# Maryland Community Health Resources Commission

# Options for Information System Funding Initiatives

February 5, 2007

# Today's Goals

- Review background on options for information systems funding (10 min)
- Determine criteria for funding information systems initiatives to facilitate the development of:
  - Appropriate regulations
  - A request for proposals (50 min)

## **MCHRC Charge**

- "Work with CHRs, hospital systems, and others to develop a unified information and data management system for use by all CHRs that is integrated with the local hospital systems to track the treatment of individual patients and that provides real-time indicators of available resources"
  - §19-2109(a)(11)

# **MCHRC Charge**

- "Provide funding for the development, support, and monitoring of a unified data information system among primary and specialty providers, hospitals and other providers for services to community health resource members"
  - §19-2201(e)(1)(iv)
- Funding
  - \$0.5 million in FY 2006
  - \$1.7 million per year thereafter

#### **Options For Information System Funding**

- Vision Development Option
  - Develop a long term vision
  - Framework for future funding decisions
- Individual Project Option
  - Begin funding individual projects
  - Move system forward on a variety of fronts

## **Vision Development Option**

- Could be duplicative of current efforts at federal and state level
  - American Health Information Community (AHIC)
  - Maryland Health Care Commission (MHCC)
  - Health Services Cost Review Commission (HSCRC)

# **Individual Project Option**

- Allows us to invest in IT initiatives sooner
- Some CHRs have already invested in IT projects
- Provides a vehicle for supporting CHRs along the continuum of IT sophistication

# **Continuum of Complexity**

Level I
Manual
exchange
of information

Level II
Electronic
exchange
of information

Level III
Interoperability
within
organization

Level IV
Interoperability
across
organizations

- -Phone calls
- -Mailing records and referrals

Status Quo -Commission focus should be above this level

- -Fax machine
- -Secure e-mail connections
- -Web-based applications (registry; referrals)
- -Could involve single or multiple organizations

- -Electronic health record
- -Computerized physician order entry
- -Integration with existing eligibility systems
- -Applications may be within single organizations, but infrastructure, joint purchasing, training could involve multiple organizations
- -Likely to have a more direct impact on patient care activities

- -Regional health information exchange allowing access to patient information
- -Web-based interface between providers for transferring information
- Necessarily involves multiple organizations
- -Involves significant development of common definitions, privacy rules, etc.

#### **Decisions for the Commission**

- Level of activities to fund (II, III, IV)
- Length of awards
- Size of awards
- Activities appropriate for funding
- Structure of competitive grant process
- Other priorities/criteria

### Level of Activities to Fund (II,III, IV)

- A broad RFP would allow for proposals from CHRs at all levels of IT sophistication
- Commission could require collaboration with other CHRs as a condition of funding
- Level II: Electronic exchange of information
- Level III: Interoperability within organization
- Level IV: Interoperability across organizations

# Level II – Electronic Exchange

- Information transferred by electronic media either within or between organizations
  - Can use existing, well-established technology and software
  - Relatively "low-tech;" small, targeted projects
- Project examples
  - Install electronic fax capability to improve communication between clinic and hospital
  - Support development of computerized scanning and storage systems
  - Web-based systems for ordering specialist referrals

# Level III – Interoperability Within Organizations

- Transmission of digital health information
  - Organized into elements that can be stored and organized by computer
  - Information is secure and can be moved among various platforms

#### Project Examples

- Support development of an electronic health record across multiple locations of a CHR
- Support development of patient databases within a CHR
- Support development of computerized physician order entry

# Level IV – Interoperability Across Organizations

- Direct communication of information across providers in a region
  - Requires agreement on protocols, data definitions, security, etc.
  - Regional Health Information Organizations (RHIOs)
  - Collaboration among disparate, unaffiliated organizations
  - Comprehensive strategy does not exist

#### Project Examples

- Development of a regional health information exchange where patient transactions are maintained and accessible to providers
- Establish web-based interface between hospitals and CHRs to improve transfer of patient data
- Commission may choose to support existing efforts or facilitate the beginning of collaboration between CHRs

### Length and Size of Awards

- May depend on level of sophistication
  - Level II: \$100,000 for one year
  - Level III: \$500,000+ for up to three years
  - Level IV: \$???; Options include:
    - Open ended grants with annual renewals
    - Allow applicants to apply for multiple grants for different discrete tasks
- Available funds
  - \$500,000 FY2006; \$1.7 million each subsequent year
- Allow for renewals for more sophisticated projects?

# Activities Appropriate for Funding

- Any hardware purchased should directly lead to improving health information transfer and address a specific problem.
- Any software purchased would ideally be well tested and established products with a proven record of addressing the identified need. Software development should not be funded.
- Any training funded should assure that staff use the systems/equipment to optimal level.
- Technical assistance could be funded to identify needs, assist with project planning, and assure proper installation and functioning of technological resources.
- Funding for planning activities may be appropriate for projects involving several CHRs.

### Structure of Competitive Process

- Competitive RFP process for all CHRs
- Qualify first; then competitive process
  - Require active collaboration with other CHRs
  - Meet standards for infrastructure and technical capacity

Threshold Question: Should we fund only CHRs or leave room for other organizations (e.g., consultants) in this round or future rounds

### Other Funding Criteria/Priorities

- Level of collaboration with other CHRs/partners
- Interoperability
- Sustainability
- Willingness to share success with other CHRs
- Require matching funds (for larger projects)