 2009.

• For non-Hispanic white women, home births increased by 36%, from 0.80% in 2004 to 1.09% in 2009. About 1 in every 90 births for non-Hispanic white women is now a home birth. Home births are less common among women of other racial or ethnic groups.

• Home births are more common among women aged 35 and over, and among women with several previous children.

• Home births have a lower risk profile than hospital births, with fewer births to teenagers or unmarried women, and with fewer preterm, low birthweight, and multiple births.

• The percentage of home births in 2009 varied from a low of 0.2% of births in Louisiana and the District of Columbia, to a high of 2.0% in Oregon and 2.6% in Montana.

Large changes in birthing patterns in the United States have occurred over the past century. In 1900, almost all U.S. births occurred outside a hospital, the vast majority of which occurred at home (1). However, this proportion fell to 44% by 1940, and to 1% by 1969, where it remained through the 1980s (2,3). The 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth provides additional detail for out-of-hospital births, and makes it possible to distinguish among out-of-hospital births at home, in a birthing center, or other specified location. Home births are still rare in the United States, comprising less than 1% of births, however they have been increasing since 2004 (3–5). This report examines recent trends and characteristics of home births in the United States from 1990 to 2009, and compares selected characteristics of home and hospital births.
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U.S. home births increased by 29% from 2004 to 2009.

The percentage of all U.S. births that occurred at home increased from 0.56% in 2004 to 0.72% in 2009 (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Percentage of births occurring at home: United States, 1990–2009

NOTE: Numbers of home births are shown in parentheses after percentages.
SOURCE: CDC/NCHS, birth certificate data from the National Vital Statistics System.
In 2009, there were 29,650 home births in the United States (representing 0.72% of births), the highest level since data on this item began to be collected in 1989.

Previously, the percentage of home births had declined slowly from 0.67% of all U.S. births in 1990 to 0.56% in 2004.

**In 2009, about 1 in 90 births to non-Hispanic white women were home births.**

There are large differences in the percentage of home births by maternal race and ethnicity, and these differences have widened over time (Figure 2).

In 2009, the percentage of home births was three to five times higher for non-Hispanic white women than for any other racial or ethnic group.

The percentage of home births to non-Hispanic white women increased by 14% from 1990 to 2004 and, more rapidly, by 36% from 2004 to 2009 (from 0.80% to 1.09%).

In contrast, from 1990 to 2004, the percentage of home births declined for American Indian or Alaska Native (AIAN), Asian or Pacific Islander (API), Hispanic, and non-Hispanic black women. From 2004 to 2009, the percentage of home births increased for API and Hispanic women, and remained about the same for non-Hispanic black and AIAN women.

About 90% of the total increase in home births from 2004 to 2009 was due to the increase among non-Hispanic white women.

---

**Figure 2. Percentage of births occurring at home, by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, 1990–2009**

---

1Data exclude New Hampshire in 1990–1992 and Oklahoma in 1990, as these states did not report Hispanic origin on their birth certificates for those years.

SOURCE: CDC/NCHS, birth certificate data from the National Vital Statistics System.
The percentage of home births was generally higher in the northwestern and lower in the southeastern United States.

In 2009, Montana had the highest percentage of home births (2.55%), followed by Oregon (1.96%) and Vermont (1.91%). Five additional states (Idaho, Pennsylvania, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin) had a percentage of home births of 1.50% or above (Figure 3).

In contrast, the percentage of home births was less than 0.50% for southeastern states from Texas to North Carolina, as well as for Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Illinois, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New Jersey, Rhode Island, South Dakota, and West Virginia.

The increase in the percentage of home births from 2004 to 2009 was widespread and involved selected states from every region of the country. Overall, 31 states had statistically significant increases, and only two areas had statistically significant declines (see Figure 3 and associated data table).

Figure 3. Percentage of births occurring at home, by state of residence, 2009

Most home births are attended by midwives.

In 2009, 62% of home births were attended by midwives: 19% by certified nurse midwives and 43% by other midwives (such as certified professional midwives or direct-entry midwives). Among hospital births, only 7% were attended by midwives (Figure 4).

Only 5% of home births were attended by physicians, and a previous study suggested that many of these were unplanned home births (possibly involving emergency situations) (6). For hospital births, 92% were attended by physicians.

For home births, 33% were reported as delivered by “other” attendants. “Other” may include, for example, a family member or emergency medical technician (3,7). Among hospital births, less than 1% of births had “other” attendants.
Compared with hospital births, home births are more common among older married women with several previous children.

One in five home births were to women aged 35 and over, compared with 14% of hospital births (Figure 5).

About one-half of home births were third- or higher-order births, compared with 28% of hospital births.

About 84% of home births were to married women, compared with 59% of hospital births.
Home births have a lower risk profile than hospital births.

The percentage of home births that were preterm was 6%, compared with 12% for hospital births (Figure 6).

The percentage of home births that were low birthweight was 4%, compared with 8% for hospital births.

Less than 1% of home births were multiple deliveries, compared with 3.5% of hospital births.

The lower risk profile of home births suggests that home birth attendants are selecting low-risk women as candidates for home birth (5).

Figure 6. Percentage of home and hospital births with selected infant health characteristics: United States, 2009

Summary

After 14 years of decline, the percentage of home births rose by 29% from 2004 to 2009, to the point where it is at the highest level since data on this item began to be collected in 1989. The overall increase in home births was driven mostly by a 36% increase for non-Hispanic white women. About 1 out of every 90 births to non-Hispanic white women are now home births. The percentage of home births for non-Hispanic white women was three to five times higher than for any other racial or ethnic group.

Home births have a lower risk profile than hospital births, with fewer births to teenagers or unmarried women, and with fewer preterm, low birthweight, and multiple births. The lower risk profile of home compared with hospital births suggests that home birth attendants are selecting low-risk women as candidates for home birth.

The increase in the percentage of home births from 2004 to 2009 was widespread and involved selected states from every region of the country. The large variations in the percentage of home births by state may be influenced by differences among states in laws pertaining to midwifery practice or out-of-hospital birth (8,9), as well as by differences in the racial and ethnic composition of state populations, as home births are more prevalent among non-Hispanic white women (7).
Studies have suggested that most home births are intentional or planned home births, whereas others are unintentional or unplanned, because of an emergency situation (i.e., precipitous labor, labor complications, or unable to get to the hospital in time) (3,6). Although not representative of all U.S. births (see “Data source and methods”), 87% of home births in a 26-state reporting area (comprising 50% of U.S. births) were planned in 2009. For non-Hispanic white women, 93% of home births were planned (10).

Women may prefer a home birth over a hospital birth for a variety of reasons, including a desire for a low-intervention birth in a familiar environment surrounded by family and friends, and cultural or religious concerns (9,11). Lack of transportation in rural areas and cost factors may also play a role, as home births cost about one-third as much as hospital births (9,11,12).

Definitions

Home birth: A birth that takes place in a residence (home).

Percentage of home births: The number of home births divided by the total number of births (regardless of place of delivery) times 100.

Preterm birth: Birth before 37 completed weeks of gestation.

Low birthweight: Infant weighing less than 2,500 grams at birth.

Data source and methods

This report is based on data from the National Vital Statistics System, Natality Data Files for 1990–2009. The Natality Data Files include data for all births occurring in the United States, and include information on a wide range of maternal and infant demographic and health characteristics (4). These data files are available for download at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/VitalStatsOnline.htm. Data may also be accessed through the interactive data tool, VitalStats, at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/VitalStats.htm.

Data on home birth began to be reported with the 1989 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth. Prior to 1989, births were reported as occurring in or out of the hospital, with no specific category for home births.

The 2003 revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth added a data item on planning status of home birth. However, due to the staggered implementation of the 2003 revision among states, only 26 states (comprising 50% of U.S. births) reported data on planning status of home birth in 2009 (10). The 26-state area is not representative of the overall U.S. population because it is not a random sample of U.S. births.
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